Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions OO

# Global or Regional Safe Assets: Evidence from Bond Substitution Patterns

Tsvetelina Nenova Bank for International Settlements

European Systemic Risk Board Ieke van der Burg Prize 27 September 2024

The author is grateful to the European Central Bank for financial support of this research project under the Lamfalussy Fellowship Programme. Any views expressed are only those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the ECB, the Europystem, or the Bank for International Settlements.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E = のQ@ 208

## Motivation: A Granular Look at International Bond Markets

- > International bond markets key to understand:
  - Role of global & regional safe assets in monetary policy transmission
  - International monetary policy spillovers

- > New perspective through *demand elasticities* of international bond investors:
  - *Own* elasticities  $\rightarrow$  degree of portfolio rebalancing
  - Substitution elasticities  $\rightarrow$  composition of portfolio rebalancing

Conclusions 00

## This Paper: A Novel View of Portfolio Rebalancing

- Corp & govt bonds  $\sim 57\%$  of global debt securities
- 140 countries, 60 currencies, of all maturities & credit ratings

Conclusions 00

# This Paper: A Novel View of Portfolio Rebalancing

- Corp & govt bonds  $\sim 57\%$  of global debt securities
- 140 countries, 60 currencies, of all maturities & credit ratings
- How can we use these estimates?

Conclusions 00

# This Paper: A Novel View of Portfolio Rebalancing

- Corp & govt bonds  $\sim 57\%$  of global debt securities
- 140 countries, 60 currencies, of all maturities & credit ratings
- > How can we use these estimates?
  - Different Fed / ECB spillovers via *global* (US Treasuries) / *regional* safe assets (German Bunds)

Conclusions 00

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ 된 = 9 Q @ 378</p>

# This Paper: A Novel View of Portfolio Rebalancing

- Corp & govt bonds  $\sim 57\%$  of global debt securities
- 140 countries, 60 currencies, of all maturities & credit ratings
- > How can we use these estimates?
  - Different Fed / ECB spillovers via *global* (US Treasuries) / *regional* safe assets (German Bunds)
  - CB safe asset purchases less effective during financial crises

Conclusions 00

# This Paper: A Novel View of Portfolio Rebalancing

- Corp & govt bonds  $\sim 57\%$  of global debt securities
- 140 countries, 60 currencies, of all maturities & credit ratings
- > How can we use these estimates?
  - Different Fed / ECB spillovers via *global* (US Treasuries) / *regional* safe assets (German Bunds)
  - CB safe asset purchases less effective during financial crises
  - Bond market segmentation through prism of fund portfolio re-balancing

Dataset	
000000	

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

### Literature

#### 1. Safe assets & segmented markets:

Caballero, Farhi and Gourinchas (2008, 2015, 2017); Gourinchas and Rey (2016, 2022); He, Krishnamurthy and Milbradt (2019); Coppola, Krishnamurthy and Xu (2023); Gorton (2017); Dang, Gorton and Holmström (2012);

Vayanos and Vila (2021); Ray (2019); Gourinchas, Ray and Vayanos (2022); Costain, Nuño and Thomas (2022); Kekre, Lenel and Mainardi (2022); Eser, Lemke, Nyholm, Radde and Vladu (2023);

#### 2. Convenience yields & exchange rate dynamics:

Krishnamurthy and Vissing-Jorgensen (2012); Nagel (2016); Jiang, Krishnamurthy and Lustig (2018, 2023); Engel and Wu (2018); Krishnamurthy and Lustig (2019); Engel (2020); Valchev (2020); Mota (2020); Diamond and Van Tassel (2021); Van Binsbergen, Diamond and Grotteria (2022)

### 3. Characteristics-based asset demand & downward-sloping demand curves:

Koijen and Yogo (2019, 2020); Koijen, Richmond and Yogo (2020b); Bretscher, Schmid, Sen and Sharma (2020); Jiang, Richmond and Zhang (2021); Shen and Zhang (2021); Noh and Oh (2021); Fang, Hardy and Lewis (2022); Gabaix and Koijen (2022); Eren, Schrimpf and Xia (2023); Shleifer (1986); Harris and Gurel (1986); Chang, Hong and Liskovich (2014); Chen, Noronha and Singal (2004); Petajisto (2011); Froot and Ramadorai (2005, 2008); Hau and Rev (2004, 2006); Hau. Mass and Peress (2010); Camanho. Hau and Rev (2022); Birpus, Llovd and Ostry (2023)

