





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- <u>notifications@esrb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- <u>notifications@eba.europa.eu</u> when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority				
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Central Bank of Malta (CBM) and Malta Financial Services Authority (MFSA)			
2. Description of the measure				
	The measure applies to the following institutions at the highest level of consolidation in Malta:			
2.1 Concerned institution or group of institutions	Bank of Valletta Group LEI Code: 5	29900RWC8ZYB066JF16		
	HSBC Bank Malta plc LEI Code: 54	49300X34UUBDEUL1Z91		
	Medifin Holding Limited LEI Code:	213800TC9PZRBHMJW403		
	Institution	Final rate (from Jan 2019)		
	Bank of Valletta Group (BOV)	2.0%		
	HSBC Bank Malta plc (HSBC)	1.5%	_	
2.2 Level of the buffer	Medifin Holding Limited (MED)	0.5%		
applied	The additional capital requirement is calculated on the basis of the institutions' total risk exposures and must be covered by Core Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1 capital).			
	To note that as indicated in section 4.1 HSBC scored lower than the lower limit in bucket 2 by 0.02 percentage points (section 4.4). However, the authorities deem that a buffer of 1.5% remains appropriate, based on the reasons provided in section 4.3.			
2.3 Name of the EU	HSBC Holdings LEI Code: MLU0ZO3ML4LN2LL2TL39			
ultimate parent institution	Both Bank of Valletta and Medifin H		institutions	
	Medifin Holding Limited is the holding company of:			
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	1) Mediterranean Bank plc LEI code: 529900SYUCFQHI3JZQ05			
	2) Mediterranean Corporate Bank Limited (MT) LEI code:			
	2138006A51TIRXZ64P62 3) MeDirect Bank SA (BE) LEI code: 529900MATKY89NT0U738			
	The other O-SIIs do not own banking subsidiaries.			

