





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- <u>notifications@esrb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority					
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Bank of Lithuania				
2. Description of the	measure				
2.1 Concerned institution	O-SII institution			LEI Code	
or group of institutions	AB SEI	B bankas	549	549300SBPFE9JX7N8J82	
or group or institutions		bank", AB		300GH3DFCXVNBHE59	
	AB Šiaulių bankas		549	549300TK038P6EV4YU51	
	O-SII institution			O-SII buffer	
	AB SEB bankas			2%	
	"Swedbank", AB			2%	
2.2 Level of the buffer		iaulių bankas		1%	
applied	The O-SII buffer requirement applied to the identified O-SIIs is to be met with CET1 capital instruments and shall be maintained at the highest consolidation level in Lithuania. There are no changes in O-SII buffers compared to the last notification.				
2.3 Name of the EU	Bank name	Parent company	name	Parent company LEI code	
ultimate parent institution	AB SEB bankas	Skandinaviska Enskild AB	a Banken	F3JS33DEI6XQ4ZBPTN86	
	"Swedbank", AB	Swedbank AE	3	M312WZV08Y7LYUC71685	
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	N/A				
3. Timing of the measure					
3.1 Timing of the Decision	The Board of the Bank of Lithuania (BoL) is expected to take its official decision on 17 December 2020.				
3.2 Timing of the Publication	The list of O-SIIs and applicable buffer rates will be disclosed to the public on the BoL's website after the official decision of the Board of the BoL is adopted.				

3.3 Disclosure				
	The decision will be published on the BoL's website.			
3.4 Timing of Application	already in force, which is why there application.	"Swedbank", AB remain unchanged and are is no reason to set a particular timing of		
	2021 (until the said date, the buffer appl	pankas will be applicable as of 31 December ied to AB Šiaulių bankas is 0.5%).		
3.5 Phasing in	A phase-in period for AB Šiaulių bankas to meet the higher requirement ends on 31 December 2021 (no changes compared to the last notification).			
3.6 Review of the measure	The list of the identified O-SIIs and the O-SII buffer rates are reviewed at least annually as provided for in Points 41.2 and 42.3 of the Rules for the Formation of Capital Buffers approved by the Resolution No 03-51 of the Board of the BoL of 9 April 2015.			
4. Reason for O-SII in	dentification and activation of the O-SII	buffer		
4.1 Scores of concerned	O-SII institution	O-SII score*		
institution or group of	AB SEB bankas	3253		
institutions, as per EBA	"Swedbank", AB	2371		
guidelines on the	AB Šiaulių bankas	1078		
assessment of O-SIIs	*Calculated using adjusted methodology			
(Article 131.3)	securities outstanding. For rationale, see question a. in section 4.2.			
	 Please provide information on: a. whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs For the most part, we followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs. The only divergence in our adjusted methodology comes from leaving out the indicator of debt securities outstanding. The rationale for such an adjustment is based on the fact that debt securities outstanding constitute only a negligible share of the banking sector's liabilities (0.07%), thus taking this indicator into account distorts the overall results to a great degree. To illustrate, automatic adherence to EBA guidelines in calculating O-SII scores (i.e. incorporating debt securities outstanding in the equation) would result in the following O-SII scores: AB SEB bankas – 2891, "Swedbank", AB – 2222, AB Šiaulių bankas – 1761. By eliminating the indicator of debt securities outstanding, we allocate greater weights to the two remaining indicators in the category of interconnectedness, i.e. intrafinancial system liabilities and intra-financial system assets each receive weights of 12.5%. b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs 350 basis points c. which overall score is attributed to the O-SIIs See section 4.1 d. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what are the scores No optional indicators have been used. e. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State Not applicable f. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% 			