### 4. Portfolio rebalancing after QE programmes (foreign & non-bank investors):

Joyce, Liu and Tonks (2014); Bergant, Fidora and Schmitz (2018); Koijen, Koulischer, Nguyen and Yogo (2020a); Tabova and Warnock (2021); Faia, Salomao and Veghazy (2022); Holm-Hadulla and Leombroni (2023); Zhou (2023); Du et al. (2024); Faia, Lewis and Zhou (2024)

▲ □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Dataset	
000000	

Literature

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

◆□▶ < @▶ < E▶ < E▶ 된 = のQ@ 578</p>

### 1. Safe assets & segmented markets:

Caballero, Farhi and Gourinchas (2008, 2015, 2017); Gourinchas and Rey (2016, 2022); He, Krishnamurthy and Milbradt (2019); Coppola, Krishnamurthy and Xu (2023); Gorton (2017); Dang, Gorton and Holmström (2012);

Vayanos and Vila (2021); Ray (2019); Gourinchas, Ray and Vayanos (2022); Costain, Nuño and Thomas (2022); Kekre, Lenel and Mainardi (2022); Eser, Lemke, Nyholm, Radde and Vladu (2023);

### 2. Convenience yields & exchange rate dynamics:

Krishnamurthy and Vissing-Jorgensen (2012); Nagel (2016); Jiang, Krishnamurthy and Lustig (2018, 2023); Engel and Wu (2018); Krishnamurthy and Lustig (2019); Engel (2020); Valchev (2020); Mota (2020); Diamond and Van Tassel (2021); Van Binsbergen, Diamond and Grotteria (2022)

### 3. Characteristics-based asset demand & downward-sloping demand curves:

Koijen and Yogo (2019, 2020); Koijen, Richmond and Yogo (2020b); Bretscher, Schmid, Sen and Sharma (2020); Jiang, Richmond and Zhang (2021); Shen and Zhang (2021); Noh and Oh (2021); Fang, Hardy and Lewis (2022); Gabaix and Koijen (2022); Eren, Schrimpf and Xia (2023); Shleifer (1986); Harris and Gurel (1986); Chang, Hong and Liskovich (2014); Chen, Noronha and Singal (2004); Petajisto (2011); Froot and Ramadorai (2005, 2008); Hau and Rev (2004, 2006); Hau, Massa and Peress (2010); Camanho, Hau and Rev (2022); Bippus, Llovd and Ostry (2023)

### 4. Portfolio rebalancing after QE programmes (foreign & non-bank investors):

Joyce, Liu and Tonks (2014); Bergant, Fidora and Schmitz (2018); Koijen, Koulischer, Nguyen and Yogo (2020a); Tabova and Warnock (2021); Faia, Salomao and Veghazy (2022); Holm-Hadulla and Leombroni (2023); Zhou (2023); Du et al. (2024); Faia, Lewis and Zhou (2024)

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Outline



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

- Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk

### 4 Conclusions

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

Data: \$8.5trn of mutual fund bond holdings

- ~11,000 Funds 🚞
- <u>Morningstar:</u> security-level bond portfolios
- 2007 2020 (quarterly)
- Coverage: 80% US & 40% EA (70% LU, 65% IE)

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

Data: \$8.5trn of mutual fund bond holdings



- Fixed income / Balanced
- Active / Passive

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy



(60% of fund holdings)



- US / Euro area based
- US / Euro area based
- Fixed income / Balanced
- Active / Passive

### ~5,000 Types of bonds

- country (140)
- corporate / sovereign / supra
- currency (60)
- credit rating (5), maturity (4)

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy



(60% of fund holdings)

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ Ξ □ • の Q · 9/28</p>



- US / Euro area based
- Fixed income / Balanced
- Active / Passive

- ~5,000 Types of bonds
- country (140)
- corporate / sovereign / supra
- currency (60)
- credit rating (5), maturity (4)



- currency (60)
- credit rating (5), maturity (4)

Conclusions

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Outline



### 2 Bond demand specification

- ) Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy
  - Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk

### 4 Conclusions

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 □ ♡ Q ♡ 11/28</p>

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Characteristics-based bond demand

Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per_{\chi(i),t}^{h}(n) + \mathbf{x}_{t}^{1}(n)'\beta_{T(i)}^{1} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)'\beta_{T(i)}^{2} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)'\theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

