





## Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

• notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority						
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Banco de Portugal					
2. Description of the measure						
2.1 Concerned institution or group of institutions	<ul> <li>On which institution(s) is the measure applied (name and LEI code)?</li> <li>Caixa Geral de Depósitos (Lei code: TO822O0VT80V06K0FH57)</li> <li>Banco Comercial Português (Lei code: U1U6S0DG9YLT7N8ZV32)</li> <li>Novo Banco (Lei code: 5493009W2E2YDCXY6S81)</li> <li>Santander Totta – SGPS (Lei code: 5493005RLLC1P7VSVC58)</li> <li>Banco BPI (Lei code: 3DM5DPGI3W6OU6GJ4N92)</li> <li>Caixa Económica Montepio Geral (Lei code: 2138004FIUXU3B2MR537)</li> </ul>					
2.2 Level of the buffer applied	What is the level of the l         this decision, the O-SII's         phased-in over a four ye         following:         O-SIIs institutions         Caixa Geral de Depósitos         Banco Comercial Português         Novo Banco         Banco BPI         Santander Totta - SGPS	buffers sha	ll be applicab	le from 1 Jan	uary 2018 a	nd shall be

	Caixa Económica Montepio 0.063% 0.125% 0.188% 0.25%				
2.3 Name of the EU ultimate	Please provide the name and the LEI code of the EU ultimate parent institution of the group of each of the concerned institutions, in case the EU ultimate parent institution not the concerned institution itself.				
parent institution	Santander Totta – SGPS is a subsidiary of the Spanish parent institution, Banco Santande S.A Lei code: 5493006QMFDDMYWIAM13. Banco BPI is a subsidiary of the Spanish parent institution, CriteriaCaixa (holding of Caixabank, S.A.) - Lei code: 959800DQQUAMV0K08004.				
2.4 Names of subsidiaries	If any of the concerned institutions is a parent institution and the buffer is applied on a (sub) consolidated level, please name the subsidiaries of the institution that are notified as O-SIIs (please give name and LEI code). N/A				
3. Timing of the measure					
3.1 Timing of the Decision	What is the date of the official decision? For SSM countries when notifying the ECB: provide the date when the decision referred to in Article 5 of the SSMR shall be taken. A preliminary decision was taken by the Banco de Portugal's Board on 3 of October 2017. The formal decision was taken on 31 of October 2017. The intended date for publication on Banco de Portugal's website of the final decision on the capital O-SII buffers is 1 of December 2017.				
3.2 Timing of the Publication	What is the date of publication of the notified measure? The intended date is 1 of December 2017.				
3.3 Disclosure	Information about the communication strategy of the notified measure to the market. Banco de Portugal will publish the final decision on its website by 1 of December 2017 (after market closure) and will communicate it to each institution designated as O-SII.				
3.4 Timing of Application	What is the intended date of activation (i.e. as of which date shall the measure be applicable)? 1 of January 2018				
3.5 Phasing in	What is the intended timeline for the phase-in of the measure? 1 of January 2018: 25% of the O-SII buffer 1 of January 2019: 50% of the O-SII buffer 1 of January 2020: 75% of the O-SII buffer 1 of January 2021: 100% of the O-SII buffer				
3.6 Review of the measure	When will the measure be reviewed (Article 131(6) and 131(12) specify that the buffer, the identification of O-SIIs and the allocation into subcategories must be reviewed at least annually)?				
	The list of O-SIIs and corresponding buffer requirements will be reviewed at least annually, as required under CRD IV. The next review exercise is foreseen to be concluded by 1 December 2018, unless a significant restructuring process takes place, namely through merger and acquisitions. The calibration process should be reviewed after those restructuring processes.				

