



Notification template for Article 131 of the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs)

Template for notifying the European Central Bank (ECB) and the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) of the setting or resetting of an O-SII buffer under Article 131(7) CRD and of the identity of O-SIIs under Article 131(12) CRD

Please send/upload this template to:

- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB (under Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) Regulation¹);
- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB.

The ESRB will forward this notification to the European Commission, to the European Banking Authority (EBA) and to the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned without delay and will publicly disclose the names of the O-SIIs on its website. This notification will be made public by the ESRB once the relevant authorities have adopted and published the notified macroprudential measure².

E-mailing/uploading this template to the above addresses constitutes official notification; no further official letter is required. To facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows the information to be read electronically.

1. Notifying national aut	hority					
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Bank of Lithuania					
1.2 Country of the notifying authority	Republic of Lithuania					
2. Description of the me						
	Name of institution	LEI	Consolidation level			
2.1a Institution or group of institutions concerned	AB SEB bankas	549300SBPFE9JX7N 8J82	sub-consolidated level			
	"Swedbank", AB	549300GH3DFCXVN BHE59	sub-consolidated level			
	AB Šiaulių bankas	549300TK038P6EV4 YU51	highest level of consolidation			

¹ Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63).

² On request by the notifying authority, it may be agreed with the Head of the ESRB Secretariat that this notification, or a part thereof, should not be published for reasons of confidentiality or financial stability.

2.1b Changes to the list of institutions concerned	No changes compared to last year's notification.						
	Name of institution	New O-SII buffer	Previous O-SII buffer				
	AB SEB bankas	2 %	2 %				
2.2 Level of the buffer	"Swedbank", AB	2 %	2 %				
applied	AB Šiaulių bankas	1 %	1 %				
	Name of identified O-SII	Ultimate EU parent institution	LEI of ultimate parent institution				
2.3 Name of the ultimate EU parent institution	AB SEB bankas	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	F3JS33DEI6XQ4ZBP TN86				
	Swedbank", AB	Swedbank AB	M312WZV08Y7LYUC 71685				
	N/A						
	Name of parent O-SII Name of O-SII subsidiary LEI of O-SII subsidiary identified Identified Identified Identified						
2.4 Names of subsidiaries							
3. Timing for the measur	e						
3.1 Timing for the decision	25/11/2021						
3.2 Timing for publication	26/11/2021						
3.3 Disclosure	The decision will be published on the website of the Bank of Lithuania.						
3.4 Timing for application	What is the intended date of application of the measure? 26/11/2021						
3.5 Phasing in	The buffer requirements for AB SEB bankas and Swedbank", AB have been fully phased in. AB Šiaulių bankas is currently subject to 0.5 % buffer requirement which is set to increase to 1 % by 31 December 2021. The decision on the						

