





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- <u>notifications@esrb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority					
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Financial Market Authority Liechtenstein (FMA Liechtenstein)				
2. Description of the measure					
	The following institutions have been identified as O-SIIs (on the highest level of consolidation):				
2.1 Concerned institution or	- LGT Bank AG (LEI code: 7KDSOB6Z0X4S67TMX170)				
group of institutions	 Liechtensteinische Landesbank AG (LEI code: 5299000E1FOAM50XLP72) 				
	- VP Bank AG (LEI code: MI3TLH1I0D58ORE24Q14)				
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	The O-SII buffer for all identified institutions (see above, 2.1) has been set to 2%.				
	- LGT Group Foundation (LEI code: 5493009EIBTCB1X12G89)				
2.3 Name of the EU ultimate parent institution	 Liechtensteinische Landesbank AG (LEI code: 5299000E1FOAM50XLP72) 				
	- VP Bank AG (LEI code: MI3TLH1I0D58ORE24Q14)				
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	n/a				
3. Timing of the measure					
3.1 Timing of the Decision	20 November 2019				
3.2 Timing of the Publication	26 November 2019				
3.3 Disclosure	The results of the O-SII analysis are published on the website of the FMA Liechtenstein.				

3.4 Timing of Application	1 January 2020					
3.5 Phasing in	No phasing in.					
3.6 Review of the measure	The level of the O-SII buffers will be reviewed annually. This analysis has been conducted based on data from 31 December 2018.					
4. Reason for O-SII identification and activation of the O-SII buffer						
4.1 Scores of concerned institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs (Article 131.3)	The following table sho scores (columns 1 to 4) the EBA guidelines incl a. size (column 1 b. importance for capturing subs c. complexity, ind activity (colum d. interconnected system (colum <u>LGT Bank AG</u> Liechtensteinische Landesbank AG VP Bank AG	and overal ude:); the econor stitutability/fi cluding the a n 3); dness of the n 4). (1) 5032 2651 1440	I scores (col my of the rel- inancial insti additional co institution c (2) 2710 3600 1432	umn 5). The evant Memb tution infras omplexities f or (sub-)grou (3) <u>6623</u> <u>1847</u> 910	e categories ber State of structure (co from cross- up with the (4) 6772 1852 1095	s based on the Union, blumn 2); border financial Overall score (5) 5284 2488 1219
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	 The standard methodology (based on the EBA guidelines) has been applied. Please refer to the attached Excel file. Please provide information on: a. whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs: The identification of the O-SII follows the EBA guidelines according to EBA/GL/2014/10. b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs: The standard threshold of 350 basis points has been applied. c. which overall score is attributed to the O-SIIs: In total, the three identified O-SIIs' score sum up to 8991 basis points (see 4.1). d. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what are the scores: Banks' assets relative to Liechtenstein's GDP ("Total Assets / Member State's GDP") e. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State: Liechtenstein's banking sector is very large relative to the size of the economy, i.e. the indicator is appropriate to take into account this specific factor. f. why the bank is systemically important in terms of those particular optional indicators: According to the additional indicator ("Total Assets / Member State's GDP"), the three largest banks in Liechtenstein, but the three largest banks in the whole EEA. g. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process: n/a h. names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate excel file, see 4.1): 					

	Please see attached Excel file.
	i. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations:
	n/a
	No.
4.3 Supervisory judgement	
4.5 Supervisory judgement	
	With regard to the calibration methodology, the FMA has defined three buckets
	with different buffer rates depending on the score. The categories differentiate the
	institutions according to their level of systemic importance depending on the
	respective score. Each identified institution has to hold an O-SII buffer of at least
	1 %. Currently, all of the three identified O-SIIs are allocated to Bucket 1, i.e. signalling "very strong" systemic importance.
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII	Scores Category O-SII Buffer
buffer	0 – 349 No systemic relevance –
	350 – 674 Bucket 3 – considerable systemic importance 1.0%
	675 – 999 Bucket 2 – strong systemic importance 1.5%
	≥ 1000 Bucket 1 – very strong systemic importance 2.0%
	The impact of the failure of a systemic bank on the domestic financial sector and
	the real economy is much larger than the impact of the failure of a non-systemic
4.5 Effectiveness and	bank. By increasing the loss-absorbing capacity of systemic institutions, the
proportionality of measure	probability of default is significantly reduced. Due to the large size of
	Liechtenstein's O-SIIs relative to the domestic economy, we assess the applied
	O-SII buffers as being important for safeguarding financial stability.
5. Cross-border and cros	s-sector impact of the measure
5.1 Assessment of cross-	The measure applies to Liechtenstein banks at the consolidated level.
border effects and the likely	Theoretically, there could be an impact on individuals or companies outside of
impact on the internal market	Liechtenstein through cross-border credits or exposures of subsidiaries and
(Recommendation	branches. However, given the current capitalisation of the identified banks - well
ESRB/2015/2)	above the regulatory requirements, including buffers - the FMA expects the
	impact to be very low (or even inexistent).
5.2 Assessment of leakages	Since the buffer is applied at the consolidated level, jurisdictional shifts of
and regulatory arbitrage	activities within the banking groups due to regulatory arbitrage are impossible.
within the notifying Member	Additionally, given the high capitalisation of the identified institutions, the
State	incentives to circumvent the measures are very limited.
6. Combinations and inte	ractions with other measures
6.1 Combinations between G-	n/a
SII and O-SII buffers (Article	
131.14)	
,	

6.2 Combinations with SRB	The systemic risk buffer has also been re-calibrated, with the new buffer requirements entering into force on 1 January 2020. For the three identified O-SIIs in Liechtenstein, the following requirements apply: a. The level of the systemic risk buffer is set to 2% for the three O-SIIs.	
(Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	 Is the systemic risk buffer applied to all exposures located in your Member State only? No, the systemic risk buffer is applied to all exposures. 	
	c. Is the systemic risk buffer applied at the same consolidation level as the O-SII buffer? The systemic risk buffer is applied both at the consolidated and at the individual level.	
6.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	In case the O-SII is a subsidiary of an EU parent institution which is subject to a G-SII or O-SII buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a consolidated basis of the parent institution? n/a	
6.4 Interaction with other measures	How does the buffer requirement interact with other measures addressing the same risk (e.g. with other supervisory measures)? The O-SII buffer and the systemic risk buffer do not take effect cumulatively, i.e. only the higher buffer requirement applies. Therefore, we do not see any overlap in this regard.	

7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Martin Gächter, Head of Financial Stability / Macroprudential Supervision martin.gaechter@fma-li.li, +423 236 7392
7.2 Any other relevant information	The legal procedure is still pending. We expect the legal effect from 5 December 2019.