	Bank Name	Final Score		
4.1 Scores of concerned	Caixa Geral de Depósitos	2546		
institution or group of institutions, as per EBA	Banco Comercial Português	1885		
guidelines on the assessment	Novo Banco	1274		
of O-SIIs (Article 131.3)	Santander Totta - SGPS	1176		
(	Banco BPI	703		
	Caixa Económica Montepio Geral	485		
	The EBA methodology has been applied to compute the scores for all the institutions operating in Portugal using consolidated data in accordance with this methodology. In order to perform the methodology we used the following indicators:			
	- Size: Total assets;			
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for	<ul> <li>Importance (including substitutability / financial system infrastructure: value of domestic payments transactions (based on TARGET 2 data), private sector deposits from depositors in the EU, private sector loans to recipients in the EU. In addition, we have also used an optional indicator: geographical breakdown of banks activities (deposits and loans);</li> </ul>			
designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)	- Complexity / Cross-border activity: value of OTC derivatives (notional), cross- jurisdictional liabilities, cross-jurisdictional claims;			
	<ul> <li>Interconnectedness: intra-financial system liabilities, intra-financial system assets, debt securities outstanding.</li> <li>When FINREP data were unavailable, proxies were used - taken from each bank's financial statements (dated December 2016), supplemented by additional data available in Banco de Portugal.</li> </ul>			
	Institutions with a score equal or higher than 350 basis points were designated as O-SII.			
4.3 Supervisory judgement			ptional indicator: geographical breakdown owed for in Title III of EBA Guidelines.	

			·····		1. 1	
	In order to calibrate the O-SII capital buffer for these institutions, BdP has applied the clusters methodology. This calibration approach was also adopted by the BCBS (2013) to calibrate the buffer rates for Global Systemically Important Banks (G-SIBs) and also used by other countries that have already identified the O-SIIs and calibrated the O-SII buffer. O-SII buffer will be applied from 1 January 2018 aligned with the information provided in point 2.2.					
		•	aking into account the so up five buckets as follows			
	i.	i. Bucket 1 encompasses scores from 350 to 699 basis points;				
	ii.	Bucket 2 encomp	asses scores from 700 to	1399 basis points;		
	iii.	Bucket 3 encomp	asses scores from 1400 t	o 2099 basis points;		
	iv.	Bucket 4 encomp	asses scores from 2100 t	o 2799 basis points;		
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	v.	Bucket 5 encomp	asses scores above or eq	ual 2800 basis points		
	Given the scores underlying each PT O-SII, bucket 5 corresponds to an empty bucket, in the sense that no PT O-SII will be allocated to it. By considering an empty bucket, the competent authority signals the market and the O-SIIs that the latter could be asked to comply with a higher rate in the future if they become more systemically relevant, as presented in the following table:					
	O-SIIs distrik	oution by buckets a	and respective buffers:			
			<b>Buckets/Scores</b>	Capital Buffers		
		5	>= 2800	2.00%		
		4	2100-2799	1.00%		
		3	1400-2099	0.75%		
		2	700-1399	0.50%		
		1	350-699	0.25%		
	macroprude of systemic The identific the applicat adopting rat	ential policy interm risks arising from r cation of systemica tion of stricter cap	al, the O-SII buffer is a su nediate objective that air nisaligned incentives and ally important institutions ital requirements is base maximize profits, which important institutions of	ns at preventing the I moral hazard. s (both global and do ed on the following r can be viewed as op	building up mestic) and rationale: in timal at the	
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure	comprise th financial ins financial sys and econom economy, in thereby sug the financia	e impact of the fai atitutions that may atem and have seri my, in the case of O in the case of O-SIIs gested as a risk-m al system by imp	nay cause to the financia lure or impairment of lar be at the origin and/or ous i) cross-border impa G-SIIs, or ii) impact on th The introduction of the nitigating measure, aimin osing stricter capital re ortant at the domestic lev	ge, complex and inter amplify shocks thro ct on the global finar e domestic financial O-SII buffer (by CRD g at enhancing the r equirements to bank	rconnected nughout the ncial system system and IV / CRR) is resilience of	

