



## Notification template for Article 131 of the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SIIs)

## Template for notifying the European Central Bank (ECB) and the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) of the setting or resetting of an O-SII buffer under Article 131(7) CRD and of the identity of O-SIIs under Article 131(12) CRD

Please send/upload this template to:

- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB (under Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) Regulation<sup>1</sup>);
- <u>DARWIN/ASTRA</u> when notifying the ESRB.

The ESRB will forward this notification to the European Commission, to the European Banking Authority (EBA) and to the competent and designated authorities of the Member States concerned without delay and will publicly disclose the names of the O-SIIs on its website. This notification will be made public by the ESRB once the relevant authorities have adopted and published the notified macroprudential measure<sup>2</sup>.

E-mailing/uploading this template to the above addresses constitutes official notification; no further official letter is required. To facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows the information to be read electronically.

1. Notifying national authority				
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht - BaFin)			
1.2 Country of the notifying authority	Germany			
2. Description of the me	asure			
	On which institution(s) is the (LEI) code)?	e measure applied (nan	ne and Legal Entity Identifier	
	Is the measure applied at:			
	- The highest level	of consolidation?		
2.1a Institution or group of				
institutions concerned				
	Institution or group of ins Banking Act	titutions in scope of C	RR/CRD and German	
	Name of institution	LEI	Consolidation level	
	Deutsche Bank AG	7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86	Highest level of consolidation	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63). <sup>2</sup> On request by the notifying authority, it may be agreed with the Head of the ESRB Secretariat that this notification, or a

a part thereof, should not be published for reasons of confidentiality or financial stability.

	Commerzbank AG	851WYGNLUQLFZBSYGB56	Highest level of consolidation		
	J.P. Morgan SE	549300ZK53CNGEEI6A29	Highest level of consolidation		
	DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral-	529900HNOAA1KXQJUQ27	Highest level of consolidation		
	Genossenschaftsbank				
	Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE	8IBZUGJ7JPLH368JE346	Highest level of consolidation		
	UniCredit Bank GmbH	2ZCNRR8UK83OBTEK2170	Highest level of consolidation		
	Landesbank Baden-Württemberg		Highest level of consolidation		
	Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen G		Highest level of consolidation		
	Bayerische Landesbank	VDYMYTQGZZ6DU0912C88	Highest level of consolidation		
	Morgan Stanley Europe Holding SE	549300C9KPZR0VZ16R05	Highest level of consolidation		
	ING-DiBa AG	3KXUNHVVQFIJN6RHLO76	Highest level of consolidation		
	DekaBank	0W2PZJM8XOY22M4GG883	Highest level of consolidation		
	Name of institution Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau NRW.BANK	LEI 549300GDPG70E3MBBU 98 52990002O5KK6XOGJ 020	Consolidation level         Highest level of consolidation         Highest level of consolidation         Image: Second seco		
2.1b Changes to the list of institutions concerned	Norddeutsche Landesba threshold. At what level is the fully p		ecause it does not meet the lied to the institution(s)?		
	Institution or group of institutions in scope of CRR/CRD and German Banking Act				
	Name of institution	New O-SII buffer	Previous O-SII buffer		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG	2,00 New O-SII buffer	Previous O-SII buffer 2,00		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG	2,00	2,00		
2.2 Level of the buffer	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG	2,00 1,25	2,00		
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral-	2,00 1,25 1,25	2,00 1,25 1,25		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 1,00	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 0,75		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE UniCredit Bank GmbH Landesbank Baden-	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 1,00 0,75	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 0,75 0,75		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE UniCredit Bank GmbH Landesbank Baden- Württemberg	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 1,00 0,75 0,75	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 0,75 0,75 0,75		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE UniCredit Bank GmbH Landesbank Baden- Württemberg Bayerische Landesbank Landesbank Hessen-	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 1,00 0,75 0,75 0,50	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,25 1,00 0,75 0,75 0,75 0,75 0,50		
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG COMMERZBANK AG J.P. Morgan SE DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE UniCredit Bank GmbH Landesbank Baden- W ürttemberg Bayerische Landesbank Landesbank Hessen- Thüringen GZ Morgan Stanley Europe	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 1,00 0,75 0,75 0,50 0,25	2,00 1,25 1,25 1,00 0,75 0,75 0,75 0,75 0,50 0,50		

