





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- notifications@eba.europa.eu when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national	authority			
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	The Financial and Capital Market Commission (FCMC)			
2. Description of the	measure			
	Bank name		LEI code	
	Luminor Bank AS	213800LDOTK.	JMCUB1M79	
	"Swedbank" AS	549300FXBIWV	WGK7T0Y98	
2.1 Concerned institution	AS "SEB banka"	549300YW95G	1VBBGGV07	
or group of institutions	Akciju sabiedrība "Citadele banka"	2138009Y59EA	R7H1UO97	
	Akciju sabiedrība "Rietumu Banka"	2138007F5HA5	FFJROB80	
	Bank name	O-SII buffer from 2019	m 30 June	
	Luminor Bank AS	2%		
	"Swedbank" AS	2%		
	AS "SEB banka"	1.75%		
	Akciju sabiedrība "Citadele banka"	1.5%		
2.2 Level of the buffer	Akciju sabiedrība "Rietumu Banka"	1.25%		
applied	The O-SII buffer requirement applied to the identified O-SIIs listed above is to be met by CET1 capital instruments and shall be maintained at the highest consolidation level in Latvia. Compared to the assessment in 2017 ABLV Bank AS is no longer identified as O-SII as its licence was withdrawn in July 2018. The buffer rates of AS "SEB banka" and Akciju sabiedrība "Rietumu Banka" are to be decreased by 0.25% compared to those currently applied as majority of the data points underlying the mandatory indicator values for these banks have decreased since last year.			
		mpany name	Parent company LEI code	
2.3 Name of the EU	"Swedbank" AS Swedbank AB		M312WZV08Y7LYUC71685	
ultimate parent institution	AS "SEB banka" Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken A		F3JS33DEI6XQ4ZBPTN86	
animate parent institution	Luminor Bank AS Luminor Group AB		2138003BL9H2NAQXYD8	

Date of template version: 2016-03-01

	Bank name	Subsidiary name (country)	Subsidiary LEI code
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	Akciju sabiedrība "Citadele banka"	AB "CITADELE" BANKAS (Lithuania)	213800YB53A7DY5ONR39
3. Timing of the meas	sure		
3.1 Timing of the Decision	The FCMC is expected to	o take its final decision on 2	27 November 2018
3.2 Timing of the Publication	The decision will be published within 5 working days after the decision is taken.		
3.3 Disclosure	The decision will be published on the website of the FCMC and letters will be sent to the identified O-SIIs informing them of this decision		
3.4 Timing of Application	30.06.2019		
3.5 Phasing in	The phase-in period of th	e O-SII buffer in Latvia en	ded on 30.06.2018
3.6 Review of the measure	The list of the identified C annual basis.	0-SIIs and the O-SII buffer	rates will be reviewed on an
4. Reason for O-SII id	lentification and activation	on of the O-SII buffer	
4.1 Scores of concerned institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs (Article 131.3)	The relevant information	is provided in the Excel file	e attached below the notification.
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	The O-SII identification was performed according to the mandatory criteria and indicators, data definitions and calculation procedures specified in the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs; however, two institutions above the relevant threshold (set at 425 bps since 2015 due to the size and specificities of the financial sector) were not identified as O-SIIs due to exercise of the supervisory judgement (see below), which is not fully consistent with the EBA guidelines. No optional indicators have been used and entities with total assets not in excess of 0.02% of the whole sample have not been excluded. Non-bank institutions have not been included in the calculations as they are relatively small and are of no systemic importance in the Latvian financial system. The calculations were based on the 2018Q2 FINREP data; when relevant FINREP data were unavailable, proxies were used from additional data available to the FCMC. Indicator values are provided in the Excel file attached below the notification.		
4.3 Supervisory judgement	supervisory judgement had licence of ABLV Bank (which score in Latvian banking voluntary liquidation follows and the Treatment of the Treatmen	ad to be used in the identi- hich was identified as an C g sector) was withdrawn owing the statement releasury's Financial Crimes ne institution of primary special measure. Furthe oreign client deposits from	Latvian financial sector in 2018 fication of O-SIIs. In July 2018 the O-SII in 2017 with the highest O-SII as bank decided to apply for a assed on February 13 by the US Enforcement Network (FinCEN) money laundering concern and rmore, the ABLV Bank situation other banks with business models while, concerted effort has been

undertaken by the parliament, regulators and banking sector participants to re-orient banks with high reliance on foreign clients' deposits to other business models. As a result, the size of the Latvian banking sector has significantly decreased in the first three quarters of 2018 - from 105% to 75% of GDP.

These developments have impacted the O-SII identification process in two major ways. Firstly, the decline in the size of the banking sector has mechanically resulted in increased O-SII scores of remaining participants (as the total of O-SII scores of all entities included in the calculation according to the EBA guidelines methodology is by definition equal to 10 000). Secondly, ABLV Bank was the largest issuer of outstanding debt securities in Latvian banking sector (estimated as 69% of sector total during the 2017 O-SII identification exercise). Reliance of Latvian banks on this financing channel has historically been non-significant as banking sector has been dominated by subsidiaries and branches of other EU institutions (65% in terms of total assets as of 2018Q2). The withdrawal of ABLV Bank licence in concert with the decision of another large bank to cease issuance has resulted in a further decrease of outstanding debt securities issued by the baking sector from 2.6% of Latvian GDP as of 2017Q2 to 0.6% of GDP in 2018Q2. At the same time this indicator is assigned a weight of 8.33% according to the EBA methodology, which is a substantial mismatch compared to the systemic importance of issuance of outstanding debt securities in Latvian financial sector.

