





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying na	tional autho	rity						
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Central Ban	k of Ireland						
2. Description	of the meas	ure						
	O-SII			Level of consolidation		LEI (Code	
2.1 Concerned institution or group of institutions	Allied Irisl	n Bank plc (AlE	3)	Consolidated		3U8\	VV1YX2VMUHH	7Z1Q21
	Bank of Ireland Group plc (BOI)		C	Consolidated	6354		00C8EK6DRI12LJ39	
	Citibank Holdings Ireland Ltd (Citibank)		Consolidated	5493		300K7L8YW8M215U46		
	Ulster Bank Ireland DAC (UBI)			Individual 63		6354	635400KQIMALJ4XLAD78	
	UniCredit Bank Ireland plc (UniCredit)		lc	Individual	JLWC		CUYA7LL5CX6E	WZL14
	DePfa Bank plc (DePfa)			Consolidated		HRR	VUBV0XN84YQ	ZT6245
		O-SII Buffer Rates Applied						
		O-SII		1 July 2019	1 July 2	2020	1 July 2021	
2.2 Level of the buffer applied		AIB		0.5%	1%		1.5%	
		BOI		0.5%	1%		1.5%	
		Citibank		0.25%	0.5%		1.0%	
		UBI		0.25%	0.5%		0.5%	
		UniCredit		0.25%	0.25%		0.25%	
		DePfa		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	-
2.3 Name of the	0-SII		EU	EU Parent LEI Code (EU parent)				:)
EU ultimate parent	Ulster Bar DAC		Gro	Royal Bank of Scotland		RR3QWICWWIPCS8A4S074		
manution				Credit S.p.A		549300TRUWO2CD2G5692		

	N/A						
2.4 Names of							
subsidiaries							
3. Timing of t	he measure						
3.1 Timing of the Decision	Decision sch	Decision scheduled for 24 October 2017.					
3.2 Timing of the Publication	Publication s	Publication scheduled for 10 November 2017.					
3.3 Disclosure	The identification of O-SIIs and their associated buffers will be disclosed on the website of the Central Bank of Ireland, together with a press release.						
3.4 Timing of Application	1 July 2019						
				O-SII B	uffer Rates A	oplied	
		O-SII institutio	n 1J	luly 2019	1 July 2020	1 July 2021	
	_	AIB	0.5	5%	1%	1.5%	
0.5 Dhaain a in		BOI			1%	1.5%	
3.5 Phasing in	_	Citibank		25% 0.5%		1.0%	
		UBI		25%	0.5%	0.5%	
	_	UniCredit		25% 0.25%		0.25%	
		DePfa	0.0)%	0.0%	0.0%	
3.6 Review of the measure	The list of ide basis.	entified O-SIIs ar	nd assoc	iated buffer r	ates are to be I	eviewed on an annual	
4. Reason for	O-SII identific	cation and activ	ation of	the O-SII bu	ffer		
4.1 Scores of	Results of	the mandatory EBA indicator assessment					
concerned	Institution	Overall			Category se	core	
institution or		institution score	Size	Importanc	e Complexi	ty Interconnectedness	
group of	BOI		1980	2411	2164	1189	
institutions, as per EBA	AIB	1936 1406	1980	2411 2028	2164 949	887	
guidelines on the	Citibank	1301	859	2518	1214	612	
assessment of O-	Depfa	664	508	145	968	1035	
Slls	UBI	474	565	691	385	257	
(Article 131.3)	UniCredit	446	368	6	685	728	
4.2 Methodology						with the EBA Guidelines on	
and indicators						1(3) of Directive 2013/36/EU	
used for	in relation to	the assessment	of O-SII	s (EBA/GL/20	14/10).		
used for	A 350 basis points threshold of systemic importance was used to identify the O-SIIs and the						
designation of the O-SII			-	-		-	
designation of the	overall score below 0.02 p	s are outlined in er cent were not	the table exclude	e in section 4 d from the ide	1. Institutions	identify the O-SIIs and the with relative total assets cess. All institutions s were identified as O-SIIs.	

