



## Notification template for Article 131 of the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs)

Template for notifying the European Central Bank (ECB) and the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) of the setting or resetting of an O-SII buffer under Article 131(7) CRD and of the identity of O-SIIs under Article 131(12) CRD

Please send/upload this template to:

- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB (under Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) Regulation¹);
- DARWIN/ASTRA when notifying the ESRB.

The ESRB will forward this notification to the European Commission, to the European Banking Authority (EBA) and to the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned without delay and will publicly disclose the names of the O-SIIs on its website. This notification will be made public by the ESRB once the relevant authorities have adopted and published the notified macroprudential measure<sup>2</sup>.

E-mailing/uploading this template to the above addresses constitutes official notification; no further official letter is required. To facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows the information to be read electronically.

Notifying national auth	nority			
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Národná banka Slovenska			
1.2 Country of the notifying authority	Slovakia			
2. Description of the measure				
2.1a Institution or group of institutions concerned	On which institution(s) is the measure applied (name and Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) code)?			
	Is the measure applied at:			
	- The highest level of consolidation?			
	- A sub-consolidated level?			
	- An individual level?			
	Name of institution	LEI	Consolidation level	
	Slovenská sporiteľňa, a. s.	549300S2T3FWVVXWJI89	individual and sub-consolidated	
	Všeobecná úverová banka, a. s.	549300JB1P61FUTPEZ75	individual and sub-consolidated	
	Tatra banka, a. s.	3157002JBFAI478MD587	individual and sub-consolidated	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63).

<sup>2</sup> On request by the notifying authority, it may be agreed with the Head of the ESRB Secretariat that this notification, or a part thereof, should not be published for reasons of confidentiality or financial stability.

1

	Československá obchodná bani	ka, 52990096Q5LMCH1WU462	individual and sub-consolidated		
	a. s.	0.457001/451 P1/01/01/11/11/07	in dividual and arthur and a the		
	Prima banka Slovensko, a. s.	315700K45LRKNGMUIW27	individual and sub-consolidated		
	No shanga has been me	ada ta tha liat of O Clla			
2.1b Changes to the list of institutions concerned	No change has been made to the list of O-SIIs.				
	At what level is the fully	phased-in buffer (in %) applie	ed to the institution(s)?		
	The O-SII buffer is applied on both individual and sub-consolidated level.				
	Name of institution New O-SII buffer		Previous O-SII buffer		
	Slovenská sporiteľňa, a.s. 2.00%		2.00%		
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	Všeobecná úverová banka, a.s.	1.75%	1.75%		
	Tatra banka, a.s.	1.50%	1.50%		
	Československá obchodná	1.00%	1.00%		
	banka, a.s.	0.050	0.0724		
	Prima banka Slovensko, a.s.	0.25%	0.25%		
	Please provide the name and LEI code of the ultimate EU parent institution of the group for each of the O-SIIs identified. if the ultimate EU parent institution is not the concerned institution itself.  Name of identified O-SII  Ultimate EU parent institution  LEI of ultimate parent				
			institution		
2.3 Name of the ultimate EU	Slovenská sporiteľňa, a.s.	Erste Group Bank AG	PQOH26KWDF7CG10L6792		
parent institution	Všeobecná úverová banka, a.s.	Gruppo Intesa Sanpaolo	815600A0090DB5D4D574		
	Tatra banka, a.s.	Raiffeisen Bank International AG	529900JP9C734S1LE008		
	Československá obchodná bani a.s.	ka, KBC Group NV	213800X3Q9LSAKRUWY91		
	Prima banka Slovensko, a.s.	Penta Investments Limited	315700B14D4M8960OB55		
	If any of the O-SIIs identified is a parent institution and the buffer is applied at a (sub)consolidated level, please name the subsidiaries of the institution that are notified as O-SIIs (please give names and LEI codes).				
	Name of parent O-SII identified	Name of O-SII subsidiary	LEI of O-SII subsidiary		
2.4 Names of subsidiaries					
3. Timing for the measure					
	What is the date of the o	official decision? For SSM cou	untries when notifying the		
3.1 Timing for the decision	ECB: provide the date on which the decision referred to in Article 5 of the Sir				
	27/05/2025				