▶ ICAPM ▶ From ICAPM to Characteristics-based ▶ Characteristics-based demand functions

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Characteristics-based bond demand

#### Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per_{\chi(i),t}^{h}(n) + \mathbf{x}_{t}^{1}(n)' \beta_{T(i)}^{1} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)' \beta_{T(i)}^{2} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)' \theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

ICAPM From ICAPM to Characteristics-based Characteristics-based demand functions

### Predicted excess bond returns $per_{\chi(i),t}^{h}(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} rx_{\chi(i),t+h}(n) &(= r_{\chi(i),t+h}(n) - r_{\chi(i),t}^{h}) \\ &= A_{\chi(i)}^{h} y_{t}(n) + B_{i}^{h} rer_{\chi(i),t}(n) + \sum_{f=1}^{3} C_{\chi(i),f}^{h} uspc_{f,t} + \sum_{f=1}^{3} D_{\chi(i),f}^{h} depc_{f,t} + F_{\chi(i),n}^{h} + E_{\chi(i),n,t+h} \end{aligned}$$

Regression output
Time-varying bond risk

<□> < □> < □> < 三> < 三> < 三> 三三 のへで 11/28

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Rich bond & fund controls

### Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per^{h}_{\chi(i),t}(n) + \mathbf{x}^{1}_{t}(n)'\beta^{1}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)'\beta^{2}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)'\theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

► ICAPM ► From ICAPM to Characteristics-based ► Characteristics-based demand functions ► R-sqr

#### Granular characteristics:

- $\mathbf{x}_t^1(n)$ : Maturity, Amount Outstanding
- $\mathbf{x}^2(n)$ : Rating Bucket, Seniority, Country of risk, Currency of denomination

Risk & Market Segments

◆□ → < □ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ = の Q @ 12/28</p>

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Rich bond & fund controls

#### Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per^{h}_{\chi(i),t}(n) + \mathbf{x}^{1}_{t}(n)'\beta^{1}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)'\beta^{2}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)'\theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

► ICAPM ► From ICAPM to Characteristics-based ► Characteristics-based demand functions ► R-sqr

#### Granular characteristics:

- $\mathbf{x}_t^1(n)$ : Maturity, Amount Outstanding
  - $\mathbf{x}^2(n)$ : Rating Bucket, Seniority, Country of risk, Currency of denomination
  - **b**<sub>*i*</sub>(*n*): Bilateral fund-bond dummies (Home & Home Currency biases , Fund Investment Area , Government / Corporate bond mandate)

Risk & Market Segments

Mandates

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Rich bond & fund controls

### Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per^{h}_{\chi(i),t}(n) + \mathbf{x}^{1}_{t}(n)'\beta^{1}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)'\beta^{2}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)'\theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

► ICAPM ► From ICAPM to Characteristics-based ► Characteristics-based demand functions ► R-sqr

#### Granular characteristics:



•  $\zeta_{i,t} = -\log(\rho_{i,t}) + \xi_{i,t}$ : investor-time FEs capture risk aversion and other bond portfolio demand shocks

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖圖 のへで 12/28

Risk aversion

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Risk & Market Segments

Mandates

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲圖■ 約९(0) 12/28

Risk aversion

# Rich bond & fund controls

### Panel Logit demand:

$$\log\left(\frac{w_{i,t}(n)}{w_{i,t}(0)}\right) = \alpha_{T(i)} per^{h}_{\chi(i),t}(n) + \mathbf{x}^{1}_{t}(n)' \beta^{1}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{x}^{2}(n)' \beta^{2}_{T(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{i}(n)' \theta_{T(i)} + \zeta_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$$

 $w_{i,t}(n)$ ,  $w_{i,t}(0)$ : weight of bond *n* / outside asset in fund *i* portfolio at the end of quarter *t* 

► ICAPM ► From ICAPM to Characteristics-based ► Characteristics-based demand functions ► R-sqr

#### Granular characteristics:

- $\mathbf{x}_t^1(n)$ : Maturity, Amount Outstanding
  - $\mathbf{x}^2(n)$ : Rating Bucket, Seniority, Country of risk, Currency of denomination
  - b<sub>i</sub>(n): Bilateral fund-bond dummies
     (Home & Home Currency biases , Fund Investment Area , Government / Corporate bond mandate)
  - $\zeta_{i,t} = -\log(\rho_{i,t}) + \xi_{i,t}$ : investor-time FEs capture risk aversion and other bond portfolio demand shocks
  - $\varepsilon_{i,t}(n)$ : unobserved variation in investor demand across bonds, at time t

