





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB;
- notifications@eba.europa.eu when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority				
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Danish Financial Supervisory Authority (Finanstilsynet)			
2. Description	n of the measure			
	On which institution(s) is		•	
	The designation of O-SIIs in	n Denmark applies at the	highest possible level of consolic	lation.
	O-SII institution	Level of consolidation	LEI code	ı
	Danske Bank A/S	Consolidated	MAES062Z21O4RZ2U7M96	ı
2.1 Concerned	Nykredit Realkredit A/S	Consolidated	LIU16F6VZJSD6UKHD557	ı
institution or group of	Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab	Individual	52990080NNXXLC14OC65	ı
institutions	Jyske Bank A/S	Consolidated	3M5E1GQGKL17HI6CPN30	ı
	Sydbank A/S	Consolidated	GP5DT10VX1QRQUKVBK64	ı
	DLR Kredit A/S	Individual	529900PR2ELW8QI1B775	ı
	The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. However, an institution-specific systemic risk buffer applies, cf. section 6.2. The systemic risk buffer applies to the institution designated as O-SII and to each individual credit institution of the O-SII.			
2.2 Level of the	What is the level of the bu			
buffer applied	The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. However, an institution-specific systemic risk buffer applies, cf. section 6.2. The systemic risk buffer applies to the institution designated as O-SII and to each individual credit institution of the O-SII.			
			EU ultimate parent institution	
2.3 Name of the EU ultimate	group of each of the concerned institutions, in case the EU ultimate parent institution is not the concerned institution itself.			
parent institution	Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab is a subsidiary of the Swedish Nordea Group (LEI code 6SCPQ280AIY8EP3XFW53).			

Data of template versions 2016 02 04

If any of the concerned institutions is a parent institution and the buffer is applied on a (sub)consolidated level, please name the subsidiaries of the institution that are notified as O-SIIs (please give name and LEI code).

The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. The systemic risk buffer applies to the institution designated as O-SII at the highest possible level of consolidation and to each individual credit institution of the designated O-SII. Therefore, the systemic risk buffer applies to the following institutions:

Danske Bank A/S (consolidated)			
Danske Bank A/S Parent company MAES062Z21O4RZ2U7M96			
Realkredit Danmark	Subsidiary	549300NLOMBOWE943Y30	

Nykredit Realkredit A/S (consolidated)				
Nykredit Realkredit A/S Parent company LIU16F6VZJSD6UKHD557				
Totalkredit A/S	Subsidiary	5493001HO6SLV413ZC45		
Nykredit Bank A/S	Subsidiary	52965FONQ5NZKP0WZL45		

2.4 Names of subsidiaries

Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab (individual)			
Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab	Individual	52990080NNXXLC14OC65	

Jyske Bank A/S (consolidated)			
Jyske Bank A/S Parent company 3M5E1GQGKL17HI6CPN30			
BRF Kredit A/S	Subsidiary	529900R9HQNZRT2OXB26	

Sydbank A/S (consolidated)		
Sydbank A/S	Parent company	GP5DT10VX1QRQUKVBK64

DLR Kredit A/S (Individual)			
DLR Kredit A/S Individual 529900PR2ELW8QI1B775			

3. Timing of the measure

3.1 Timing of the Decision

What is the date of the official decision?

The criteria for identification and designation of O-SIIs in Denmark were determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament, i.e. the criteria are written in the law. The Danish FSA designates Danish O-SIIs according to these criteria at least annually. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. The Minister of Industry, Business and Financial Affairs sets the systemic risk buffer.

3.2 Timing of the Publication

What is the date of publication of the notified measure?

The yearly designation of O-SIIs was published 29th June 2018. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.

3.3 Disclosure

Information about the communication strategy of the notified measure to the market.

The designation of O-SIIs is disclosed at the website of the Danish FSA (<u>Link</u>). The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. The systemic risk buffer is disclosed at the website of the Ministry of

	Industry, Business and Financial Affairs (available in Danish only Link)
3.4 Timing of	What is the intended date of activation (i.e. as of which date shall the measure be applicable)?
Application	O-SIIs in Denmark were designated for the first time in June 2014 and the institution-specific systemic risk buffer applied from 1 st January 2015. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
	What is the intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure?
3.5 Phasing in	The systemic risk buffer is gradually phased-in from 1st January 2015 to 1st January 2019. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
	When will the measure be reviewed (Article 131(6) and 131(12) specify that the buffer, the identification of O-SIIs and the allocation into subcategories must be reviewed at least annually)?
3.6 Review of the measure	The identification of O-SIIs and the allocation into subcategories is reviewed at least annually and always in the months of May/June. The systemic risk buffer is reviewed and disclosed by the Ministry of Industry, Business and Financial Affairs subsequently. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.