3.2 Timing for publication	What is the date of publication of the notified measure?					
	06/06/2025					
3.3 Disclosure	Information about the strategy for if the communicating the notified measure to the market.  Please provide a link to the public announcement, if any.  The measure will be published on the website of Národná banka Slovenska (https://nbs.sk/en/financial-stability/fs-instruments/o-sii/) and will be also delivered					
	via mail to all the identified O-SIIs.					
3.4 Timing for application	What is the intended date of application of the measure? 01/01/2026					
	What is the intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure?					
	No phase-in period is re	equired as	buffer requii	ements ren	nain unchange	ed.
3.5 Phasing in	Name of institution	D	ate1 Dat	e2 Date	e3 Date4	Date5
			% %	6 %	%	%
			% %	6 %	%	%
Reason for O-SII ident	The next review should diffication and activation  Please list here the naridentified based on  a. size;  b. importance for capturing subsectivity;	of the O-S	Bill buffer Il scores and Domy of the re	I category s levant Mem titution infra	nber State or th structure;	ne Union,
	-	d £ 4b.	_ :	-		
4.1 Scores of institutions or	d. interconnected system.	111622 OI III	e msiliulion	or (sub-)gro	oup with the iii	laliciai
group of institutions concerned, as per EBA	Name of institution	Size	Substitut- ability	Com- plexity	Intercon- nectedness	Overall Score
guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs	Slovenská sporiteľňa, a.s.	2128	1713	810	1502	1538
(Article 131.3 CRD)	Všeobecná úverová banka, a.s.	2029	1947	3185	1973	2284
(Altiolo 101.0 Old)	Tatra banka, a.s.	1663	1810	1237	1441	1538
	Československá obchodná banka, a.s.	1130	862	1323	1102	1104
	Prima banka Slovensko, a.s.	534	425	95	409	366

	Please provide other relevant information (indicator values, methodology, calculations and formulas, data sources, information set used for denominators) in a separate Excel file.				
	Please provide information on:				
	a. whether you followed the EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs;				
	The EBA guidelines were fully followed when identifying O-SIIs.				
	b. which threshold score has been set to identify O-SIIs;				
40.11.11.11.11.11	In the first step the threshold was set to 350 basis points. Similarly in the second step the threshold is 350 basis points. (EBA/GL/2014/10)				
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII	c. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process;				
(Article 131.3)	All banks as well as branches have been included in the calculations.				
	<ul> <li>d. the names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate Excel file, see 4.1);</li> </ul>				
	Separate excel file is attached.				
	e. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations.				
	Non-bank institutions have not been included in the calculation.				
	Have any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified by applying supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions and provide information on:				
	<ul> <li>a. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify the supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what the scores were;</li> </ul>				
	b. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Member State;				
4.3 Supervisory judgement	c. why the bank is systemically important in terms of those particular optional indicators.				
	All 5 O-SIIs have been identified in the first step. The second step consists of 9 optional indicators: total assets, retail loans, retail deposits,				
	corporate loans, corporate deposits, government bonds, interbank liabilities, interbank assets and covered bonds. These optional indicators				
	characterize a traditional Slovak banking sector better and are suitable for O-SII identification. Both first step and second step have identified the same list of banks as O-SIIs.				
	Please provide information on the criteria and indicators used to calibrate the				
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII	level of the O-SII buffer requirement and the mapping to institution-specific buffer requirements.				
buffer	Identified O-SIIs are required to maintain a level of O-SII buffer (see section 6). The O-SII buffers have been calibrated according to the score of the identified institutions in the second step. See indicators listed in Section 4.3.				
	·				

