





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- <u>notifications@esrb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying n	1. Notifying national authority			
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Eesti Pank			

2. Description of the measure

	Institution			LEI code	Basi	Basis	
2.1 Concerned institution or group of institutions	Swedbank AS		549300PHQZ4HL15HH975		subconsolidate	ed and	
	AS SEB Pank		549300ND1MQ8SNNYMJ22		subconsolidate individual	ed and	
	AS LHV Pank		529900GJOSVHI055QR67		consolidated a individual	nd	
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	Pank plans to r	naintain the l	evel of the buf	% is applied for Swe fer for these two bai the O-SII buffer rate	nks unchanged.	SEB Pank. Eest	
2.3 Name of the EU ultimate parent institution	Swedbank AS AS SEB Pank	Parent institution Swedbank AB Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB		LEI code of parent institution M312WZV08Y7LYUC71685 F3JS33DEI6XQ4ZBPTN86			
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	N/A						
3. Timing of	he measure						

3.1 Timing of the								
3.1 Timing of the Decision	30 October 2017							
3.2 Timing of the Publication	The expected date of the publication of the decree of the Governor of Eesti Pank is the end of November 2017.							
3.3 Disclosure					nd their O-SII buf d to the institution			lished on
3.4 Timing of Application	2016.				nk AS and AS SE			1 August
3.5 Phasing in	Fully-loaded i	mplementa	ation					
3.6 Review of the measure	The list of the basis.	O-SIIs ide	ntified and	I the O-	SII buffer rates wi	ll generally be	reviewed on	an annual
4. Reason for	O-SII identific	ation and	activation	of the	O-SII buffer			
		Overal	score			Criterion		
		e roital			Importance		Interconne	ectedness
4.1 Scores of concerned	Institution	automatic*	adjusted**	Size	(including substitutability/ financial system infrastructure)	Complexity/ cross-border activity	automatic*	adjusted**
institution or	Swedbank AS	3006	3080	3941	4399	2810	873	1168
group of institutions, as	AS SEB							
per EBA	Pank AS LHV	2229	2434	2328	2322	2624	1640	2460
guidelines on the	Pank * The automatic	949 score is ca	409 Iculated usi	377 na the F	438 BA methodology.	201	2780	620
assessment of O- SIIs	** The adjusted	score takes	s account of	the spe	cific features of the		al system and e	excludes one
(Article 131.3)	indicator (debt s	securities ou	utstanding) f	rom the	calculation of the so	core.		
(Article 131.3)	For additional	informatio	n on the m	nethodo	logy and data sou	urces please se	ee the framev	vork
	document tha	t describes	s the proce	ess of id	entifying the syste	emically import	ant credit ins	titutions in
	Estonia:	atioonk oo	/aitaa/aaati	inonk o	e/files/files/Finant	aatabiilawa/aaa	km 2015 on	a odf
				·				
4.2 Methodology	-				nk used only the i cessary to add an			
and indicators used for	Ũ				•	•		
designation of the O-SII	Pank decided to maintain 350 basis points as the threshold of systemic importance. Only banks were included in the calculations. The assessment covered the whole banking sector and Eesti Pank did not exclude any bank from the identification process.							
(Article 131.3)								
4.3 Supervisory judgement	Eesti Pank die	d not identi	fy any inst	itution a	as an O-SII throug	nh supervisory	judgement.	
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	The set of mandatory indicators is not fully appropriate for the Estonian banking sector. The Estonian banking sector is dominated by subsidiaries and branches of foreign credit institutions. The availability of domestic deposits and intra-group financing has reduced the attractiveness of direct market financing for these institutions and this is reflected in the quite limited amount of debt securities issued by the Estonian banking sector of 44 million euros or 0.2% of total assets.							