3. Timing of the mea	sure						
3.1 Timing of the Decision	The authorities, as represented in the Joint Financial Stability Board, will reach their final decision after duly noting the opinion of the ECB.						
3.2 Timing of the Publication	The O-SII decision is expected to be published by the end of the year.						
3.3 Disclosure	The same communication strategy followed in the 2015 identification process will be followed this year i.e. informing the banks of their O-SII status and applicable buffer rate through a private letter and informing the public through the CBM and MFSA's website.						
3.4 Timing of Application	This is a continuation of the buffers assigned last year to the same O-SIIs, thus activation date essentially is 1 January 2016.						
	Institution	1 Jan 2016	1 Jai 2017			Jan 2019	
	Bank of Valletta Group (BOV)	0.5%	1.0%	ĺ		2.0%	
3.5 Phasing in	HSBC Bank Malta plc (HSBC)	0.375%	0.759	% 1.12	:5% 1	.5%	
	Medifin Holding (MED)	0.125%	0.259	% 0.37	75% C).5%	
3.6 Review of the measure	The O-SII buffer w	ill be review	ed annual	lly.			
	Following are the s June 2016 data:	scores resul	ting from t	he running	of the dom	nestic met	hodology on
	BOV and HSBC qu respectively.	ualify as O-S	SIIs in the	first step by	/ an overal	l score of	
	BOV and HSBC qu	ualify as O-S	SIIs in the Step 1	first step by	/ an overal		
	BOV and HSBC qu respectively.	ualify as O-S		first step by	/ an overal		2.45 and 1.23
	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2	-	Step 1		/ an overal Overall (weighted average)		2.45 and 1.23
	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2	0.4 Importance for the domestic	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border	0.2 Resident interconn	Overall (weighted	Assets to GDP	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5
4.1 Scores of concerned	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2 Bank Size BOV 2.8 HSBC 1.2	0.4 Importance for the domestic economy	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border activities 0.9 0.1	0.2 Resident interconn ectedness 2.5 0.4	Overall (weighted average) 2.45 1.23	Assets to GDP (>25%) 122.0% 64.9%	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5 times) 40.1 24.0
4.1 Scores of concerned institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs (Article 131.3)	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2 Bank Size BOV 2.8	0.4 Importance for the domestic economy 3.1 2.2 - mestic meth meets an a GDP; and posits ≥ 2.5 tin	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border activities 0.9 0.1 0.1 0.1 dditional t	0.2 Resident interconn ectedness 2.5 0.4 2.0 an institutio wo criteria,	Overall (weighted average) 2.45 1.23 0.44 on that has qualifies a	Assets to GDP (>25%) 122.0% 64.9% 26.6% not been as an O-SI	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5 times) 40.1 24.0 4.8 identified as I. The two
institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2 Bank Size BOV 2.8 HSBC 1.2 MED 0.1 In Step 2 of the do O-SII in Step 1 but criteria include: 1. Size $\geq 25\%$ of 2. Covered Depo Medifin Holding Lir In line with the EBJ the following score	0.4 Importance for the domestic economy 3.1 2.2 - mestic meth meets an a GDP; and osits ≥ 2.5 tin mited meets A <i>methodolo</i> es:	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border activities 0.9 0.1 0.1 0.1 dditional t mes the D the two th	0.2 Resident interconn ectedness 2.5 0.4 2.0 an institutio wo criteria, pepositor Co nresholds c	Overall (weighted average) 2.45 1.23 0.44 on that has qualifies a oncurrently <i>three O-SI</i>	Assets to GDP (>25%) 122.0% 64.9% 26.6% not been as an O-SI on Schem	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5 times) 40.1 24.0 4.8 identified as I. The two e (DCS)
institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs	BOV and HSBC quitespectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2 Bank Size BOV 2.8 HSBC 1.2 MED 0.1 In Step 2 of the dot O-SII in Step 1 but criteria include: 1. Size $\geq 25\%$ of 2. Covered Depote Medifin Holding Lint In line with the EBJ the following score	0.4 Importance for the domestic economy 3.1 2.2 - mestic meth meets an a GDP; and osits ≥ 2.5 the mited meets A methodolo es:	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border activities 0.9 0.1 0.1 0.1 dditional t mes the D the two th ogy Step 1	0.2 Resident interconn ectedness 2.5 0.4 2.0 an institutio wo criteria, repositor Conresholds contresholds co	Overall (weighted average) 2.45 1.23 0.44 on that has qualifies a ompensation oncurrently three O-SI	Assets to GDP (>25%) 122.0% 64.9% 26.6% not been as an O-SI on Schem	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5 times) 40.1 24.0 4.8 identified as I. The two e (DCS)
institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs	BOV and HSBC qu respectively. Jun-16 WEIGHTS 0.2 Bank Size BOV 2.8 HSBC 1.2 MED 0.1 In Step 2 of the do O-SII in Step 1 but criteria include: 1. Size $\geq 25\%$ of 2. Covered Depo Medifin Holding Lir In line with the EBJ the following score	0.4 Importance for the domestic economy 3.1 2.2 - mestic meth meets an a GDP; and osits ≥ 2.5 til mited meets A methodolo es: Ition Group (BOV	Step 1 0.2 Cross Border activities 0.9 0.1 0.1 0.1 dditional t the two th bgy Step 1	0.2 Resident interconn ectedness 2.5 0.4 2.0 an institutio wo criteria, repositor Conresholds c the same EBA	Overall (weighted average) 2.45 1.23 0.44 on that has qualifies a oncurrently <i>three O-SI</i>	Assets to GDP (>25%) 122.0% 64.9% 26.6% not been as an O-SI on Schem	2.45 and 1.23 Step 2 Covered Deposits/DCS Funding (>2.5 times) 40.1 24.0 4.8 identified as I. The two e (DCS)

4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	To note that both the domestic and the EBA methodology have for two consecutive years provided similar results. Domestically, the Central Bank of Malta and Malta Financial Services Authority (MFSA) developed a domestic O-SII Identification methodology and capital calibration methodology as per Policy Document. ¹ Domestic O-SIIs are identified according to a domestic methodology. As a first step, systemically important institutions are assessed for their relative importance to the industry on the basis of the following criteria identified in CRDIV Article 131: Size Substitutability Cross-border activity Resident Interconnectedness A peer comparison is carried out for each indicator, criteria and overall. This is achieved through a z-score model in order to limit subjectivity in the interpretation of results and to normalise the values across institutions. The overall result is obtained by applying a weighted average of the four criteria, with the highest weight assigned to substitutability. Institutions with an overall standard deviation above 1 are considered O-SIIs. In a second step, authorities assess whether further institutions should be designated as O-SIIs based on two additional, but not relative, indicators. An institution, whilst being small when compared to its peers, may still be systemically relevant to the economy and its failure may create systemic risk. In this regard, the following two criteria with the respective thresholds were adopted: Size ≥ 25% of GDP; and Covered Deposits ≥ 2.5 times the Depositor Compensation Scheme (DCS)
4.3 Supervisory judgement	funding. Based on the domestic methodology, HSBC scores 0.02 lower than the minimum limit established in bucket 2 (Section 4.4 and footnote 1). This means that, purely on quantitative measures, HSBC Bank Malta plc would attract a buffer rate of 1.0% instead of 1.5%, as of next year. To note, however, that a bank can also be allocated in bucket 2, if it has risk due to most of the criteria. Thus, in order for HSBC to qualify for a 1.5% buffer, either the overall score is higher than 1.25, or sufficient reasons are present to justify the higher buffer rate. As indicated below, the authorities are of the view that HSBC still remains a domestically systemically important institution of the same relevance and for this reason would continue to phase-in the same buffer of 1.5%. Amongst the arguments in favour of holding the 1.5% buffer is the fact that HSBC does not meet the quantitative criteria of 1.25 by 0.02, which is deemed too low to reduce a buffer rate by 0.5 percentage points. Furthermore, data show that in