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Bond demand elasticities

Aggregate fund sector elasticity: % change in weight of bond j in aggregated fund sector portfolio in response to 1ppt change in predicted excess return of bond k

 $\rightarrow$  holdings-weighted average of individual elasticities:

$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j)) * 100}{\partial per_t(k)} = \begin{cases} \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \left(1 - w_{i,t}(j)\right) * 100 & \text{if } j = k, \\ -\sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ightarrow Own demand elasticities vary across bonds and over time due to investor base

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Bond demand elasticities

Aggregate fund sector elasticity: % change in weight of bond j in aggregated fund sector portfolio in response to 1ppt change in predicted excess return of bond k

 $\rightarrow$  holdings-weighted average of individual elasticities:

$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j)) * 100}{\partial per_t(k)} = \begin{cases} \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \, \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \, (1 - w_{i,t}(j)) * 100 & \text{if } j = k, \\ -\sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \, \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \, w_{i,t}(k) * 100 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ightarrow Own demand elasticities vary across bonds and over time due to investor base
- $\rightarrow$  Substitution driven by funds who hold both bond *j* and *k* at time *t*: closest substitutes are those that experience greatest spillovers from safe asset return changes

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

## Identification challenge

> Need instrument: uncorrelated with investor-specific residual relative bond demand

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i,t}(n) \, \boldsymbol{Z}_t(n) \, \middle| \, \mathbf{x}_t^1(n), \, \mathbf{x}^2(n), \, \mathbf{b}_i(n), \, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i,t} \right] = 0$$

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Identification challenge

> Need instrument: uncorrelated with investor-specific residual relative bond demand

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i,t}(n) \ \boldsymbol{Z}_t(n) \ \middle| \ \mathbf{x}_t^1(n), \ \mathbf{x}^2(n), \ \mathbf{b}_i(n), \ \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i,t} \right] = 0$$



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Identification challenge

> Need instrument: uncorrelated with investor-specific residual relative bond demand

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[ \varepsilon_{i,t}(n) \ Z_t(n) \ \middle| \ \mathbf{x}_t^1(n), \ \mathbf{x}^2(n), \ \mathbf{b}_i(n), \ \zeta_{i,t} \right] = 0$$



★ No market clearing (fund sector holdings of bonds only) → Koijen and Yogo (2019, 2020) instruments not feasible

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions OO

### High-frequency Monetary Policy Shocks by Fed & ECB

> Monetary policy shocks that vary with bond maturity, country, currency:

 $Z_t(n) = [FEDiv_t(n), ECBiv_t(n)]'$ 

✓ Fed & ECB surprises to the entire yield curve (Gürkaynak et al., 2022; Altavilla et al., 2019)

✓ Cleaned from central bank information effects (Jarociński and Karadi, 2018)

✓ Heterogeneous international spillovers by country & currency conditional on yield curve segment (Miranda-Agrippino and Nenova, 2022)

Monetary policy instruments
 IV correlations
 First stage specification
 F-stats \$
 F-stats €
 First stage Fed coefs
 First stage ECB coefs
 OLS vs 2SLS illustration
 OLS vs 2SLS scatter
 OLS vs 2SLS table
 Threat risk

(□) (個) (目) (目) (目) (15/28)

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Stock-take: Methodological advances

- 1. Flexible functional form allows flexible substitution estimates
  - Compared to Nested Logit in global demand system of Koijen and Yogo (2020)
- 2. Precision from more granular data:
  - Fund-level holdings and characteristics ⇒ fund-specific & time-varying risk aversion + heterogeneous mandates / preferred habitats
  - Security-level bond holdings and characteristics ⇒ market segmentation along many dimensions possible (country, currency, rating, maturity, issuer type...)
- 3. Broader scope than previous demand estimation : 57% of global debt securities outstanding
- 4. New instruments for bond returns in a demand setting without market clearing

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Outline

3



### Bond demand specificati

### Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

- Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk

### 4 Conclusions

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 □ ♡ Q ○ 17/28</p>

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Outline



Bond demand specificatio

3 Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

- Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk





Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Safe assets have low demand elasticities

**Own demand elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jj) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))*100}{\partial per_t(j)} = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \ \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \ (1 - w_{i,t}(j))*100$$