		institutions <u>only</u> in scope of RD through article 2 CRD)	German Banking Act
	Name of institution	New O-SII buffer	Previous O-SII buffer
	Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau	1,00	1,00
	NRW.BANK	0,25	0,25
	•	e and LEI code of the ultimate SIIs identified.if the ultimate E	•
	the concerned institution		o parontino italionno not
	Name of identified O-SII	Ultimate EU parent institution	LEI of ultimate parent institution
2.3 Name of the ultimate EU	UniCredit Bank GmbH	Unicredit S.p.A.	549300TRUWO2CD2G5692
parent institution	ING-DiBa AG	ING Groep N.V.	549300NYKK9MWM7GGW15
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	(sub)consolidated level, notified as O-SIIs (pleas	ified is a parent institution and please name the subsidiaries e give names and LEI codes). list in the Annex 1 to the notific Name of O-SII subsidiary	of the institution that are
3. Timing for the measur	e		
3.1 Timing for the decision	What is the date of the official decision? <u>For SSM countries when notifying the ECB:</u> provide the date on which the decision referred to in Article 5 of the Single Supervisory Mechanism Regulation (SSMR) will be taken. 27/11/2024		
3.2 Timing for publication	What is the date of publication of the notified measure? 02/12/2024		
3.3 Disclosure	<ul> <li>Information about the strategy for communicating the notified measure to the market.</li> <li>→ The designated institutions and their respective O-SII capital buffer requirements will be published on the internet webpage of the BaFin.</li> </ul>		
3.4 Timing for application	What is the intended dat	e of application of the measur	e?

	01/01/2025					
	What is the intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure?					
3.5 Phasing in	n/a					
<b>U</b>	Name of institution	Da	ate1 Date	2 Date	3 Date4	Date5
			% %	%	%	%
			% %	%	%	%
3.6 Review of the measure	When will the measure that the buffer, the ider must be reviewed at le → The necessity and le	ntification of astannually	O-SIIs and t y)?	heir alloca	tion to subcat	
	(Section 10g (3) of the 2013/36/EU (CRD)).	German Ba	nking Act; A	rticle 131(6	6) of the Direc	tive
4. Reason for O-SII iden	ification and activation	of the O-S	ll buffer			
<ul> <li>Please list here the names, overall scores and category scores of the O identified based on         <ul> <li>size;</li> <li>importance for the economy of the relevant Member State or the capturing substitutability/financial institution infrastructure;</li> <li>complexity, including the additional complexities from cross-boactivity;</li> <li>interconnectedness of the institution or (sub-)group with the fine system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For the O-SIIs automaticallyidentified in step 1 (score ≥ 350 bp identification process (EBA/GL/2014/10, Title II) see table belo</li> <li>For the complete list of O-SIIs identified (including step 2 (score bps and/or expert judgement) of the identification process (EBA/GL/2014/10, Title III: Supervisory Assessment)) see point</li> </ul>				ne Union, order nancial ps)of the ow. re≥100		
concerned, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs	Institution or group of institutions in scope of CRR/CRD and German Banking Act					an
(Article 131.3 CRD)	Name of institution	Size	Substitut- ability	Com- plexity	Intercon- nectedness	Overall Score
	DEUTSCHE BANK AG	1309	1598	3194	1191	1823
	J.P. Morgan SE	420	132	1297	601	612
	COMMERZBANK AG	532	886	606	360	596
	DZ BANK AG Dt. Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank	532	288	225	763	452
	Goldman Sachs Bank Europe SE	290	34	917	499	435
	UniCredit Bank GmbH	282	422	440	279	356
	Landesbank Baden- W ürttemberg	329	331	322	456	360
	Institution or group o (exempted from CRR/			-	erman Bankin	ng Act
	Name of institution	Size	Substitut- ability	Com- plexity	Intercon- nectedness	Overall Score

	Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau	558	38	178	1386	540
	Please provide other calculations and form in a separate Excel fil	ulas, data so				
	methodology:					
	→ We apply the meth	iodologyas d	escribed in	EBA/GL/20	14/10, Title II	
	calculations and form	ulas:				
	→ see Annex 2 to the	notification t	emplate			
	data sources:					
	→ The data used to c	alculate the s	cores has b	een obtain	edmainlyfro	m:
	- Bilanzst - Zahlung <i>Transac</i>	(primary sol atistik (optior sverkehrssta tions Statistic al reporting o	nal) itistik der De s)			Payment
	information set used t	or denomina	ntors:			
	→ The denominators summing up all value	s of all institu				-
	Please provide inform a. whether you		EBA guideli	nes on the	assessment	of O-SIIs;
	$\rightarrow$ The ident	ification of the	e O-SIIs is b	ased on EE	3A/GL/2014/1	0.
	b. which thresh	old score ha	s been set to	identify O-	·SIIs;	
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	"Scoring me with a score	step 1 of the thodologyfor of ≥ 350bps yidentified as	the assess applying EB	mentofthe	O-SIIs"): All	institutions
	III "Supervise score of ≥ 10 according to	step 2 of the ory Assessm 00bps in the r EBA/GL/201 ervisory asse dgment.	ent of O-SIIs national s cor 4/10 Title III	"): All instit ing model were addit	utions which within the as ionallyidenti	received a sessment fied as an
		vant entities xcluded from				ss of 0.02%
	→ n/a					

	<ul> <li>d. the names and scores of all relevant entities not excidentification process (could be sent in a separate E</li> <li>→ n/a</li> <li>e. whether non-bank institutions have been included in</li> <li>→ n/a</li> <li>Have any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified by ap judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessmer please list the respective institutions and provide information</li> </ul>	txcel file, see 4.1); In the calculations. plying supervisory Int of O-SIIs? If yes,
	<ul> <li>a. which of the optional indicators have been used to ju assessment decisions, if any, and what the scores v</li> <li>→ Indicators used for EBA/GL/2014/10, Title III:</li> <li>Category Nationally expanded indicators</li> </ul>	were;
	Size • Total assets + contine	
4.3 Supervisory judgement	Economic importance (including substitutability / financial system infrastructure)Value of domestic pa transactions processe Private sector deposi Private sector loans in Cross-border activities (including 	yment ed for non-banks payment ed for non-banks ts in the EU non-banks non-banks banks banks dependent in Germany and
	<ul> <li>Liabilities to insurers financial institutions</li> <li>Claims from banks</li> <li>Claims from insurers financial institutions</li> <li>Debt securities outstated</li> </ul>	and other anding
	<ul> <li>b. why these optional indicators are relevant for the Me</li> <li>→</li> <li>o In the category size, contingent liabilities have be total assets indicator in order to include off-bala</li> <li>o In the category economic importance for the EE Republic of Germany (substitutability/infrastruct institution), the number of payment transactions been added as an indicator, in addition to their wo of transactions helps to determine whether an in only small transactions, but a large number of the second sec</li></ul>	been added to the ance sheet risks. EA and the Federal ture of the financial s processed has volume. The number nstitution processes