4. separate assessment was carried out for investment firms in scope of S.I. 158 of 2014 ¹ and which deal on own account or underwrite on a firm commitment basis. The analysis h been completed in accordance with the EBA Guidelines using indicators appropriate for investment firms relating to size, importance and secondary market footprint. This assessment led to no investment firms being identified as O-SIIs for 2018. 4.3 Supervisory judgement All institutions identified by the mandatory scoring process using the standard 350bps threshold in the EBA guidelines were identified as O-SIIs. Supervisory judgement was not employed to identify any additional institutions. The Central Bank of Ireland's approach to calibrating the O-SII buffer takes into account high level principles of the Basel framework, the requirements of the CRD IV, the ECB's f methodology as well as the specificities of the Irish economy. The approach can be bro into a number of steps which are as follows; assessing systemic importance; grouping ranking institutions; and applying buffer rates. Assessing systemic importance The EBA guidelines identify a mix of banks as O-SIIs for Ireland. Given the wide variatio business models operating in Ireland, it is important to also consider the business model the individual institutions and how this affects the EBA scores. As such each instituti category scores are also examined to provide a clearer picture of the drivers of their B score. Measures of importance to the domestic economy are also assessed. Grouping and ranking institutions BOI and AIB Domestic 1 Highly systemically important banks Ulster International 2 Systemically important banks Uniforedit Banks
4.3 Supervisory judgement threshold in the EBA guidelines were identified as O-SIIs. Supervisory judgement was not employed to identify any additional institutions. The Central Bank of Ireland's approach to calibrating the O-SII buffer takes into account high level principles of the Basel framework, the requirements of the CRD IV, the ECB's f methodology as well as the specificities of the Irish economy. The approach can be bro- into a number of steps which are as follows; assessing systemic importance; grouping ranking institutions; and applying buffer rates. Assessing systemic importance The EBA guidelines identify a mix of banks as O-SIIs for Ireland. Given the wide variation business models operating in Ireland, it is important to also consider the business model the individual institutions and how this affects the EBA scores. As such each institution category scores are also examined to provide a clearer picture of the drivers of their B score. Measures of importance to the domestic economy are also assessed. Grouping and ranking institutions Arising from the above, institutions are grouped based on both the nature and degree of the systemic importance. Uncertic 1 Highly systemically important banks BOI and AIB Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster International 1 Large international banks Ulster
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer Domestic 1 Highly systemically important banks Ulster International 1 Large international 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
Arising from the above, institutions are grouped based on both the nature and degree of the systemic importance.Institutions4.4 Calibrating the O-SII bufferDomestic 2Systemically importantBOI and AIB4.4 Calibrating the O-SII bufferSomestic 2Systemically important banksUlster
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster International 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
Arising from the above, institutions are grouped based on both the nature and degree of the systemic importance. Group description Institutions Domestic 1 Highly systemically important banks BOI and AIB Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster International 1 Large international banks Citigroup International 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
Arising from the above, institutions are grouped based on both the nature and degree of the systemic importance. Group description Institutions Domestic 1 Highly systemically important banks BOI and AIB Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster International 1 Large international banks Citigroup International 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
systemic importance. Group description Institutions Domestic 1 Highly systemically important banks BOI and AIB Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster A.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer International 1 Large international banks Citigroup
Domestic 1Highly systemically important banksBOI and AIB4.4 Calibrating the O-SII bufferDomestic 2Systemically important banksUlsterInternational 1Large international banksCitigroupInternational 2Smaller international banksUniCredit
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster International 1 Large international banks Citigroup International 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
4.4 Calibrating the Domestic 2 Systemically important banks Ulster 0-SII buffer International 1 Large international banks Citigroup
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII bufferInternational 1Large international banksCitigroupInternational 2Smaller international banksUniCredit
O-SII buffer International 2 Smaller international banks UniCredit
Run-off Banks which are in run-off Depfa
 A number of quantitative measures are then used to inform the setting of buffer rates, the include a simple scaling approach, a bucketing approach and the more technical experient impact approach. This latter method aims to equalise the expected impact of each O-SII that of a reference non-O-SII institution, where the expected impact of an institution's fail is calculated using its probability of default, as a decreasing function of capital, and economic cost of its failure (ECF). As ECF in this context is a relative measure, there is requirement for calculating the actual cost of failure of each institution and instead a num of relative measures of systemic importance are used (EBA score, total assets and aver domestic market share). Overall some of the general points that arise from this analysis are: BOI and AIB are relatively more important than other institutions and receive hig buffers. When measures of cost of failure with greater focus on the real economy are us such as share of loans and deposits, implied buffers for domestically-focus