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions OO

## Safe assets have low demand elasticities

**Own demand elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jj) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))*100}{\partial per_t(j)} = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \ \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \ (1 - w_{i,t}(j))*100$$

### Credit rating



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions OO

## Safe assets have low demand elasticities

**Own demand elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jj) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))*100}{\partial per_t(j)} = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \ \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \ (1 - w_{i,t}(j))*100$$





Issuer region



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions

### Safe assets have low demand elasticities

**Own demand elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jj) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))*100}{\partial per_t(j)} = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \ \widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} \ (1 - w_{i,t}(j))*100$$





#### Bond maturity



Note: Medians across sovereign bond buckets. Time averages of bucket-level elasticities of the total fund sector.

► Corporate ► All bonds ► Bond currencies ► Asset types ► By fund residence

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Spillovers from US T-bill returns ↑: Funds de-risk

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left( -\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100 \right)$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E = のへで 18/28

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Spillovers from US T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds de-risk

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left( -\frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)}}{w_{i,t}(k)} * 100 \right)$$





Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy 

Conclusions

### Spillovers from US T-bill returns ↑: Funds de-risk

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left(-\hat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100\right)$$



Issuer region



< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E = のへで 18/28

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions OO

### Spillovers from US T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds de-risk

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left( -\widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100 \right)$$



Note: Medians across all bond buckets. Time averages of bucket-level elasticities of the total fund sector.

▶ Sovereign ▶ Corporate ▶ US funds ▶ EA funds ▶ US 1-5y ▶ US 5-10y ▶ US 10y+

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# US monetary policy triggers global rebalancing



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Spillovers from DE T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds sell other EA safe short bonds

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left( -\widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100 \right)$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E = のへで 20/28

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E = のへで 20/28

# Spillovers from DE T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds sell other EA safe short bonds

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left( -\hat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100 \right)$$



### Credit rating

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Spillovers from DE T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds sell other EA safe short bonds

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left(-\hat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100\right)$$



Issuer region





Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Spillovers from DE T-bill returns $\uparrow$ : Funds sell other EA safe short bonds

**Cross-elasticity:** 
$$\eta_t(jk) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(w_t(j))}{\partial per_t(k)} * 100 = \sum_i \frac{AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j)}{\sum_i (AUM_{i,t}w_{i,t}(j))} \left(-\widehat{\alpha}_{T(i)} w_{i,t}(k) * 100\right)$$



Note: Medians across all bond buckets. Time averages of bucket-level elasticities of the total fund sector.

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# EA monetary policy triggers regional rebalancing



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Rebalancing from safe assets: US vs EA

**US:** Global transmission with risky assets affected disproportionately

EA: Regional transmission via rebalancing in European sovereign debt market

⇒ Effects of monetary policy are asymmetric along segmented bond markets

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

### Outline



Bond demand specificati



Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

- Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk

### 4 Conclusions

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ |= の Q @ 23/28

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## **Flight to safety #1**: US T-bills elasticity $\downarrow$ when market stress $\uparrow$



*Black line:* Funds' demand elasticity for US Treasuries with maturity under 1 year to changes *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in its predicted excess returns.

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Flight to safety #2: Relative safety of Treasuries vs US BBB corp. bonds



*Black line:* Substitution elasticity of BBB-rated US corporate bonds with maturity of over 10 years *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in predicted excess returns on US Treasury with maturity over 10 years.

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions

## Flight to safety #2: Relative safety of Treasuries vs US BBB corp. bonds



*Black line:* Substitution elasticity of BBB-rated US corporate bonds with maturity of over 10 years *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in predicted excess returns on US Treasury with maturity over 10 years.

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Flight to safety #2: Relative safety of Treasuries vs US BBB corp. bonds



*Black line:* Substitution elasticity of BBB-rated US corporate bonds with maturity of over 10 years *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in predicted excess returns on US Treasury with maturity over 10 years.

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Flight to safety #3: Relative safety of German Bund vs Spain



*Black line:* Substitution elasticity of Spanish sovereign bonds *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in predicted excess returns on German sovereign bonds. Median of substitutions within all four maturity buckets (under 1y, 1-5y, 5-10y, over 10y).