4.3 Supervisory judgement	 No institutions were excluded from the computations in the identification process in order to receive an accurate total sum of respective indicators. h. names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate excel file, see 4.1) The Excel file is attached to the notification letter. i. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations Yes, institutions such as credit unions, central credit unions and central credit union groups were included in the calculations. Has any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified through supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions. No
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	Designation of O-SIIs in Lithuania was carried out in accordance with Guidelines EBA/GL/2014/10 on criteria for the assessment of O-SIIs of 16 December 2014 (hereinafter – the Guidelines). In line with the scoring methodology provided in the Guidelines, the systemic importance of institutions was assessed using 9 mandatory indicators (eliminating the indicator of debt securities outstanding; see question 4.2 a.) covering 4 different criteria. The criteria are as follows: size, importance (including substitutability/financial system infrastructure), complexity/cross-border activity, interconnectedness. The BoL did not use any optional indicators based on the fact that participants of the Lithuania's financial system are rather homogeneous in terms of financial services and their importance for the financial system is, for the most part, fully characterised by the mandatory indicators. The BoL has decided to maintain the 350 basis points threshold (out of a total of 10,000 basis points) as a limit of systemic importance defined by the Guidelines. As the Guidelines do not specify any buffer calibration methods, the calibration was based on 2 approaches: "expected impact" and "expected losses" (detailed below). The quarterly returns on risk weighted assets (RoRWA) of banks operating in Lithuania were used for both methods. However, the data is available only from 2002, and the sample is contaminated by large negative returns during only one crisis episode (in 2009–2010). Institution-specific O-SII capital buffers were calculated as an average of the results from both approaches. 1. The goal of the "expected impact" approach is to determine particular O-SII capital buffers so that the expected impact of failure of systemical purificular O-SII capital buffers for SIBs in order to achieve an equal expected impact of failure than non-SIBs, the probability of default (PD) of SIBs must be lower than the PD of the reference non-SIB in order to achieve an equal expected impact of failure of 350 basis points). Since SIBs
	2. The "expected losses" calibration is based on determining the required loss absorbing capacity of SIBs. It was assumed that a larger than 2.5% loss of the capital adequacy ratio would render a SIB insolvent. The 2.5% capital adequacy threshold for losses was chosen to proxy the capital conservation buffer. The amount of additional capital buffer needed for each SIB is determined by its systemic importance score with the intention to increase its ability to withstand a loss that equals the historical average of Lithuanian bank losses in excess of 2.5% of the

	capital adequacy rati	0	
	The amount of additional capital needed was calculated proportionally to the SIB score in excess of 350 basis points using the average of historical losses exceeding 2.5% of the capital adequacy ratio and the probability of such event.		
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	Lithuania's banking system is highly concentrated. In terms of assets, in Q2 2020 the market share of the 3 largest banks comprised around 70% of the total assets of Lithuania's banking system. The failure of a systemically important institution could have a severe negative impact on the stability of the Lithuanian financial sector and on the real economy. A higher capital requirement for systemically important institutions improves overall banking sector resilience against negative shocks and also helps to limit the possibly misaligned incentives of systemically important financial institutions. Lending activities of AB SEB bankas, "Swedbank", AB and AB Šiaulių bankas should not be affected by this intended O-SII decision because the buffers remain unchanged compared to the previous notification period. However, a phase-in period		
		a to the previous notification per s to meet the higher requirement	
5. Cross-border and cross-sector impact of the measure			
5.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	The cross-border effects and the impact on the internal market are expected to be non-material as the cross-border activities of the identified O-SIIs within the EU are limited. No spill-over effects are expected because all Member States have introduced O-SII capital buffers in accordance with CRD IV (2013/36/EU).		
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	As the measure is institution-specific and applied at the highest consolidation level in Lithuania, possibility of any leakages is minimal.		
6. Combinations and	interactions with oth	er measures	
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A		
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	SRB has not been set.		
6.3 O-SII requirement for	Bank name	Parent company name	O-SII buffer rate of parent company
a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	AB SEB bankas	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	2%
	"Swedbank", AB	Swedbank AB	2%
6.4 Interaction with other measures	N/A		

7. Miscellaneous				
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Nijolė Valinskytė, Head of the Macroprudential Policy Division, +370 5 268 0135 (<u>nvalinskyte@lb.lt</u>)			
	Edita Bačkieriūtė, Principal Economist, Macroprudential Policy Division, +370 5 268 0195 (ebackieriute@lb.lt)			
7.2 Any other relevant information	N/A			