





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)¹

Please send this template to

- <u>notifications@esrb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ESRB;
- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

1. Notifying national authority					
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Bank of Greece (BoG)				
2. Descriptior	n of the mea	asure			
	Credit In	stitution Name	LEI Code	Application Level	
2.1 Concerned institution or	National	Bank of Greece S.A.	5UMCZOEYKCVFAW8ZLO05	Highest level of consolidation	on
group of	Piraeus Bank S.A.		M6AD1Y1KW32H8THQ6F76	Highest level of consolidation	on
institutions	Alpha Bank S.A.		5299009N55YRQC69CN08	Highest level of consolidation	on
	Eurobank Ergasias Bank S.A.		JEUVK5RWVJEN8W0C9M24	Highest level of consolidation	on
		Credit Institution Na	me O-SII buffe	r requirement (2022)	
2.2 Level of the		National Bank of Greece S.A.		1.00%	
buffer applied		Piraeus Bank S.A.		0.75%	
		Alpha Bank S.A.		1.00%	
		Eurobank Ergasias Ba	ank S.A.	1.00%	
2.3 Name of the					
parent company	The concerned institutions are the EU ultimate parent institutions of the groups concerned				
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	n/a				

¹ To be filled in and submitted for each O-SII.

3. Timing of the measure						
3.1 Timing of the Decision	The Bank of Greece is expected to take its final decision on 01/11/2019					
3.2 Timing of the Publication	The intended date for publication of the final decision on the O-SII buffer is 18/11/2019					
3.3 Disclosure	BoG shall notify the ECB, the ESRB, the European Commission, EBA and the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned. The decision is intended to be disclosed on the BoG's website on the 18/11/2019, and circular letters will be sent to the O-SII institutions informing them of this decision.					
3.4 Timing of Application	The intended date of activation (the date that the measure will be applicable) is 1 January 2020 For the O-SIIs identified, the buffers will be fully implemented on 1 January 2022 according to the phase in scheme below. For 2020 the O-SII buffer is set at 0.50% for the O-SIIs identified.					
	The intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure is:					
	Credit Institution Name	1/1/2019	1/1/2020	1/1/2021	1/1/2022	
	National Bank of Greece S.A.	0.25%	0.50%	0.75%	1.00%	
3.5 Phasing in	Piraeus Bank S.A.	0.25%	0.50%	0.75%	0.75%	
	Alpha Bank S.A.	0.25%	0.50%	0.75%	1.00%	
	Eurobank Ergasias Bank S.A.	0.25%	0.50%	0.75%	1.00%	
3.6 Review of the measure	The measure will be reviewed on an annual basis.					