4. Reason for O-SII identification and activation of the O-SII buffer

Please list here the name, overall scores, category scores, and indicator values of the identified O-SIIs related to

- a. size;
- b. importance for the economy of the relevant Member State or the Union, capturing substitutability/financial institution infrastructure;
- c. complexity, including the additional complexities from cross-border activity;
- d. interconnectedness of the institution or (sub-)group with the financial system.

The designation of O-SIIs in Denmark is according to criteria determined in a political agreement from 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. Please see section 4.2. However, the Danish FSA follows the EBA guidelines on identification of O-SIIs which results in the following scores:

4.1 Scores of concerned institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs

(Article 131.3)

			С	riterion	
O-SII institution	Overall score	Size	Importance (substitutability/ financial system infrastructure)	Complexity/ cross-border activity	Interconnected- ness
Danske Bank A/S	6,399	4,933	5,949	9,042	5,670
Nykredit Realkredit A/S	1,401	2,204	1,267	427	1,706
Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab	371	680	295	0	508
Jyske Bank A/S	694	923	857	144	852
Sydbank A/S	209	214	312	74	236
DLR Kredit A/S	140	252	115	3	189

Please provide information on:

whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs
 Yes – but supervisory assessment is not relevant since the identification of O-SIIs in
 Denmark is based on three criteria (optional indicators, cf. EBA guidelines) determined
 in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament.

b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs

The designation of O-SIIs in Denmark is according to three criteria and threshold values determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. See the text and table below. The three criteria are listed as optional indicators in the EBA guidelines. The Danish FSA follows the EBA guidelines. Using the mandatory indicators, Sydbank A/S and DLR Kredit A/S are below the automatic threshold score of 350. However, Sydbank A/S and DLR Kredit A/S have been identified as O-SIIs based on criteria (optional indicators, cf. EBA guidelines) determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament.

- which overall score is attributed to the O-SIIs
 See the table below.
- d. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what are the scores Supervisory assessment decisions are not relevant since the identification of O-SIIs in Denmark is based on quantitative optional indicators determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. See the text and table below.
- e. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State

 The designation of O-SIIs in Denmark is based on three criteria determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. These criteria are listed as optional indicators in the EBA guidelines.
- f. why the bank is systemically important in terms of those particular optional indicators

An institution is automatically designated as O-SII when the institution is above the threshold value for just one of the three criteria in two subsequent years. This was determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament.

- g. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process
- names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate excel file, see 4.1)
 Separate file will be sent to EBA.
- i. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations
 No

The identification of O-SIIs in Denmark is based on the following criteria, where only one needs to be met in order to be identified:

- Total assets in per cent of domestic GDP > 6.5 per cent
- Loans in per cent of the total lending by the domestic sector > 5 per cent
- Deposits in per cent of the total deposits of the domestic sector > 5 per cent

The criteria were determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. The criteria are consistent with optional indicators listed in the EBA guidelines.

4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII

(Article 131.3)

O-SII institution	Automatic score > 350	Balance as % of GDP > 6.5	Loans as % of the sector's loans > 5	Deposits as % of the sector's deposits > 5
Danske Bank A/S	6,399	148.9	28.3	34.3
Nykredit Realkredit A/S	1,401	66.5	31.5	4.7
Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab	371	20.5	9.9	0.0
Jyske Bank A/S	694	27.9	11.2	9.5
Sydbank A/S	209	6.5	1.7	5.9
DLR Kredit A/S	140	7.6	3.6	0.0

Note: Values in bold indicate that the institution is above the threshold value.

The table above shows that the identification of O-SIIs in Denmark is consistent with the EBA guideline.