s provided in	I O-SIIs and Point 44.2	ate1 % %	Date2 %	Date %	3 Date4	Date5	
the identified is provided in proved by the	I O-SIIs and Point 44.2	%			%	%	
is provided in proved by the	I O-SIIs and Point 44.2		%	%			
is provided in proved by the	Point 44.2	the O		70	%	%	
	of the O-S	of the F n No 03	Rules for -51 of th	the For	are reviewed mation of Ca d of the BoL c	oital	
institution	Size	Subst	itut-	Com-	Intercon-	Overall	
institution	0126	abili		plexity	nectedness	Score	
bankas	2,672	2,08	33	3,692	2,694	2,785	
ink", AB	3,619	2,93	35	2,700	2,332	2,896	
ių bankas	791	2,10)9	563	3,375	1,709	
bankas	2,672	2,08	33	3,692	4,041	3,122	
ank", AB	3,619	2,93	38	2,700	3,497	3,188	
lių	791	2,10)9	563	545	1,002	
Guidelines, are provided above in regular font, while those estimated according to the adjusted methodology are indicated in bold font .							
 a. whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs; For the most part, we did follow the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs. Our adjusted methodology differed only in the sense that the indicator of the outstanding debt securities was excluded. The rationale for such an adjustment is based on the fact that debt securities outstanding constitute only a negligible share of the liabilities of the banking sector (0.06%), thus taking this indicator into account distorts the overall results to a great degree. By eliminating the indicator of debt securities outstanding, we allocate greater weights to the two remaining indicators in the category of interconnectedness, i.e. intra-financial system liabilities and intra-financial system assets each receive weights of 12.5%. Accordingly, adjusted O-SII scores that we use for informing our decision regarding the capital buffer ratio are as follows: AB SEB bankas – 3,122, "Swedbank", AB – 3,188; AB Šiaulių bankas – 1,002. More detailed information on adjusted scores is annexed to this form. b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs; 350 							
	c. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02%						
	egarding the 4.2. The sco s, are provide usted method ovide informative whether you for For the most p of O-SIIs. Our ndicator of the or such an active outstanding co outstanding sector he overall resistentiates outstanding out securities outstanding out outstanding sector he overall resistentiates outstanding out securities outstanding out of 12.5%. Active nforming out ollows: AB S Siaulių banka s annexed to which thresho	ank", AB 3,619 lių bankas 791 bankas 2,672 ank", AB 3,619 lių 791 ank", AB 3,619 lių 791 a slightly adjusted metholegarding the capital buffi 4.2. The scores, as calors, are provided above in usted methodology are in ovide information on: whether you followed the For the most part, we did of O-SIIs. Our adjusted methodology are is outstanding constitute on panking sector (0.06%), the overall results to a group system liabilities and intractor of the outstanding of 12.5%. Accordingly, a forming our decision follows: AB SEB banka Siaulių bankas – 1,002. sannexed to this form. which threshold score ha	ank", AB3,6192,93lių bankas7912,10bankas2,6722,08ank", AB3,6192,93lių7912,10a slightly adjusted method to ca egarding the capital buffer ratios (4.2. The scores, as calculated s s, are provided above in regular usted methodology are indicated ovide information on: whether you followed the EBA guFor the most part, we did follow t of O-SIIs. Our adjusted methodol ndicator of the outstanding debt or such an adjustment is based outstanding constitute only a neg panking sector (0.06%), thus taki he overall results to a great degr securities outstanding, we allocat ndicators in the category of inter- system liabilities and intra-financi of 12.5%. Accordingly, adjusted nforming our decision regardi follows: AB SEB bankas – 3,12Siaulių bankas – 1,002. More de s annexed to this form. which threshold score has been standing which threshold score has been standing	bankas2,6722,083ank", AB3,6192,935lių bankas7912,109ank", AB3,6192,938ank", AB3,6192,938ank", AB3,6192,938ank", AB3,6192,938ank", AB3,6192,938a slightly adjusted method to calculate C egarding the capital buffer ratios. More co 4.2. The scores, as calculated strictly in s, are provided above in regular font, wh usted methodology are indicated in bold ovide information on: whether you followed the EBA guidelinesFor the most part, we did follow the EBA of O-SIIs. Our adjusted methodology differ ndicator of the outstanding debt securities or such an adjustment is based on the fab outstanding constitute only a negligible si panking sector (0.06%), thus taking this i he overall results to a great degree. By e securities outstanding, we allocate greated ndicators in the category of interconnects system liabilities and intra-financial systee of 12.5%. Accordingly, adjusted O-SII s onlows: AB SEB bankas – 3,122, "Swe Siaulių bankas – 1,002. More detailed in s annexed to this form.	bankas2,6722,0833,692ank", AB3,6192,9352,700lių bankas7912,109563ank", AB3,6192,9382,700ank", AB3,6192,9382,700anking A7912,109563anking his and antication on:according and calculated strictly in accordasted methodology are indicated in bold font.on/de information on:whether you followed the EBA guidelines on thefor the outstanding debt securities was endored on adjustment is based on the fact that on outstanding constitute only a negligible share of the outstanding constitute only a negligible share of the outstanding, we allocate greater weigh indicators in the category of interconnectedness, system liabilities and intra-financial system asset of 12.5%. Accordingly, adjusted O-SII scores of anforming our decision regarding the capita	bankas2,6722,0833,6922,694ank", AB3,6192,9352,7002,332lių bankas7912,1095633,375c bankas2,6722,0833,6924,041ank", AB3,6192,9382,7003,497lių7912,109563545a slightly adjusted method to calculate O-SII scores to informegarding the capital buffer ratios. More detailed information is4.2. The scores, as calculated strictly in accordance with thes, are provided above in regular font, while those estimated acusted methodology are indicated in bold font .ovide information on:whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment ofFor the most part, we did follow the EBA guidelines on the assessof O-SIIs. Our adjusted methodology differed only in the sensendicator of the outstanding debt securities was excluded. Theor such an adjustment is based on the fact that debt securitiesoustanding constitute only a negligible share of the liabilities ofpanking sector (0.06%), thus taking this indicator into accounthe overall results to a great degree. By eliminating the indicatsystem liabilities and intra-financial system assets each receivof 12.5%. Accordingly, adjusted O-SII scores that we use fnordiows: AB SEB bankas – 3,122, "Swedbank", AB – 3,188Siaulių bankas – 1,002. More detailed information on adjustes annexed to this form.which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs;	