Italy France Belgium Netherlands

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

## Flight to safety #3: Relative safety of German Bund vs Spain



*Black line:* Substitution elasticity of Spanish sovereign bonds *w.r.t.* 1ppt change in predicted excess returns on German sovereign bonds. Median of substitutions within all four maturity buckets (under 1y, 1-5y, 5-10y, over 10y).

Italy France Belgium Netherlands

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions 00

# Forms of flight to safety: US vs EA

US: Monetary policy transmission to risky assets is impaired during crisis

EA: European sovereign debt market integration deteriorates

 $\Rightarrow$  Calibration & composition of central bank policies should be state-contingent

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions

## Outline



Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

- Safety relative to other bonds
- Safety amid heightened risk





Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions

<□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 필 ▶ < 필 ▶ 된 = 9 Q @ 28/28

# Lessons for theory & policy

- 1. Market segmentation in international bond portfolios
  - $\rightarrow$  Global vs regional safe assets
  - $\rightarrow$  Demand elasticities to calibrate preferred-habitat models
- 2. Flight to safety affects monetary policy transmission
  - $\rightarrow$  US Treasuries vs risky corporate bonds
  - $\rightarrow$  EA sovereign debt market
- 3. New way to track bond market fragmentation
  - $\rightarrow$  At time of geopolitical shifts

Bond demand specification

Safe assets, imperfect substitutes & monetary policy

Conclusions

# Lessons for theory & policy

- 1. Market segmentation in international bond portfolios
  - $\rightarrow$  Global vs regional safe assets
  - $\rightarrow$  Demand elasticities to calibrate preferred-habitat models
- 2. Flight to safety affects monetary policy transmission
  - $\rightarrow$  US Treasuries vs risky corporate bonds
  - $\rightarrow$  EA sovereign debt market
- 3. New way to track bond market fragmentation
  - $\rightarrow$  At time of geopolitical shifts

Thank you!

### References I

- Altavilla, Carlo, Luca Brugnolini, Refet S. Gürkaynak, Roberto Motto, and Giuseppe Ragusa, "Measuring euro area monetary policy," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 2019, 108, 162 – 179. "Central Bank Communications:From Mystery to Transparency" May 23-24, 2019Annual Research Conference of the National Bank of UkraineOrganized in cooperation withNarodowy Bank Polski.
- Bauer, Michael D, Ben S Bernanke, and Eric Milstein, "Risk appetite and the risk-taking channel of monetary policy," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2023, 37 (1), 77–100.
- Bergant, Katharina, Michael Fidora, and Martin Schmitz, "International capital flows at the security level evidence from the ECB's Asset Purchase Programme," ECMI Working Paper No 7., 2018.
- Binsbergen, Jules H Van, William F Diamond, and Marco Grotteria, "Risk-free interest rates," Journal of Financial Economics, 2022, 143 (1), 1–29.
- Bippus, Balduin, Simon Lloyd, and Daniel Ostry, "Granular banking flows and exchange-rate dynamics," Technical Report, Bank of England 2023.
- Bretscher, Lorenzo, Lukas Schmid, Ishita Sen, and Varun Sharma, "Institutional corporate bond pricing," Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper, 2020, (21-07).
- Caballero, Ricardo J., Emmanuel Farhi, and Pierre-Olivier Gourinchas, "An Equilibrium Model of "Global Imbalances" and Low Interest Rates," *The American Economic Review*, 03 2008, 98 (1), 358–393. Copyright - Copyright American Economic Association Mar 2008; Last updated - 2016-04-30; CODEN - AENRAA; SubjectsTermNotLitGenreText - United States–US.
- Caballero, Ricardo J, Emmanuel Farhi, and Pierre-Olivier Gourinchas, "Global Imbalances and Currency Wars at the ZLB.," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2015.
- \_\_\_, \_\_\_, and \_\_\_, "The safe assets shortage conundrum," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2017, 31 (3), 29-46.
- Camanho, Nelson, Harald Hau, and Hélene Rey, "Global portfolio rebalancing and exchange rates," *The Review of Financial Studies*, 2022, 35 (11), 5228–5274.