4. Reason for activation of the O-SII buffer

		Size	Importance	Complexity	Interconnectedness	Total Score		
nstitution or	National Bank of Greece S.A.	2.462	2.286	2.605	2.285	2.409		
group of	Alpha Bank S.A.	2.402	2.280	2.005	2.265	2.409		
institutions, as	Piraeus Bank S.A.	2.378	2.655	1.064	1.195	1.835		
per EBA	Eurobank Ergasias Bank S.A.	2.424	2.055	3.602	3.329	2.864		
guidelines on the		<i>L.L. L</i>	2.201	0.002	0.020	2.001		
assessment of O-								
Slls								
	-The identification has be	en carried ou	t using the crite	ria outlined a	nd defined in the m	ethodology of		
	EBA Guidelines (EBA/GL		0			0,		
	-Banking institutions with	-	l or higher than	350 basis po	ints were designate	ed as an O-S		
	-BoG applied the manda	atory indicato	rs as per the	EBA relative	GLs. BoG did no	t use any c		
	optional indicators presen	-	-					
	-See table below for the r	name and sco	ores of the entiti	es that were	included in the ider	ntification pro		
	but were not designated as OSIIs.							
	-BoG's scoring process was conducted using consolidated FINREP/COREP data as of 31 December							
	-BoG's scoring process v	vas conducte	d using consoli	idated FINRE	P/COREP data as	of 31 Dece		
	-BoG's scoring process v 2018 on a consolidated b		U					
	01	asis. When th	e FINREP varia	ables were no	ot available, proxies	were used		
	2018 on a consolidated b	asis. When th	e FINREP varia	ables were no	ot available, proxies	were used		
	2018 on a consolidated b	asis. When th I December 2	e FINREP varia 2018) suppleme	ables were no	ot available, proxies ional data available	were used to BoG.		
and indicators	2018 on a consolidated b from solo accounts (dated	asis. When th I December 2	e FINREP varia 2018) suppleme ze Importance	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity	ot available, proxies ional data available	to BoG.		
and indicators used for	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated	asis. When the design of the d	ne FINREP varia 2018) suppleme 2018 Importanc 1 145	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity 2	ot available, proxies ional data available Interconnectedness 169	to BoG. Total Score		
and indicators used for designation of the	2018 on a consolidated b from solo accounts (dated	asis. When the design of the d	ne FINREP varia 2018) suppleme 2018 Importanc 1 145	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity	ot available, proxies ional data available	to BoG.		
and indicators used for	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated	asis. When the design of the d	e FINREP varia 2018) suppleme 2018)	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity 2	ot available, proxies ional data available Interconnectedness 169	to BoG. Total Score		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A.	asis. When the december 2	re FINREP varia 2018) suppleme 1 145 12 1 21	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity 2 5	ot available, proxies ional data available Interconnectedness 169 11	to BoG. Total Score 112 9		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A.	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Image Importance 1 145 1 12 1 21 7 0,2	ables were no nted by additi e Complexity 2 5 1	t available, proxies ional data available Interconnectedness 169 11 13	Total Score 112 9 11		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance 1 145 1 145 1 21 7 0,2 3 33	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02	t available, proxies ional data available Interconnectedness 169 11 13 0,6	to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated b from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE OF LIMITED LIABIL	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Image Importance 1 145 1 145 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02	t available, proxies ional data available interconnectedness 169 11 13 0,6 13	were used to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF EPIRUS I PANCRETAN COOPERATIVE BANK COOPERATIVE BANK OF KARDITS	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance 1 145 1 145 1 12 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11 2 73	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02	Interconnectedness Interconnecte	were used f to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16 8		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated b from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF EPIRUS I PANCRETAN COOPERATIVE BANK COOPERATIVE BANK OF KARDITS COOPERATIVE BANK OF THESSA	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance Importance 1 145 1 145 1 12 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11 2 73 10 10	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02 - - - -	Interconnectedness Interconnecte	were used to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16 8 45 5		
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF EPIRUS I PANCRETAN COOPERATIVE BANK COOPERATIVE BANK OF KARDITS COOP. L.L.	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11 2 73 10 11	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02 - - - - - - - -	Interconnectedness Interconnecte	were used f to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16 8 45 5 6		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF THESSAI COOPERATIVE BANK OF THESSAI COOPERATIVE BANK OF THESSAI COOPERATIVE BANK OF THESSAI	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance Importance 1 145 1 145 1 12 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11 2 73 10 11 5 5	ables were no nted by addition e Complexity 2 5 1 0,02 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Interconnectedness 169 11 13 0,6 13 11 45 7 4 0,4	were used to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16 8 45 5 6 2		
and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	2018 on a consolidated by from solo accounts (dated ATTICA BANK S.A. INVESTMENT BANK OF GREECE S AEGEAN BALTIC BANK S.A. PRAXIA BANK S.A COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF CHANIA COOPERATIVE BANK OF EPIRUS I PANCRETAN COOPERATIVE BANK COOPERATIVE BANK OF KARDITS COOP. L.L.	asis. When the distribution of the distributication of the distribution of the distrib	Importance Importance 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 145 1 12 1 21 7 0,2 3 33 0 11 2 73 10 11 5 6	ables were no nted by additi Complexity 2 5 1 0,02 - - - - - - - -	Interconnectedness Interconnecte	were used f to BoG. Total Score 112 9 11 0,6 16 8 45 5 6		

4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	For the calibration of buffer, the Bank of Greece relies on a rather simple methodology. It consists of a proportional mapping of the scores based on the EBA guidelines to buffer requirements using the highest score as a benchmark/reference. The 1% buffer for the bank with the highest score is set as an anchor point. The final buffer for a given domestic systemic institution is set as the product of 1% by the ratio of the institution's O-SII score over the highest score. For the determination of the final buffer, the percentage is rounded up. Banks were sorted into five buckets according to their O-SII scores, where the O-SII buffer rate is determined by the bucket in which the respective bank finds itself (steps of 0.25 percentage points: 0.00%, 0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75% and 1.00% rounded to the next step). The rates applicable to the five buckets are 0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%, 1.00% and 2.00%, respectively. The highest bucket is empty in order to signal to the market that higher buffer rates could be applied in the future.
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	The build-up of the O-SII buffer will increase resilience of banks and reduce moral hazard arising from the "too big to fail" issue. In the case of Greek Banks, the four identified institutions represent 95.35% of the domestic market thus it is necessary to introduce positive O-SII capital buffers for all of them.
5. Combinatio	ons and interactions with other measures
5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	The Greek banking system is rather concentrated (4 domestic banks holding about 95.35% of the market) whereas is mostly oriented/focused in domestic activities/market. Therefore, we do not expect material negative spillovers for the internal market.
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	Regulatory arbitrage and leakages within Greece are not likely since the four banks hold about 95.35% of the market share and the remaining institutions have much smaller size.

6. Combinatio	ons and interactions with other measures
6.1 Combinations	
between G-SII and OSII buffers	n.a.
(Article 131.14)	
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers	
	No institution is subject to a systemic risk buffer.
(Article 131.14 +	
Article 133.5)	
6.3 O-SII	
requirement for a	n.a.
subsidiary (Article	
131.8)	
6.4 Interaction	
with other	n.a.
measures	
7. Miscellane	ous
	Ioannis Tsikripis
	E-Mail : itsikripis@bankofgreece.gr
7.1 Contact	Phone Contact: 0030 210 3205031
person(s) at	
notifying	
authority	Ekaterini Korbi
	E-Mail : akorbi@bankofgreece.gr
	Phone Contact: 0030 210 3205167
7.2 Any other	-
relevant	
information	