	Please pro level of the requireme → The ide	activity), cross-jurisdiction down into receivables from banks. This creates a mo- cross-border activities. The subsidiaries (financial ins- added as another indicator institutions' organisational of the OTC derivatives, the is also included in the value which the OTC derivative can be amount is thus especially derivative would have to be in the category interconnec (interconnectedness), intre have been broken down in banks on the one hand are financial institutions on the banks and other financial picture of the various com- system.	der activity (complexity/cross-border nal claims and liabilities have been broken m and liabilities to foreign banks and non- re differentiated picture of the institutions' ne number of legally independent titutions) in Germany and abroad has been or in order to reflect the complexity of al structure. In addition to the nominal value e carrying amount of the OTC derivatives uation. The carrying amount of a derivative ul indicator of complexity because it is e. The market value shows the price at be traded on the market. The carrying othen the relevant indicator, when the be sold in the event of a crisis situation. ectedness with the financial system ra-financial system assets and liabilities noto receivables from and liabilities to and insurance undertakings and other e other hand. The distinction between intermediaries gives a more accurate tagion channels within the financial mportant in terms of those particular bedel according to EBA/GL/2014/10, Title II, of the respective institution is expressed indicator in the national, expanded scoring hat an institution is systemically important, predefined threshold.
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII	Bucket	Intervall of scores in bps	O-SII buffer
buffer		1 100 – 199	0.25%
		200 – 279	0.50%
		280 - 389	0.75%
		4 390 – 539	1.00%
		4 390 – 539 5 540 – 759	1.00% 1.25%
		4 390 - 539 5 540 - 759 6 760 - 1,059	1.00% 1.25% 1.50%
		4 390 - 539 5 540 - 759 6 760 - 1,059 7 1,060 - 1,489	1.00%           1.25%           1.50%           1.75%
		4 390 - 539 5 540 - 759 6 760 - 1,059	1.00% 1.25% 1.50%

	10 2,740 - 3,389	2.50%	
	11 3,390 - 4,039	2.75%	
	12 ≥ 4,040	3.00%	
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	Please provide a justification for why the 0 effective and proportionate to mitigate the → Capital add-ons increase the institution constitute an appropriate measure to stre the financial system as a whole. In addition incentives by introducing negative external process of systemically important institution neglecting the costs for the economy in the the implicit state guarantee (reduction of reference)	e risk. ns' total loss - absorbing capacity and so engthen the resilience of institutions and on, capital add-ons rectify inappropriate al effects to the decision-making ons (e.g. profit maximising while he case of a default) and by withdrawing	
5. Sufficiency, consister	ncy and non-overlap of the policy respons	se	
5.1 Sufficiency of the policy response	For a macroprudential policy to be 'sub deemed to significantly mitigate, or reduce time horizon with a limited unintended imp Note that the ESRB will use the assess	e the build-upof, risks over an appropriate pact on the general economy. sment of the macroprudential stance as	
	relevant input in assessing the sufficiency of the macroprudential policy in the Member State.		
	Please provide any additional informati assessing the sufficiency of the policy res		
		assessed to be sufficient. No unintended is expected as institutions have sufficient se O-SII buffers.	
	For a macroprudential policy to be 'consi respective objectives, as outlined in ESR accordance with the common principles s	B/2013/1 <sup>3</sup> , and must be implemented in	
5.2 Consistency of application of the policy response	Note that the ESRB assessment of cons systemic risks are addressed in a similar v over time.	-	
	Please provide any additional informati assessing the consistency of the policy re		
	national transposition of the E German legislation, as well as bucketing and floor methodol	ed O-SII buffers is consistent with the EU Capital Requirements Directive to with the policy guidance of the ECB logy for O-SIIs. Within the regulatory measure attaches higher buffer rates to of systemic importance.	

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 4 April 2013 on intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy (ESRB/2013/1) (OJ C 170, 15.6.2013, p. 1)