	In comparison with previous decision the list of O-SIIs identified is unchanged.			
	O-SII buffer rates of banks have not been changed and will be the same also from 1 January 2026.			
4.5 Effectiveness and	Please provide a justification for why the O-SII buffer is considered likely to be effective and proportionate to mitigate the risk.			
proportionality of measure	As the decision is only to review the O-SII identification and no change has been made, this point is not relevant.			
5. Sufficiency, consister	ncy and non-overlap of the policy response			
5.1 Sufficiency of the policy	For a macroprudential policy to be 'sufficient', the policy responses must be deemed to significantly mitigate, or reduce the build-up of, risks over an appropriate time horizon with a limited unintended impact on the general economy.			
response	Note that the ESRB will use the assessment of the macroprudential stance as relevant input in assessing the sufficiency of the macroprudential policy in the Member State.			
	Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the sufficiency of the policy response.			
	In the second step NBS uses its own methodology which consists of 9 optional indicators. These indicators are used to count a total score, with the weights being distributed proportionally between the segments of banking sector. These indicators sufficiently characterize the picture of risks in the Slovak banking sector.			
	For a macroprudential policy to be 'consistent', the policy instruments meet their respective objectives, as outlined in ESRB/2013/1 <sup>3</sup> , and must be implemented in accordance with the common principles set out in the relevant legal texts.			
5.2 Consistency of application of the policy response	Note that the ESRB assessment of consistency will consider whether the same systemic risks are addressed in a similar way across and within the Member States over time.			
	Please provide any additional information that the ESRB should consider in assessing the consistency of the policy response.			
	Our review on O-SIIs is fully in line with the methodology laid down in the EBA Guidelines. NBS own methodology is also based on the EBA methodology while also taking into account certain specific features of the Slovak banking sector.			
5.3 Non-overlap of the policy response	For a policy instrument to be 'non-overlapping', it should aim to address a systemic risk that either differs from a risk addressed by other active tools in the same Member State, or be complementary to another tool in that Member State which addresses the same systemic risk.			
	<ul> <li>Are other policy instruments used to address the <u>same</u> systemic risk?</li> <li>If yes, please explain the need for more than one instrument to address the same systemic risk and how the different instruments interact with each other.</li> </ul>			
	As the decision is only to review the O-SII identification and no change has been made, this point is not relevant.			

 $^3$  Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 4 April 2013 on intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy (ESRB/2013/1) (OJ C 170, 15.6.2013, p. 1)

6. Cross-border and cros	ss-sector impact of the measure			
6.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the Internal Market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2 <sup>4</sup> )	Assessment of the cross-border effects of implementation of the measure.  a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector <sup>5</sup> and the Framework to assess cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential measures can be used.  b. Assessment of the:  cross-border effects of implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);  cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers);  overall impact on the Single Market of implementation of the measure.			
6.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	As the decision is only to review the O-SII identification and no change has been made, this point is not relevant.  Referring to your Member State's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)?  Is there scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions?  As the decision is only to review the O-SII identification and no change has been made, this point is not relevant.			
7. Combinations and inte				
7.1 Combinations between G-	If both G-SII and O-SII criteria apply to the same institution at consolidated level, which of the two buffers is the highest?  N/A: there are no G-SIIs identified in Slovakia.			
SII and O-SII buffers	Name of institution	O-SII buffer	G-SII buffer	
(Article 131.14)		%	%	
		%	%	
	Are any of the institutions identific		%	
	If yes, please provide the following		sternic risk buller:	
7.2 Combinations with				
systemic risk buffers	a. What is/are the systemic risk buffer rates(s)?			
(SyRBs)	b. At what level is/are the systemic risk buffer rate(s) applied (i.e. consolidation level and/or individual)?			
(Article 131.15 CRD)	c. Is the sum of the systemic risk buffer rate(s) and the O-SII buffer rate (or the higher of the G-SII and O-SII buffer rates, if a group is subject to a G-SII buffer and to an O-SII buffer at consolidated level) to which the same institution is subject over 5%?			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 15 December 2015 on the assessment of cross-border effects of and voluntary reciprocity for macroprudential policy measures (ESRB/2015/3) (OJ C 97, 12.3.2016, p. 9). <sup>5</sup>Available on the ESRB's website at www.esrb.europa.eu.

	N/A				
	Name of institution	SyRB rate	SyRB application level	Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
		%		%	
7.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8 CRD)	buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a consolidated basis of the parent institution?  Does the cap for the subsidiary prevent the implementation of a higher O-SII buffer based on the domestic buffer setting methodology?  N/A				
	Name of O-SII subsidiary	Name of the EU parent of the O-SII subsidiary		Buffer applicable to O- SII EU parent	
				%	
				%	
				%	
8. Miscellaneous					
8.1 Contact	Contact person(s) (name, p further inquiries.	hone number and	e-mail address	s) and mailbox to	
person(s)/mailbox at notifying authority	Marek Ličák, phone: +421 2 5787 2863, e-mail: marek.licak@nbs.sk				
nothlying authority	Andrej Moravčík, phone:+421 2 5787 2995, e-mail: andrej.moravcik@nbs.sk				
8.2 Any other relevant information					
	Please provide the date on which this notification was uploaded/sent.				
8.3 Date of the notification	07/05/2025				