



Notification template for Article 131 of the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs)

Template for notifying the European Central Bank (ECB) and the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) of the setting or resetting of an O-SII buffer under Article 131(7) CRD and of the identity of O-SIIs under Article 131(12) CRD

Please send/upload this template to:

- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB (under Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) Regulation¹);
- DARWIN/ASTRA when notifying the ESRB.

The ESRB will forward this notification to the European Commission, to the European Banking Authority (EBA) and to the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned without delay and will publicly disclose the names of the O-SIIs on its website. This notification will be made public by the ESRB once the relevant authorities have adopted and published the notified macroprudential measure².

E-mailing/uploading this template to the above addresses constitutes official notification; no further official letter is required. To facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows the information to be read electronically.

Notifying national aut	hority				
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Magyar Nemzeti Bank				
1.2 Country of the notifying authority	Hungary				
2. Description of the me	asure				
	On which institution(s) is the measure applied (name and Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) code)?				
	Is the measure applied at:				
2.1a Institution or group of	- The highest level of consolidation?				
institutions concerned	- A sub-consolidated level?				
	- An individual level?				
	For the entire list of O-SII banks, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.				
	Name of institution	LEI	Consolidation level		

¹ Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63).

² On request by the notifying authority, it may be agreed with the Head of the ESRB Secretariat that this notification, or a part thereof, should not be published for reasons of confidentiality or financial stability.

1

	MKB Bank Nyrt.	3H0Q3U74FVFED2S HZT16	highest level of consolidation		
			highest level of consolidation		
2.1b Changes to the list of	Institutions deleted fro	m the list since the last no	tification		
institutions concerned	Magyar Bankholding Zrt		9900J3WLMSUC78RN75		
montations concerned		ne list since the last notific			
	MKB Bank Nyrt.		Q3U74FVFED2SHZT16		
	At what level is the fully p	hased-in buffer (in %) applie	ed to the institution(s)?		
	For the entire list of O-S identification exercise in	III banks, see the notificati n 2021.	on about the O-SII		
	Name of institution	New O-SII buffer	Previous O-SII buffer		
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	MKB Bank Nyrt.	0,25%	no O-SII buffer requirement		
	Magyar Bankholding Zrt.	no O-SII buffer requirement	0,25%		
	·	Ils identified. if the ultimate l	EU parent institution of the EU parent institution is not		
	For the entire list of O-SII banks, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.				
	The data given below is	effective from 29 April 202	22.		
2.3 Name of the ultimate EU parent institution	Name of identified O-SII	Ultimate EU parent institution	n LEI of ultimate parent institution		
parent institution	MKB Bank Nyrt.	MKB Bank Nyrt.	3H0Q3U74FVFED2S HZT16		
	Magyar Bankholding Zrt	Magyar Bankholding Zrt	. 529900J3WLMSUC78 RN75		
	If any of the O-Sils identif	fied is a parent institution and	d the huffer is annlied at a		
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	(sub)consolidated level, p	please name the subsidiaries give names and LEI codes)	of the institution that are		

	For the entire list of the subsidiaries of the O-SIIs, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.						
	Name of parent O-SII identified	Name of O	-SII subsidia	y LE	LEI of O-SII subsidiary		
3. Timing for the measur	e						
3.1 Timing for the decision	What is the date of the official decision? For SSM countries when notifying the ECB: provide the date on which the decision referred to in Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism Regulation (SSMR) will be taken. 29/03/2022						
3.2 Timing for publication	What is the date of publication of the notified measure? 29/04/2022						
0 0 Di	Information about the strategy for if the communicating the notified measure to the market.						
3.3 Disclosure	The names of the institutions and their effective and expected O-SII capital buffer requirements will be published on the webpage of the MNB.						
3.4 Timing for application	What is the intended date of application of the measure? 29/04/2022						
	What is the intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure?						
	Date1: 29 April 2022						
	Date2: from 2023						
3.5 Phasing in	Date3: from 2024						
	Name of institution MKB Bank Nyrt.	Date1 0.25%	0.5%	1%	Date4	Date5	
	WIND Dank Hyrt.	%	%	%	%	%	
3.6 Review of the measure	The MNB shall annually review the group of institutions identified as O-SIIs and their respective O-SII buffer requirements. The next identification						
	(Sections 89 (3) and 90 (3) k	o) of the H	ıngarian E	anking A	ct)		
4. Reason for O-SII ident	ification and activation of the	O-SII buff	er				
	Please list here the names, overall scores and category scores of the O-SIIs						
4.1 Scores of institutions or	identified based on From 2022 April 29 the Magyar Bankholding Zrt. identified as an O-SII in 2021						
group of institutions	is going to cease operating as a financial holding company and it will no						
concerned, as per EBA guidelines on the	longer be under the statutory scope of the CRD. At the same time MKB Bank						
assessment of O-SIIs	Nyrt. is going to take over as the parent of the financial group. Despite the						
(Article 131.3 CRD)	change in the structure of the banking group, the MNB considers O-SII scores calculated for the Magyar Bankholding Zrt. during the 2021 identification as accurately representing the systemic importance of the banking group after April 29, as the on- and off-balance sheet assets and						

liabilities and other systemically relevant financial activities have not changed because of the change in the group structure. The scores and buffer rates of all other O-SIIs remain unchanged.

For the entire list of the subsidiaries of the O-SIIs, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.