4.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicators of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in the second to main the solution (see set) in a separate field by applying supervisory judgement 4.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicators of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350-basis points threshold as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Cuidelines. 4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer As the Guidelines do no specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed by was a set) of the results from both approaches. 1. The goal of the "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoPWA) of banks operating only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII is available only from 2002, and the sample is contamina		have been excluded from the identification process;
4.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/CL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (se Section 4.2 a.). The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the activation set on the use of the "importance for the financial system is, for the methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected of C-SIIs" capital buffers so that the expected impact" and "expected or SIIs" on the expected impact" and "expected or SIIs" on the distribution specific C-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact" and "expected or SIIs" on the set of the transited by the expected impact" and "expected or SIIs" on the set of the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected cost of C-SIIs" operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contarminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific C-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact" and "expected impact" and "expected or SIIs" operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contarminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific C-SIII capital buffers so that the expected impact"		No institutions were excluded from the computations in the identification process with a view to obtaining an accurate total sum of respective
e. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations. Yee, institutions such as credit unions, central credit unions and centra credit union groups were included in the calculations. Have any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified by applying supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? No. A.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (se Section 4.2 a,). The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the fact that participants of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in terms of financial services and their importance for the financial system is, for the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350-basis points threshold as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Guidelines. As the Guidelines do not specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoRWA) of banks operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII capital buffers were calculated as an average of the results from both approaches. 4.4 Calibrating the O-SII The goal of the "expected impact" approach is to determine particular O-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact of failure of systemically important banks (SIBs) would equal that of a reference non-SIB is		
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer 4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer		The Excel file is attached to the present Notification form.
4.3 Supervisory judgement Have any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified by applying supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? No. No. 4.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (se Section 4.2 a.). The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the fact that participants of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in terms of financial services and their importance for the financial system is, for the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350-basis points threshold as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Guidelines. As the Guidelines do not specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoRWA) of banks operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII capital buffers were calculated as an average of the results from both approaches. 1. The goal of the "expected impact" approach is to determine particular O-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact of failure of systemically important banks (SIBs) would equal that of a reference non-SIB (a reference non-SIB is		e. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations.
4.3 Supervisory judgement judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? No. No. 4.3 Supervisory judgement Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in line with EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (se Section 4.2 a.). The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the fact that participants of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in terms of financial services and their importance for the financial system is, for the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350-basis points threshold as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Guidelines. As the Guidelines do not specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoRVA) of banks operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII capital buffers were calculated as an average of the results from both approaches. 1. The goal of the "expected impact" approach is to determine particular O-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact of failure of systemically important banks (SIBs) would equal that of a reference non-SIB (a reference non-SIB is		Yes, institutions such as credit unions, central credit unions and central credit union groups were included in the calculations.
 4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer 4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (see Section 4.2 a.). 	4.3 Supervisory judgement	
of default (PD) of SIBs must be lower than the PD of the reference non-SIB in order to achieve an equal expected impact of failure. Additional O-SII capital buffers for SIBs lower their PDs and thus limit their economic costs of failure. The defined O-SII score of each SIB was used as the relative measure of the	•	 EBA/GL/2014/10, excluding only the indicator of debt securities outstanding (see Section 4.2 a.). The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the fact that participants of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in terms of financial services and their importance for the financial system is, for the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350-basis points threshold as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Guidelines. As the Guidelines do not specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoRWA) of banks operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII capital buffers were calculated as an average of the results from both approaches. 1. The goal of the "expected impact" approach is to determine particular O-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact of failure of systemically important banks (SIBs) would equal that of a reference non-SIB (a reference non-SIB is defined as an institution with the systemic importance score of 350 basis points). Since SIBs have higher economic costs of failure than non-SIBs, the probability of default (PD) of SIBs must be lower than the PD of the reference non-SIB in order to achieve an equal expected impact of failure. Additional O-SII capital buffers for SIBs lower their PDs and thus limit their economic costs of failure. The defined O-SII score of each SIB was used as the relative measure of the economic costs of failure, while the threshold of 350 basis points was used as the