## References II

- Chang, Yen-Cheng, Harrison Hong, and Inessa Liskovich, "Regression Discontinuity and the Price Effects of Stock Market Indexing," The Review of Financial Studies, 07 2014, 28 (1), 212–246.
- Chen, Honghui, Gregory Noronha, and Vijay Singal, "The Price Response to S&P 500 Index Additions and Deletions: Evidence of Asymmetry and a New Explanation," *The Journal of Finance*, 2004, 59 (4), 1901–1930.
- Coppola, Antonio, Arvind Krishnamurthy, and Chenzi Xu, "Liquidity, debt denomination, and currency dominance," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2023.
- Costain, James S, Galo Nuño, and Carlos Thomas, "The term structure of interest rates in a heterogeneous monetary union," 2022.
- Dang, Tri Vi, Gary Gorton, and Bengt Holmström, "Ignorance, debt and financial crises," Yale University and Massachusetts Institute of technology, working paper, 2012, 17.
- Diamond, William and Peter Van Tassel, "Risk-free rates and convenience yields around the world," Jacobs Levy Equity Management Center for Quantitative Financial Research Paper, 2021.
- Du, Wenxin, Kristin Forbes, and Matthew N Luzzetti, "Quantitative Tightening Around the Globe: What Have We Learned?," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2024.
- Engel, Charles, "Safe U.S. Assets and U.S. Capital Flows," *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 2020, *102*, 102102. Global Safe Assets, International Reserves, and Capital Flow.
- \_\_\_\_ and Steve Pak Yeung Wu, "Liquidity and exchange rates: An empirical investigation," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2018.
- Eren, Egemen, Andreas Schrimpf, and Fan Dora Xia, "The Demand for Government Debt," BIS Working Paper No. 1105, 2023.
- Eser, Fabian, Wolfgang Lemke, Ken Nyholm, Sören Radde, and Andreea Liliana Vladu, "Tracing the Impact of the ECB's Asset Purchase Program on the Yield Curve," *International Journal of Central Banking*, 2023, 19 (3), 359–422.

## **References III**

- Faia, Ester, Juliana Salomao, and Alexia Ventula Veghazy, "Granular investors and international bond prices: Scarcity-induced safety," Available at SSRN 4287955, 2022.
- Fang, Xiang, Bryan Hardy, and Karen K Lewis, "Who holds sovereign debt and why it matters," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2022.
- Froot, Kenneth A. and Tarun Ramadorai, "Currency Returns, Intrinsic Value, and Institutional-Investor Flows," *The Journal of Finance*, 2005, 60 (3), 1535–1566.
- \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_, "Institutional Portfolio Flows and International Investments," The Review of Financial Studies, 2008, 21 (2), 937–971.
- Gabaix, Xavier and Ralph SJ Koijen, "In Search of the Origins of Financial Fluctuations: The Inelastic Markets Hypothesis," Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper, 2022, (20-91).
- Gorton, Gary, "The history and economics of safe assets," Annual Review of Economics, 2017, 9, 547-586.
- Gourinchas, Pierre-Olivier and Hélène Rey, "Real interest rates, imbalances and the curse of regional safe asset providers at the Zero Lower Bound," in "The Future of the International Monetary and Financial Architecture" Proceedings of the ECB Sintra Forum on Central Banking 2016.
- \_\_\_ and Helene Rey, "Exorbitant privilege and exorbitant duty," 2022.
- \_\_\_\_, Walker D Ray, and Dimitri Vayanos, "A preferred-habitat model of term premia, exchange rates, and monetary policy spillovers," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2022.
- Gürkaynak, Refet, Hatice Gökçe Karasoy-Can, and Sang Seok Lee, "Stock Market's Assessment of Monetary Policy Transmission: The Cash Flow Effect," *The Journal of Finance*, 2022, 77 (4).
- Gürkaynak, RS, B Sack, and ET Swanson, "Do actions speak louder than words? The response of asset prices to monetary policy actions and statements," *International Journal of Central Banking*, 2005, 1 (1), 55–93.