 $^{^{1}}$ Transposing Directive 2013/36/EU (CRD IV) in Ireland.

broadly similar for most institutions. The exception to this is Citibank, which has increased in systemic importance both relative to last year's assessment and relative to other O-SIIs. Citibank's overall EBA score increased significantly relative to last year's assessment, principally reflecting its importance in domestic payments transactions, although increases in the size and interconnectedness dimensions were also observed. As a result, it is considered appropriate to increase Citibank's O-SII buffer.

Applying buffer rates

In assigning buffer rates to each institution, it is useful to first consider the range of available rates. An upper limit of 2 per cent on O-SII buffer rates is laid out in CRD IV. The ECB floor methodology provides a lower bound, given an institution's degree of systemic importance (EBA score). Domestically, the following buffer rate buckets have been determined: 0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%, 1%, 1.25%, 1.5%, 2%. Additionally, the calibration of existing buffer rates did not utilise the 2 per cent bucket so as to provide the Central Bank with the scope to increase an institution's buffer rate if necessary and to possibly act as a disincentive to further expansion by banks which are already highly systemically important. The below table outlines the domestic market share and proportion of total assets to GNI* for each of the aforementioned buffer rate buckets (the graph offers a visual of this information):

Bucketing approach to O-SII buffer rate setting						
Buffer Rate	Domestic Market Share	Total Assets / GNI*				
0.25	0% - 5%	0% - 15%				
0.50	5.1% - 10%	15.1% - 30%				
0.75	10.1% - 15%	30.1% - 45%				
1.00	15.1% - 20%	45.1% - 60%				
1.25	20.1% - 30%	60.1% - 75%				
1.50	30.1% - 40%	75.1% - 90%				
2.00	40.1% +	90.1% +				

Note: Domestic market share reflects the share of an entity in the IE resident non-financial private sector credit and deposit markets. The two categories (domestic market share and size) are mutually exclusive in that an institution can move from one bucket to another based on one or both dimensions (see chart). Bucketing informs buffer rate setting alongside a scaling approach and an expected impact approach.



5 Effectiveness nd roportionality of leasure

5. Cross-border and cross-sector impact of the measure

5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	and are aforeme system, phased-	consistent with the buffer ntioned O-SII buffers is e which will have positive s	rates in other countries. T xpected to increase the re spillovers to the EU financia mpact on credit growth in t	buffer setting of other EU states he application of the silience of the Irish financial al system. The buffers are being the domestic economy or in the
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	-	pe for leakages or regula 11 of the ESRB handboo		the O-SII buffer, in line with
6. Combinatio	ons and in	iteractions with other m	leasures	
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A			
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	None of	the O-SIIs identified are	subject to a systemic risk t	buffer.
6.3 O-SII		O-SII	EU Parent	G-SII Buffer Rate (EU Parent)
requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8)		Ulster Bank Ireland DAC UniCredit Bank	The Royal Bank of Scotland Group UniCredit S.p.A	1% 1%
6.4 Interaction with other measures	N/A	Ireland pic		

7. Miscellaneous			
7.1 Contact person(s) at	Name	Telephone	E-mail
notifying authority	Eoin O'Brien	+ 353 1 224 6817	eoin.obrien@centralbank.ie
	Jean Quin	+ 353 1 224 5093	jean.quin@centralbank.ie
7.2 Any other relevant information	N/A		