





Template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority							
1.1 Name of the no- tifying authority	Banco de España (BdE)						
2. Description o	of the measure						
	On which institution(s) is the measure applied	ed (name and LEI c	:ode)?				
2.1 Concerned insti-	Name of institution	LEI-Code		SSM-Code			
tution or group of	Banco Santander, S.A.	5493006QMFDE	DMYWIAM13	ESSAN			
institutions	Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A.	K8MS7FD7N5Z2WQ51AZ71		ESBBV			
	CaixaBank, S.A.	7CUNS533WID6K7DGFI87		ESCAX			
	Banco de Sabadell, S.A.	SI5RG2M0WQC	LZCXKRM20	ESSAB			
	What is the level of the buffer (in %) applied to the institution(s)?						
	The buffer requirement applies at the highest level of consolidation.						
	Name of institution	O-SII buffer rate in 2022	O-SII buffer rate in 2023	_			
	Banco Santander, S.A.	1.0%	1.0%	T			
	Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A.	0.75%	0.75%	-			
2.2 Level of the	CaixaBank, S.A.	0.375%	0.5%				
buffer applied	Banco de Sabadell, S.A.	0.25%	0.25%				
	 Compared to last year's exercise, there are two changes: (i) BFA Tenedora de Acciones, S.A.U. (holding of Bankia, S.A.) drops from the list due to the merger of Bankia, S.A. with CaixaBank, S.A. (effective since March 2021). (ii) The systemic footprint of CaixaBank, S.A. accordingly increases as a result of the merger with Bankia, S.A The applicable O-SII rate increases from 0,25% in 2021 to 0,5% (which is to be phased in by 1 January 2023). 						







2.3 Name of the EU ultimate parent in- stitution	Please provide the name and the LEI code of the EU ultimate parent institution of the group of each of the concerned institutions, in case the EU ultimate parent institution is not the concerned institution itself. Not applicable.				
2.4 Names of sub- sidiaries	If any of the concerned institutions is a parent institution and the buffer is applied on a (sub)con- solidated level, please name the subsidiaries of the institution that are notified as O-SIIs (please give name and LEI code). Not applicable.				
3. Timing of the					
3.1 Timing of the Decision	What is the date of the official decision? <u>For SSM countries when notifying the ECB</u> : provide the date when the decision referred to in Article 5 of the SSMR shall be taken. 27 July 2021.				
3.2 Timing of the Publication	What is the date of publication of the notified measure? Shortly after the official decision date (and no later than 30 July).				
3.3 Disclosure	Information about the communication strategy of the notified measure to the market. As in previous years, the institutions designated as O-SIIs and their respective capital buffer requirements will be announced via press statement on the BdE website. The infor- mation will remain available under the following link: EN: <u>https://www.bde.es/bde/en/areas/estabilidad/politica-macropr/identifica- cion_bbe79f06544b261.html</u>				
3.4 Timing of Appli- cation	What is the intended date of activation (i.e. as of which date shall the measure be applicable)? 1 January 2022 (applicable until 31 December 2022).				
3.5 Phasing in	What is the intended timeline The general phase-in of O-S For CaixaBank, S.A. the new January 2023. Name of institution	SII buffer require	ments in Spain e		
3.6 Review of the measure	CaixaBank, S.A.0.25%0.375%0.5%When will the measure be reviewed (Article 131(6) and 131(12) specify that the buffer, the identification of O-SIIs and the allocation into subcategories must be reviewed at least annually)?By 30 November 2022 at the latest.				
4. Reason for O-SII identification and activation of the O-SII buffer					
4.1 Scores of con- cerned institution or group of institu- tions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O- SIIs	 Please list here the name, overall scores, category scores, and indicator values of the identified O-SIIs related to a. size; b. importance for the economy of the relevant Member State or the Union, capturing substitutability/financial institution infrastructure; c. complexity, including the additional complexities from cross-border activity; 				







(Article 131.3)	d. int	erconnectedness	of the institut	ion or (sub	-)group with th	ne financial sys	stem.
	(EBA/GL/2	ication exercise, 014/10), yields the tutions with an o	e results dis	played in t	the table belo	ow. O-SIIs are	determined as
		se has been con s at their highest			in Spain.	ber 2020 data	
	Name of	institution	Overall score	Size (25%)	Import. (25%)	Complex. (25%)	Interconn. (25%)
	Banco Sa	ntander, S.A.	4,358	988	653	1,495	1,222
	Banco Bil	bao Vizcaya	2,048	425	464	644	514
	Argentaria CaixaBan		1,249	392	507	126	225
		Sabadell, S.A.	477	156	132	93	96
		co de España. Ires in basis points.	1	11		1	11
		ormation on the nt exercise are pr			•		
	Please prov	vide information or	1:				
	Ye Th tif Fli	ether you followed s, the identificati le FINREP variab y O-SIIs have b NREP data was n is issue generally	ion of the O- les propose een used v ot available,	SIIs is bas d by the E vhenever alternative	ed on EBA/G BA guideline possible. Fo e data source	GL/2014/10. es (EBA/GL/20 or those insti es at the BdE	tutions where
		nich threshold scor Iseline threshold			-	h EBA/GL/201	4/10).
4.2 Methodology and indicators used	c. which overall score is attributed to the O-SIIs						
for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	 which of the optional indicators have been used to justify supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what are the scores No optional indicators have been used. 						
	e. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State Not applicable.						
		ny the bank is syste ot applicable.	emically impo	ortant in ter	ms of those p	articular optior	al indicators
	 g. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process No. 					have been ex-	
	Na	mes and scores o mes and scores readsheet file.					