The below given Overall Score stands for the score calculated by using the MNB methodology determined and published in 2015. First, the scoring methodology described in EBA/GL/2014/10 Title II (6-8) was carried out, applying only the mandatory indicators of Annex 1 of the guidelines. According to the first step, the score of the institution was 1139. Second, the scores calculated in the first step according to Title II were reweighted and according to Title III weighted optional indicators were added resulting in the final complete indicator set. The final scores are derived from the equally weighted (i.e. a 20 percent weight for each) arithmetic mean of the indicator category scores.

Name of institution	Size	Substitut- ability	Com- plexity	Intercon- nectedness	Overall Score
MKB Bank Nyrt.	1495	1077	275	1707	1111

Please provide other relevant information (indicator values, methodology, calculations and formulas, data sources, information set used for denominators) in a separate Excel file.

Information provided in 2021 is still applicable.

Please provide information on:

Since the O-SII score of the MKB Bank Nyrt. is the same as the score of the Magyar Bankholding Zrt. from the latest identification exercise in 2021, the MNB considers the O-SII buffer rate determined at that time for the Magyar Bankholding Zrt. proportional with the systemic importance of and adequate for the MKB Bank Nyrt. from April 29 2022 onward.

4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII

(Article 131.3)

For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.

- a. whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs;
- b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs;
- c. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process;
- d. the names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate Excel file, see 4.1);
- e. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations.

4.3 Supervisory judgement	Have any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified by applying supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions and provide information on: For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021. a. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify the supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what the scores were; b. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State; c. why the bank is systemically important in terms of those particular optional indicators.		
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	Please provide information on the criteria and indicators used to calibrate the level of the O-SII buffer requirement and the mapping to institution-specific buffer requirements. For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.		
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	Please provide a justification for why the O-SII buffer is considered likely to be effective and proportionate to mitigate the risk. For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.		
5. Sufficiency, consister	ncy and non-overlap of the policy response		
	For a macroprudential policy to be 'sufficient', the policy responses must be deemed to significantly mitigate, or reduce the build-up of, risks over an appropriate		
5.1 Sufficiency of the policy response	time horizon with a limited unintended impact on the general economy. Note that the ESRB will use the assessment of the macroprudential stance as relevant input in assessing the sufficiency of the macroprudential policy in the Member State. Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the sufficiency of the policy response. For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.		
	Note that the ESRB will use the assessment of the macroprudential stance as relevant input in assessing the sufficiency of the macroprudential policy in the Member State. Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the sufficiency of the policy response. For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise		

 3 Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 4 April 2013 on intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy (ESRB/2013/1) (OJ C 170, 15.6.2013, p. 1)

5.3 Non-overlap of the policy response	For a policy instrument to be 'non-overlapping', it should aim to address a systemic risk that either differs from a risk addressed by other active tools in the same Member State, or be complementary to another tool in that Member State which addresses the same systemic risk. - Are other policy instruments used to address the same systemic risk? - If yes, please explain the need for more than one instrument to address the same systemic risk and how the different instruments interact with each other. For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.			
6. Cross-border and cros	ss-sector impact of the measure)		
6.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the Internal Market	Assessment of the cross-border	effects of implementation o	f the measure.	
(Recommendation ESRB/2015/2 ⁴)	For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.			
6.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	Referring to your Member State's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)? Is there scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions For further details, see the notification about the O-SII identification exercise in 2021.			
7. Combinations and inte	eractions with other measures			
7.1 Combinations between G-	If both G-SII and O-SII criteria all which of the two buffers is the hi	ghest?		
SII and O-SII buffers	Name of institution	O-SII buffer	G-SII buffer	
(Article 131.14)		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
	Are any of the institutions identified as O-SIIs subject to a systemic risk buffer?			
7.2 Combinations with systemic risk buffers (SyRBs) (Article 131.15 CRD)	Currently there are no banks of buffer, the regulation is suspesituation related to the coron system. For further information on the on the following website: https://www.mnb.hu/en/financial-	nded to mitigate the impacavirus outbreak on the fi	cts of the emergency nancial intermediary the announcements	
	macroprudential-toolkit/instrume		•	

 $^{^4}$ Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 15 December 2015 on the assessment of cross-border effects of and voluntary reciprocity for macroprudential policy measures (ESRB/2015/3) (OJ C 97, 12.3.2016, p. 9).

	Name of institution	SyRB rate	SyRB application level	Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
7.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8 CRD)	If the O-SII is a subsidiary of an EU parent institution subject to a G-SII buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a consolidated basis of the parent institution? Does the cap for the subsidiary prevent the implementation of a higher of buffer based on the domestic buffer setting methodology? The MKB Bank Nyrt. is not a subsidiary of an EU parent institution. further information on other O-SIIs, see the notification about the oldentification exercise in 2021. Name of O-SII subsidiary Name of the EU parent of the O-SII subsidiary Buffer SII EU			
8. Miscellaneous				%
8.1 Contact person(s)/mailbox at notifying authority	Contact person(s) (name, pl further inquiries. Mr. Gergely Fábián, Executive Director for Financial and Statistics Phone: +36 (1) 428 2600/1874 E-mail: fabiang@mnb.hu Mr. Tamás Nagy, Director Directorate for Financial System	System Analysis	e-mail address	s) and mailbox for
8.2 Any other relevant information	Phone: +36 (1) 428 2600/2639 E-mail: nagyt@mnb.hu	Allalysis		
8.3 Date of the notification	Please provide the date on w 29/03/2022	hich this notification	n was uploaded	l/sent.