¹ CBM and MFSA (Dec 15): Policy Document On the methodology for the identification of other systemically important institutions and the related capital buffer calibration <u>https://www.centralbankmalta.org/systemically-important-institutions</u>

	absolute terms the position of HSBC with regards to "Domestic Importance" (which carries the highest weight in the identification criteria) increased, indicating that HSBC's domestic relevance did not deteriorate. The drop in total assets indeed resulted from lower cross border activity. However, to note that the size criteria still remains significant compared to both the threshold and to the scores registered by other banks. Furthermore, given that the methodology is based on relative terms, the higher figures reported by BOV led to a lower overall score for HSBC. HSBC's importance is also indicated in Step 2, whereby it registers higher assets to GDP and covered deposits; (1) 65% in the size indicator (Size \geq 25% of domestic GDP;) and (2) 24 times in the Covered deposits to DCS indicator (Covered Deposits \geq 2.5 times the domestic Depositor Compensation Scheme (DCS) funding).		
	 The bucketing methodology is based on the scores achieved in the O-SII identification stage in Step 1, as follows: 1. the highest bucket remains the maximum legal O-SII buffer rate of 2%, whilst the lowest is set at 1.0% 2. buffer rates are allocated into three buckets in steps of 0.5% 3. the overall score obtained in the identification methodology (Step 1) is used to indicate the bucket in which an institution is allocated, starting from bucket 1 		
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	Bucket 3 (2.0%)	Criterion for each bucket High risk due to most of the criteria and/or Score equal to or above 1.75	
	2 (1.5%)	Risk due to most of the criteria and/or Score equal to or above 1.25 and below 1.75 Some risk due to some criteria	
	(1.0%)	and/or Score equal to or above 1 and below 1.25 O-SIIs via Step 2, a capital buffer rate of 0.5% shall	
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of	The O-SII capital buffer is aimed at mitigating the vulnerability of the domestic financial system and the real economy to the failure of systemically important institutions. This additional capital buffer aims to increase the resilience of O-SIIs by increasing their loss absorbing capacity and thus ensuring that they pose minimal risk to the domestic economy in the form of externalities. Market failures targeted by the O-SII capital buffer mainly relate to the excessive risk-taking due to expectations of a bailout as a result of the perceived systemic relevance of an individual institution (moral hazard and 'too big to fail'). The domestic banking system is composed of diverse banks which are categorised as core, non-core and international.		
measure	with the domestic economy, operate a widespread branch and are core providers of credit around 238% of domestic GDP this category, all of which are in the three domestic banking gro core domestic banks and a	gory, consists of a set of banks that exhibit strong links and are thus systemically important. These banks network, provide a full spectrum of banking services t and deposit services in Malta. These reach a size of P. The three banking groups identified as O-SIIs fall in dentified as significant for SSM purposes. Collectively, pups account for around 88% of the total assets of the around 39% of the total banking system assets. a hold market shares in resident customer loans and 33% and 85% respectively.	

5.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the internal market	From the internal market perspective, given the relative small size of the domestic financial sector vis-à-vis its European counterparts, no impact is expected to
(Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	materialise as a result of the domestic O-SII buffer. Indeed, as at June 2016, the combined assets of these institutions amounted to EUR 18.8 billion.
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	The buffers are set at the highest level of consolidation in Malta. This avoids the possibility that institutions shift their business activities within the group, whether domestically or elsewhere.
6. Combinations and	interactions with other measures
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers	N/A
(Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	
6.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	N/A
6.4 Interaction with other measures	No interaction with other measures.

7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Contact person(s) for further inquiries (name, phone number and e-mail address) Mr Alan Cassar Deputy Director Regulatory Development Unit Malta Financial Services Authority E-mail: <u>acassar@mfsa.com.mt</u> Ms Graziella Gatt Manager Policy and Crisis Management Financial Stability Department Central Bank of Malta E-mail: <u>gattg@centralbankmalta.org</u>
7.2 Any other relevant information	