				uded in the calculations ded in the calculations.		
4.3 Supervisory judgement	Has any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified through supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions. No institutions have been identified through supervisory judgement. Besides, no institution stands below-but-close to the 350 bp threshold.					
	Please provide information on the criteria and indicators used to calibrate the level of the O-SII buffer requirement and the mapping to institution-specific buffer requirements.					
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	Buffer requirements for identified institutions are set in accordance with the O-SII method- ological framework agreed for the Single Supervisory Mechanism by the ECB (see Govern- ing Council Statement on Macroprudential Policies, 15 December 2016), under the follow- ing bucketing scheme adopted by the Banco de España: Bucket Overall score range (bp) Phased-in buffer rate (CET1 RWA)					
		4	2,901 or above	1.0%		
		3	1,951 – 2,900	0.75%		
		2	901 – 1,950	0.50%		
		1	350 - 900	0.25%		
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	The O-SII buffer is acknowledged to mitigate negative externalities, reduce potential moral hazard incentives and implicit subsidies (in terms of funding costs) and, ultimately, increase the designated institutions' resilience. The proposed measure ensures continuity with previous years' O-SII decisions. Past and present phase-in arrangements for the build-up of the O-SII buffer have sought to allow O-SIIs to comply with the buffer requirement in a smooth and gradual manner, thereby minimising any unintended negative effects to the financial system or the real economy.					
5. Cross-border	and cross-sector	impact of the me	easure			
5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely im- pact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	 Assessment of the cross-border effects of the implementation of the draft measure. a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macro-prudential Policy in the Banking Sector¹ can be used. b. Assessment of: cross-border effects (leakages and regulatory arbitrage) of the implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers); and cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers). 					

¹ Available on the ESRB's website at www.esrb.europa.eu.







	The Spanish banking sector is dominated by national (i.e. domestically-owned) institu- tions. Furthermore, large and internationally active Spanish banks operate abroad typically through financially autonomous subsidiaries. These features are considered to limit the potential for cross-border effects resulting from the O-SII buffer requirement. Supported by empirical evidence and the experience gained since 2016 with the use of this macroprudential instrument, BdE's internal assessment of the O-SII buffer requirement suggests limited spillovers within the EU (particularly vis-à-vis those Member States host- ing the institutions' subsidiaries). Likewise, other types of potential cross-border effects of the proposed measure are, a priori, expected to be contained. BdE remains committed to monitor developments in this area over the coming year in coordination with the relevant EU bodies and national authorities.
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regu- latory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	Referring to your country's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e., circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)? The scope for potential leakages and regulatory arbitrage/circumvention is deemed to be very narrow, particularly on account of two key mitigating factors: (i) the macroprudential measure is directly targeted at the largest Spanish banking groups (which account for around 80% of total assets of the country's banking sector), and (ii) the Spanish financial system is largely bank-based.
6. Combinations	s and interactions with other measures
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	In case both G-SII and O-SII criteria applied to the same institution at the consolidated level, which of the two buffers is the highest? Banco Santander, S.A. is the only institution being identified both as G-SII and O-SII (with the same buffer rates: 1.0% in 2022).
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Ar- ticle 133.5)	 Are any of the institutions subject to a systemic risk buffer? No. If yes, please provide the following information: a. What is the level of the systemic risk buffer (in %) applied to the concerned institution b. Is the systemic risk buffer applied to all exposures located in your Member State only? c. Is the systemic risk buffer applied at the same consolidation level as the O-SII buffer? Not applicable.
6.3 O-SII require- ment for a subsidi- ary (Article 131.8)	In case the O-SII is a subsidiary of an EU parent institution which is subject to a G-SII or O-SII buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a consolidated basis of the parent institution? Not applicable.
6.4 Interaction with other measures	How does the buffer requirement interact with other measures addressing the same risk (e.g. with other supervisory measures)? Not applicable. The proposed buffer requirements are not part of a combination of macro- prudential measures aimed to address the same risk. Besides, the countercyclical capital







Γ	cuffer rate remains, at the time of this notification, at 0% for credit exposures located in
	Spain.

7. Miscellaneous				
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Mailbox at the Financial Stability and Macroprudential Policy Department of BdE: macropru(at)bde(dot)es			
7.2 Any other relevant information	This notification was submitted on 29 June 2021, together with a confidential spreadsheet file (xls format) containing the sample and bank-level scores of the O-SII assessment exercise.			