(ロ)、(個)、(E)、(E)、 E)= のQで 3/6

## **References IV**

- Harris, Lawrence and Eitan Gurel, "Price and Volume Effects Associated with Changes in the S&P 500 List: New Evidence for the Existence of Price Pressures," *The Journal of Finance*, 1986, 41 (4), 815–829.
- Hau, Harald and Hélène Rey, "Can Portfolio Rebalancing Explain the Dynamics of Equity Returns, Equity Flows, and Exchange Rates?," The American Economic Review, 2004, 94 (2), 126–133.
- \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_, "Exchange Rates, Equity Prices, and Capital Flows," The Review of Financial Studies, 2006, 19 (1), 273–317.
- \_\_\_\_, Massimo Massa, and Joel Peress, "Do demand curves for currencies slope down? Evidence from the MSCI global index change," The Review of Financial Studies, 2010, 23 (4), 1681–1717.
- He, Zhiguo, Arvind Krishnamurthy, and Konstantin Milbradt, "A Model of Safe Asset Determination," American Economic Review, April 2019, 109 (4), 1230–62.
- Holm-Hadulla, Fédéric and Matteo Leombroni, "Heterogeneous Intermediaries and Bond Characteristics in the Transmission of Monetary Policy," Technical Report 2023.
- Jarociński, Marek and Peter Karadi, "Deconstructing monetary policy surprises: the role of information shocks," February 2018, (2133).
- Jiang, Zhengyang, Arvind Krishnamurthy, and Hanno Lustig, "Foreign Safe Asset Demand for US Treasurys and the Dollar," AEA Papers and Proceedings, May 2018, 108, 537–41.
- \_\_, \_\_, and \_\_, "Dollar safety and the global financial cycle," Technical Report, The Review of Economic Studies 2023.
- \_\_\_\_, Robert Richmond, and Tony Zhang, "A Portfolio Approach to Global Imbalances," 2021.
- Joyce, Michael, Zhuoshi Liu, and Ian Tonks, "Institutional investor portfolio allocation, quantitative easing and the global financial crisis," September 2014, (510).
- Kekre, Rohan, Moritz Lenel, and Federico Mainardi, "Monetary policy, segmentation, and the term structure," 2022.

## References V

- Koijen, Ralph S. J. and Motohiro Yogo, "A Demand System Approach to Asset Pricing," Journal of Political Economy, 2019, 127 (4), 1475–1515.
- \_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_, "Exchange rates and asset prices in a global demand system," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- \_\_\_\_, François Koulischer, Benoît Nguyen, and Motohiro Yogo, "Inspecting the Mechanism of Quantitative Easing in the Euro Area," Banque de France Working Paper No. 601; University of Chicago, Becker Friedman Institute for Economics Working Paper No. 2019-100, 2020.
- Koijen, Ralph SJ, Robert J Richmond, and Motohiro Yogo, "Which investors matter for equity valuations and expected returns?," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- Krishnamurthy, Arvind and Annette Vissing-Jorgensen, "The Aggregate Demand for Treasury Debt," Journal of Political Economy, 2012, 120 (2), 233–267.
- \_\_ and Hanno N Lustig, "Mind the Gap in Sovereign Debt Markets: The US Treasury basis and the Dollar Risk Factor," in "2019 Jackson Hole Economic Symposium" 2019.
- Miranda-Agrippino, Silvia and Tsvetelina Nenova, "A tale of two global monetary policies," *Journal of International Economics*, 2022, 136, 103606. NBER International Seminar on Macroeconomics 2021.
- Mota, Lira, "The corporate supply of (quasi) safe assets," Available at SSRN 3732444, 2020.
- Nagel, Stefan, "The Liquidity Premium of Near-Money Assets\*," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 07 2016, 131 (4), 1927–1971.
- Noh, Don and Sangmin Oh, "Measuring institutional pressure for greenness: A demand system approach," Technical Report, SSRN Working Paper 2021.
- Olea, José Luis Montiel and Carolin Pflueger, "A robust test for weak instruments," Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 2013, 31 (3), 358–369.
- Petajisto, Antti, "The index premium and its hidden cost for index funds," Journal of Empirical Finance, 2011, 18 (2), 271 288.

# References VI

- Ray, Walker, "Monetary policy and the limits to arbitrage: Insights from a New Keynesian preferred habitat model," in "2019 Meeting Papers," Vol. 692 Society for Economic Dynamics 2019.
- Shen, Leslie Sheng and Tony Zhang, "Risk Sharing and Amplification in the Global Financial Network," Available at SSRN 4032741, 2021.

Shleifer, Andrei, "Do Demand Curves for Stocks Slope Down?," The Journal of Finance, 1986, 41 (3), 579-590.

- Tabova, Alexandra M and Francis E Warnock, "Foreign investors and us treasuries," Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2021.
- Valchev, Rosen, "Bond convenience yields and exchange rate dynamics," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 2020, *12* (2), 124–66.

Vayanos, Dimitri and Jean-Luc Vila, "A preferred-habitat model of the term structure of interest rates," Econometrica, 2021, 89 (1), 77–112.