<ul> <li>6. Cross-border and cross-sector impact of the measure</li> <li>Assessment of the cross-border effects of implementation of the measure.</li> <li>a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector<sup>5</sup> and the Framework to assess cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential measures can be used.</li> <li>b. Assessment of the:         <ul> <li>cross-border effects of implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);</li> <li>cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure.</li> <li>An analysis concerning possible cross-border effects of the measure was carried out (see also section 10g German Banking Act (KWG)), consistent with the guidelines set out in Chapter 11 of the ESRB handbook.</li> <li>Leakages or regulatory arbitrage were not expected following the introduction of the O-SII buffer.</li> <li>Based on an assessment of cross-border effects, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of the specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e. circumvention of "leakages" and regulatory arb</li></ul></li></ul>	5.3 Non-overlap of the policy response	<ul> <li>For a policy instrument to be 'non-overlapping', it should aim to address a systemic risk that either differs from a risk addressed by other active tools in the same Member State, or be complementary to another tool in that Member State which addresses the same systemic risk.</li> <li>Are other policy instruments used to address the <u>same</u> systemic risk? → no</li> <li>If yes, please explain the need for more than one instrument to address the same systemic risk and how the different instruments interact with each other.</li> <li>→ Not applicable</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector<sup>5</sup> and the Framework to assess cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential measures can be used.</li> <li>b. Assessment of the:         <ul> <li>cross-border effects of implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);</li> <li>cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers);</li> <li>overall impact on the Single Market of implementation of the measure was carried out (see also section 10g German Banking Act (KWG)), consistent with the guidelines set out in Chapter 11 of the ESRB handbook.</li> <li>Leakages or regulatory arbitrage were not expected following the introduction of the O-Sil buffer.</li> <li>Based on an assessment of reoss-border exposures and market shares of German institutions in other Member States, no material effects related to the introduction of the O-Sil buffer on the common market have been found.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	6. Cross-border and cros	ss-sector impact of the measure
	border effects and the likely impact on the Internal Market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2 <sup>4</sup> )	<ul> <li>a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector<sup>5</sup> and the Framework to assess cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential policies of the ECB Task Force on cross-border spillover effects of macroprudential measures can be used.</li> <li>b. Assessment of the: <ul> <li>cross-border effects of implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers);</li> <li>cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers);</li> <li>overall impact on the Single Market of implementation of the measure was carried out (see also section 10g German Banking Act (KWG)), consistent with the guidelines set out in Chapter 11 of the ESRB handbook.</li> <li>Leakages or regulatory arbitrage were not expected following the introduction of the O-SII buffer.</li> <li>Based on an assessment of cross-border exposures and market shares of German institutions in other Member States, no material effects related to the introduction of the O-SII buffer on the common market have been found.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
within the notifying Member       the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)?         State       Is there scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions?         → Leakages or regulatory arbitrage are not expected.	and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member	the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)? Is there scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in other jurisdictions?

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 15 December 2015 on the assessment of cross-border effects of and voluntary reciprocity for macroprudential policy measures (ESRB/2015/3) (OJ C 97, 12.3.2016, p. 9).  $^{5}$  Available on the ESRB's website at www.esrb.europa.eu.

7.1 Combinations between G- SII and O-SII buffers	→ In addition to the O-SII buf capital buffer. The higher buff	-		-
Article 131.14)	Name of institution	O-SII bu	iffer	G-SII buffer
,	Deutsche Bank AG	2,00%	, D	1,50%
		%		%
	Are any of the institutions ide	%		%
7.2 Combinations with systemic risk buffers SyRBs) Article 131.15 CRD)	<ul> <li>→ A sectoral systemic onwards to all expose Germany. A Counter If yes, please provide the follor         <ul> <li>a. What is/are the systemic on the systemic of the G-S SII buffer and to an origination is subject</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	sures secured by re rcyclical Buffer is al owing information: emic risk buffer rate ind/or individual)? red by residential pr stemic risk buffer ra SII and O-SII buffer O-SII buffer at cons	sidential prope so active. es(s)? offer rate(s) app opertylocated i te(s) and the C rates, if a grou	ertylocated in blied (i.e. n Germany. D-SII buffer rate ( p is subject to a (
	➔ No. Name of institution	SyRB rate	SyRB application level	Sum of G-SII/O- SII and SyRB rates
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
		%		%
3 O-SII requirement for a	If the O-SII is a subsidiary of a buffer on a consolidated basi consolidated basis of the pare Does the cap for the subsidia buffer based on the domestic	is, what is the G-SII ent institution? aryprevent the impl	or O-SII buffer	rate on a
subsidiary (Article 131.8 CRD)	Name of O-SII subsidiary	Name of the EU par subsidi		Buffer applicable to O- SII EU parent
	UniCredit Bank GmbH	UniCredit	Group	1,50%

8.1 Contact person(s)/mailbox at notifying authority	GSII-OSII@bafin.de
8.2 Any other relevant information	
8.3 Date of the notification	Please provide the date on which this notification was uploaded/sent. 24/10/2024