	2. The "expected losses" calibration is based on determining the required loss absorbing capacity of SIBs. It was assumed that a larger than 2.5% loss of the capital adequacy ratio would render a SIB insolvent. The 2.5% capital adequacy threshold for losses was chosen to proxy the capital conservation buffer. The amount of additional capital buffer needed for each SIB is determined by its systemic importance score with the intention to increase its ability to withstand a loss that equals the historical average of Lithuanian bank losses in excess of 2.5% of the capital adequacy ratio.
	The amount of additional capital needed was calculated proportionally to the SIB score in excess of 350 basis points using the average of historical losses exceeding 2.5% of the capital adequacy ratio and the probability of such event.
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	Lithuania's banking system is highly concentrated. In terms of assets, in Q2 2021 the market share of the 3 largest banks comprised around 74% of the total assets of Lithuania's banking system. The failure of a systemically important institution could have a severe negative impact on the stability of the Lithuanian financial sector and on the real economy. A higher capital requirement for systemically important institutions improves the overall banking sector resilience against negative shocks and helps limiting the possibly of misaligned incentives of systemically important financial institutions.
	Lending activities of AB SEB bankas, "Swedbank", AB and AB Šiaulių bankas should not be affected by this intended O-SII decision because the buffers remain unchanged compared to the previous notification period.
5. Sufficiency, consister	ncy and non-overlap of the policy response
5. Sufficiency, consister	Lithuanian banking sector is primarily oriented to financial services provided locally and is highly concentrated.
5. Sufficiency, consister	Lithuanian banking sector is primarily oriented to financial services provided

5.3 Non-overlap of the policy response	There are no other policy instruments used to address the same endogenous risk stemming from the systemic importance of particular institutions. A planned sectoral Systemic Risk Buffer (SyRB) (see Section 7.2) will be aimed at increasing the resilience of the financial sector to residential real estate sector risks in the light of continued rapid growth of housing loan portfolio, potential overvaluation of housing prices and their accelerated growth, as well as the increased share of mortgage loans in banks' loan portfolios.
6. Cross-border and cros	ss-sector impact of the measure
6.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the Internal Market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2 ³)	Cross-border effects and the impact on the internal market are expected to be non-material as the cross-border activities of the identified O-SIIs within the EU are limited. EU parent institutions of the two local subsidiaries identified as O-SIIs are required to hold higher buffer if considering SyRB and O-SII buffers together.
6.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	As the measure is institution-specific and applied at the highest consolidation level in Lithuania, a possibility of any leakages nationally is minimal.

7. Combinations and interactions with other measures

	N/A					
7.1 Combinations between G-	Name of institution		O-SII buffer		G-SII buffer	
SII and O-SII buffers			%		%	
(Article 131.14)			%		%	
			%		%	
	a. What is/are	e the systemic	risk buffer rate	es(s)?		
7.2 Combinations with systemic risk buffers (SyRBs) (Article 131.15 CRD)	sectoral Sy Lithuania w 2022. All b be subject	/RB rate of 2 % vhich are secu anks, central o	% to retail (natu red by residen credit unions ar I SyRB if their e	iral persons) e tial property, e nd central cred	a. We plan to set a xposures in ffective from 1 July it union groups wil e relevant sector is	
	consolidati	on level and/o	ystemic risk bu r individual)? plied at the nat	.,	·	
	c. Is the sum the higher G-SII buffe	of the system of the G-SII a	ic risk buffer ra and O-SII buffe D-SII buffer at	te(s) and the (r rates, if a gr	D-SII buffer rate (o oup is subject to a level) to which the	
	Name of institut	tion	SyRB rate	SyRB application level	Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates	

³ Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 15 December 2015 on the assessment of cross-border effects of and voluntary reciprocity for macroprudential policy measures (ESRB/2015/3) (OJ C 97, 12.3.2016, p. 9).

[1			
	AB SEB bankas	2 %	Sub-	4 %		
			consolidate			
			d			
	"Swedbank", AB	2 %	Sub-	4 %		
			consolidate			
			d			
	AB Šiaulių bankas	2 %	Highest	3 %		
	Ab Slaulių balikas	2 70	level of	5 70		
			consolidati			
			on			
			OII			
		%		%		
		%		%		
		%		%		
		%		%		
		%		%		
	Our buffer calibration method suggests slightly higher O-SII capital buffers for A					
	SEB bankas and "Swedbank					
	Name of O-SII subsidiary	subsidi		applicable to O-		
7.3 O-SII requirement for a			2	SII EU parent		
subsidiary (Article 131.8	AB SEB bankas	Skandinavisk	a Enskilda	1 %		
CRD)						
	Banken					
		AB				
	"Swedbank", AB	Swedbank AB		1 %		
				%		
8. Miscellaneous						
o. Miscellaneous						
		M 1 C 1	D // D' · · ·	. 070 050 40005		
8.1 Contact	Nijolė Valinskytė, Head of th	e Macroprudential	Policy Division	, +370 650 40605		
person(s)/mailbox at	(nvalinskyte@lb.lt) Edita Bačkieriūtė Principal Economist Macroprudential Policy Division					
notifying authority	Edita Bačkieriūtė, Principal Economist, Macroprudential Policy Division,					
	+370 658 30547 (<u>ebackieriute@lb.lt)</u>					
	N/A					
8.2 Any other relevant						
information						
	25/10/2021					
8.3 Date of the notification						