	banks operating in Es	licator for the volume of bonds does not reflect stonia and the scores for those few banks that h ands is overestimated.	-	-
	calibrates the level of adjusted score does r bonds. Otherwise the category has equal w	vance of the indicator of debt securities outstant the O-SII buffer using the adjusted score of sy not take into account the effect that comes from calculation of the adjusted score follows the me eighting, and each indicator within each categor idicators within the category. The base and adj lowing table:	stemic importan n the indicator fo nethodology of th ory also has equ	nce. The or the volume of ne EBA: each al weighting
	Criterion	Indicators	Weight - EBA methodology	Weight - adjusted methodology
	Size	Total assets	20%	20%
	Importance	Value of domestic payment transactions	8.33%	8.33%
	(including substitutability/	Private sector deposits from depositors in the EU	8.33%	8.33%
	financial system		8.33%	8.33%
	infrastructure	Private sector loans to recipients in the EU		
	Complexity/cross-	Value of OTC derivatives (notional)	8.33% 8.33%	8.33% 8.33%
	border activity	Cross-jurisdictional liabilities	8.33%	8.33% 8.33%
		Cross-jurisdictional claims	8.33%	12.5%
	Interconnectedness	Intra-financial system liabilities	8.33%	12.5%
	Interconnectedness	Intra-financial system assets	8.33%	0%
		Debt securities outstanding	0.3376	078
	at least 1200 basis po is applied to credit ins score for systemic im linearly, and the resul The threshold of 1200 importance of the bar	e maximum rate of 2% to those credit institution bints in the assessment of systemic importance stitutions that pass the minimum threshold of 35 portance is between 350 and 1200 basis points t rounded to the nearest 0.5 percentage point. 0 basis points results from peer review and an a loks operating in Estonia. assets of the Estonian banking sector are held	e. A buffer rate of 50 basis points. s, the benchmar analysis of the s	f at least 0.5% If the overall k rate is found ystemic
	the Nordic countries that mainly operate in the same Nordic and Baltic area, Eesti Pank considered the equivalent buffer rates of the other countries in the Nordic and Baltic region when calibrating its O-SII buffer rates.			n calibrating its
	In addition, the 'equal expected impact' approach was used to assess the appropriateness of the score of 1200 basis points as the threshold for the maximum buffer rate of 2% for O-SIIs.			
	For further information http://www.eestipank.	n please see: ee/sites/eestipank.ee/files/files/Finantsstabiilsu	is/en/srb-osiib 2	2016_eng.pdf
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	The higher capital requirements help to increase the resilience of the systemically important banks in Estonia. The total assets of the banks identified as systemically important – Swedbank AS, AS SEB Pank and AS LHV Pank – were worth around 77% of Estonian GDP at the end of 2016, they had issued some 65% of the loans to the real sector and they held over 80% of the total deposits of Estonian companies and households. If these banks were to cease functioning it would be very difficult to replace their services quickly. The non-functioning of these banks would endanger the stability of the Estonian financial system, incur major costs for the state and have a negative effect on the real economy.			
	using CET1 capital. A	banks fulfilled the total capital and buffer requir mong these banks the lowest total capital ratio banks approached 39% of risk weighted asse	was 19%, while	e the capital

	application of this measure is expected to be neutral				
5. Cross-bord	ler and cross-se	ector impact of the measur	e		
5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	There is little cross-border activity by the banks, and their foreign exposures are small. Thus the potential impact of the O-SII buffers being applied to the three banks in Estonia will be very small on the financial system of the European Union as a whole and on individual member states. Additionally, the EU parent institutions of the two local subsidiaries identified as O-SIIs are required to hold higher capital buffer requirements on the consolidated level.				
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	The share of EU branches in the total banking sector assets is large. However, as their home authorities have set the same or higher O-SII buffer requirements, the risk of regulatory arbitrage is expected to be nonmaterial.				
6. Combinatio	ons and interact	tions with other measures			
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A				
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	systemic risk b		r all credit institutions authorised in Es pplied to domestic exposures. The sy s the O-SII buffer		
6.3 O-SII requirement for a		Parent institution	O-SII buffer rate of the parent institution		
subsidiary (Article 131.8)	Swedbank AS	Swedbank AB	2%		
	AS SEB Pank	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	2%		
6.4 Interaction with other measures	N/A	1	1	1	

7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Jaak Tõrs, Head of Financial Stability Department +372 668 0905; jaak.tors@eestipank.ee

7.2 Any other relevant information	N/A
------------------------------------	-----