Hence, a straightforward application of the EBA methodology would result in identification as O-SIIs of two additional banks based purely on the contribution of the outstanding debt securities indicator:

Bank name	2018 O-SII score*	2018 O-SII score without the contribution of outstanding debt securities indicator	2017 O-SII score
AS BlueOrange Bank	564	350	245
AS "NORVIK BANKA"	530	329	381

^{*} the threshold for O-SII identification is set at 425 bps

The issuance of outstanding debt securities in these two banks as of 2018Q2 does not exceed 50 million EUR, therefore to identify them as O-SIIs based on the contribution of this indicator would be inappropriate in view of their true systemic importance in the Latvian financial system. Furthermore, the reduction of the size of Latvian banking sector has further mechanically inflated the O-SII scores of these two banks – both of them actually saw a decrease in asset size in a period from 2017Q2 to 2018Q2, as well as decrease in the underlying data values behind the majority of other indicators:

Bank name	Change in total assets 2017Q2 to 2018Q2	Number of indicators, for which in period from 2017Q2 to 2018Q2 the underlying data values		
		increased	decreased	did not change
AS BlueOrange Bank	-7.5%	3	6	1
AS "NORVIK BANKA"	-40.3%	1	9	0

On these grounds the FCMC has decided to exercise its supervisory judgement and not to identify AS BlueOrange Bank and AS "NORVIK BANKA" as O-SIIs despite their O-SII score being above the threshold of 425 bps according to the calculation made in line with the mandatory indicators and methodology of the EBA guidelines.

4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer

The calibration was based on the *equal expected impact* method wherein the size of the O-SII buffers is set with aim to equalize the expected impact of an O-SIIs' financial distress with the expected impact of a non-O-SII reference institution's financial distress.

In line with the chosen threshold used for O-SII identification, systemic importance score of 425 basis points was used to define a non-O-SII reference institution. For

purposes of calibrating the O-SII buffer, the systemic importance scores have since 2016 been calculated by employing an adjusted EBA Guidelines' methodology which takes into account the specificities of national financial sector. Due to the previously highlighted major changes in the size and structure of the Latvian banking sector, in particular, the decrease of the outstanding stock of debt securities issues by the banking sector and the reorientation from foreign to local clients, the weighting of indicators used for the O-SII buffer calibration has been changed in 2018 as per the table below:

Criterion	Indicators	EBA guidelines weights	Adjusted methodology for buffer calibration weights (2016-2017)	Adjusted methodology for buffer calibration weights (2018-)
Size	Total assets	25%	25%	25%
Size	Risk weighted assets		15%	15%
	Value of domestic payment transactions	8.33%	5%	5%
	Private sector deposits from depositors in the EU	8.33%	5%	5%
Importance (including	Private sector loans to recipients in the EU 8.33%		5%	5%
substitutability/financial system infrastructure)	Private sector deposits from Latvian residents		5%	7.5%
system infrastructure)	Private sector loans to Latvian residents		5%	7.5%
	Credit risk stress test – additional provisions (% of total provisions needed in banking sector)		5%	5%
Complexity/cross-	Value of OTC derivatives (notional)	8.33%	5%	5%
border activity	Cross-jurisdictional liabilities	8.33%	5%	5%
activity	Cross-jurisdictional claims 8.33%		5%	5%
	Intra-financial system liabilities	8.33%	5%	4.5%
Interconnectedness	Intra-financial system assets	8.33%	5%	4.5%
	Debt securities outstanding	8.33%	5%	1%

The decision to slightly decrease the weightings of intra-financial system liabilities and assets indicators is motivated by the decrease of importance of those indicators for determining the banks' systemic importance in Latvian financial system – the sector-wide sum total of the data underlying both these indicators has decreased from 30% of GDP as of 2017Q2 to 17% of GDP in 2018Q2.

In order to achieve an equal expected impact of financial distress, the probability of default (PD) of financial distress of O-SII must be lower than that of non-O-SII, as financial distress of O-SIIs leads to higher associated economic costs. These costs are decreased by applying O-SII buffers that lower PDs of O-SIIs.

Quarterly data for period of 2004-2017 on return on risk weighted assets (RORWA) of banks operating in Latvia were used to determine the PD of a reference non-O-SII.

4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure

The higher capital requirements resulting from the application of the O-SII buffer are essential to ensure the resilience of the systemically important institutions in Latvia. The total assets of the identified O-SIIs account for around 82% of Latvian banking sector assets and approximately 63% of Latvian GDP as of 2018Q2 – financial distress of these institutions would negatively affect financial stability and economy of Latvia.

As of 2018Q2, identified O-SIIs already fulfil the total capital and buffer requirements

	therefore the decis	pital and the level of the buffer had not implement the O-SII buffer so all system or economy.	-
5. Cross-border and	cross-sector impac	t of the measure	
5.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	The cross-border effects and impact on the internal market is expected to be nonmaterial as the EU parent institutions of local subsidiaries identified as O-SIIs are required to hold at least as large O-SII buffers on the consolidated level as FCMC is planning to set in Latvia, and cross-border activities within EU of identified O-SIIs are limited.		
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	As the measure is institution-specific, possibility of any leakages is minimal.		
6. Combinations and	interactions with o	ther measures	
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A		
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	N/A		
6.3 O-SII requirement for	Bank name	Parent company name	O-SII buffer rate of parent company
a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	"Swedbank" AS	Swedbank AB	2%
	AS "SEB banka"	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	2%
6.4 Interaction with other measures	Luminor Bank AS N/A	Luminor Group AB	

7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Arnis Jankovskis (Senior regulations expert, Regulations Division, +371 6777 904, arnis.jankovskis@fktk.lv)
7.2 Any other relevant information	Annex_LV_FCMC_O- SII_identification_201 Excel file referred in 4.1 and 4.2: N.B. The information in this file can be shared with other authorities but should

	not be made publicly available.
	Panasa, aramana