Danish O-SIIs are allocated into five different subcategories based on the level of systemic importance of the institutions. The systemic importance is calculated as an average of the total assets in per cent of the total assets of the sector, loans in per cent of the total lending by the sector and deposits in per cent of the total deposits of the sector. The allocation is shown below:

Subcategory	Score	O-SII institution
Subcategory 1	≤ 5	DLR Kredit A/S (2.0)
Subcategory	2.0	Sydbank A/S (3.2)
Subcategory 2	[5-15[Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab (5.4)
		Jyske Bank A/S (9.7)
Subcategory 3	[15-25[Nykredit Realkredit A/S (18.7)
Subcategory 4	[25-35[-
Subcategory 5	≥ 35	Danske Bank A/S (35.8)

The Minister for Industry, Business and Financial Affairs sets the systemic risk buffer¹ for each subcategory, to be met by the identified O-SIIs, with a transitional period from 1 January 2015 to 1 January 2019.

4.3 Supervisory judgement

Has any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified through supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions.

The designation of O-SIIs in Denmark is according to three criteria and threshold values determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. No supervisory judgement is used to identify Danish O-SIIs. Using the mandatory indicators in the

5/3

¹ Link (in Danish): http://em.dk/arbejdsomraader/finansiel-sektor-og-vaekstkapital/finansiel-stabilitet/sifier

4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	EBA guidelines, Sydbank A/S and DLR Kredit A/S are below the automatic threshold score of 350. However, Sydbank A/S and DLR Kredit A/S have been identified as O-SIIs based on the criteria (optional indicators cf.EBA guidelines) determined in a political agreement in 2013 voted through the Danish Parliament. The identification of Sydbank A/S and DLR Kredit A/S as O-SIIs is therefore not based on any supervisory judgement. Please provide information on the criteria and indicators used to calibrate the level of the O-SII buffer requirement and the mapping to institution-specific buffer requirements. The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
4.5 Effectiveness	Please provide a justification for why the O-SII buffer is considered likely to be effective
and	and proportionate to mitigate the risk.
proportionality of measure	The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
5. Cross-bord	ler and cross-sector impact of the measure
	Assessment of the cross-border effects of the implementation of the draft measure.
5.1 Assessment of cross-border	Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. Assessment of:
effects and the likely impact on	o cross-border effects (leakages and regulatory arbitrage) of the
the internal market	implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);
(Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	 cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers).
	The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within	Referring to your country's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e., circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)?
the notifying Member State	The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark.
6. Combination	ons and interactions with other measures
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and	In case both G-SII and O-SII criteria applied to the same institution at the consolidated level, which of the two buffers is the highest?
O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	There are no G-SIIs in Denmark, and the O-SII buffer is not applied.
	Are any of the institutions subject to a systemic risk buffer?
	Yes, all identified O-SIIs in Denmark are subject to a systemic risk buffer, according to their systemic importance, cf. section 4.2. The systemic risk buffer is gradually phased-in towards 2019.
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers	If yes, please provide the following information:
(Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	a. What is the level of the systemic risk buffer (in %) applied to the concerned institution
	The fully phased-in systemic risk buffer is:
	Danske Bank: 3%
	Nykredit Realkredit: 2%
	,

	Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab: 1.5%	
	Jyske Bank: 1.5%	
	Sydbank: 1%	
	DLR Kredit: 1%	
	b. Is the systemic risk buffer applied to all exposures located in your Member State only? No, the systemic risk buffer applies to all exposures.	
	 c. Is the systemic risk buffer applied at the same consolidation level as the O-SII buffer? The O-SII buffer is not applied in Denmark. 	
6.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	In case the O-SII is a subsidiary of an EU parent institution which is subject to a G-SII or O-SII buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a consolidated basis of the parent institution? Nordea Kredit Realkreditaktieselskab is a subsidiary of the Swedish Nordea Group. The requirement in Sweden is the highest of the G-SII buffer (1%), the O-SII buffer (2%), and the systemic risk buffer (3%) plus the systemic risk buffer under pillar II (2%). The total systemic risk buffer requirement is 5% for the Nordea Group.	
6.4 Interaction with other measures	How does the buffer requirement interact with other measures addressing the same risk (e.g. with other supervisory measures)? The O-SIIs in Denmark are beyond the institution-specific systemic risk buffer subject to more intensified supervision and stricter governance requirements than other credit institutions in Denmark.	

7. Miscellaneous		
	Contact person(s) for further inquiries (name, phone number and e-mail address)	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Christian Vorm, +45 33 55 83 55, cvo@ftnet.dk	
	& Natacha Janke, +45 33 55 84 02, <u>naj@ftnet.dk</u>	
7.2 Any other relevant information		