	The total assets of these six institutions amounted, in 2016, to 80% of the total assets of the Portuguese banking sector and represented 169% of the Portuguese GDP. Therefore, the relevance of these institutions in the domestic banking system and the magnitude of the systemic risk that they may pose to the Portuguese economy is clear. Thus, the need for a capital buffer targeted to these institutions is largely justified. The level of the buffer remains unchanged. In what regards the time to comply with the buffer, Banco de Portugal has decided for an extension of the phase-in period from 2 years to 4 years, maintaining the starting date (2018-2021). This decision was taken considering the challenges that the Portuguese banking system faces in the short term, against the background of a protracted period of low short term interest rates.
	The aforementioned challenges, which are clearly interlinked with the capital ratios and requirements faced by banks, comprise (i) the need to continue the process of cleaning up the balance sheets from non-performing loans; (ii) the impact of the forthcoming adoption of IFRS 9 (2018); (iii) the gradual phasing out of transitional provisions laid down in the CRR and CRD IV (2018); and (iv) the need to access financial markets to comply with the new regulatory requirements, namely the minimum requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL). The latter requirements should be seen in a context characterized by a relatively high cost of issuance, where access to capital markets by Portuguese banks is still constrained.
5. Cross-border and cross	s-sector impact of the measure
	In order to perform the assessment of the potential cross-border effects leakages and regulatory arbitrage of the macroprudential measure at hand we use the framework and the indicators, whenever available, set out in the Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook.
5.1 Assessment of cross- border effects and the likely impact on the internal market	Regarding inward spillovers (cross-border effects of the implementation of macroprudential measures that affect the activating authorities) through risk adjustment and network and contagion channels, the cross-border credit granted through foreign branches does not excessed 1% of the total assets of the domestic Portuguese banking system. Additionally in terms of total assets and liabilities, the share of foreign branches does not reach 5%.
(Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	Considering the potential outward spillovers (cross-border effects that impact the Single Market), it is noticed that the cross-border credit of domestic institutions only reaches 6% of the total assets of those institutions and 0.1% of the total credit granted in the remaining EU Member-States. Therefore, it is not expected that Portuguese domestic banks would significantly change their exposures to other EU Member-States.
	Summing up, the potential for cross-border spillovers from macroprudential measures taken by the Portuguese authority is rather limited.
	Moreover, being an institution's specific buffer this measure does not need voluntary

	The scope for "leakages" is limited in the sense that:		
5.2 Assessment of leakages			
and regulatory arbitrage	This measure will be applied on a consolidated basis;		
within the notifying Member State	<ul> <li>In Portugal the non-banking part of the financial system has a relatively small dimension and we do not expect a material shift to other bank and non-bank financial entities.</li> </ul>		
6.Combinations and interac	tions with other measures		
6.1 Combinations between G-	In case both G-SII and O-SII criteria applied to the same institution at the consolidated		
SII and OSII buffers (Article	level, which of the two buffers is the highest?		
131.14)	N/A		
6.2 Combinations with SRB			
buffers	No Portuguese O-SII is subject to a systemic risk buffer.		
(Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)			
	a. Does the combined buffer requirement apply to the institution?		
6.3 Combined buffer	The O-SIIs identified are subject to the Capital Conservation Buffer as envisaged in CRD		
requirement	IV.		
(Article 131.16 and Article	b. Is the combined buffer requirement above the sum of the buffers described in		
131.17)	Article 131-16 and Article 131-17?		
	Following previous answer this is not applicable.		
	In case the O-SII is a subsidiary of an EU parent institution which is subject to a G-SII or		
	O-SII buffer on a consolidated basis, what is the G-SII or O-SII buffer rate on a		
	consolidated basis of the parent institution?		
	Santander Totta - SGPS is a subsidiary of a Spanish parent institution (Banco Santander,		
	S.A.) which is a G-SII in Spain whereas Banco BPI is a subsidiary of a Spanish parent institution CriteriaCaixa (holding of Caixabank, SA) which is a O-SII in Spain.		
6.4 O-SII requirement for a	The proposed O-SII buffers (0.5% on a sub-consolidated basis as of 1 January 2021) comply with Article 131.8 of CRD IV, since they are below the limits foreseen in this		
subsidiary (Article 131.8)	Article.		
	In the case of Contander the (indicative) C. SII huffer required for the came naried to the		
	In the case of Santander the (indicative) G-SII buffer required for the same period to the Spanish parent institution is 1% (see the Financial Stability Board 2016 update of list of		
	global systemically important banks - http://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/2016-		
	list-of-global-systemically-important-banks-G-SIBs.pdf) assuming that the decision		
	regarding the G-SII buffer rate will remain unchanged.		
	In what regards CriteriaCaixa (holding of Caixabank, SA), the institution has to comply		
	with an O-SII buffer of 0.25% (as of 1 January of 2019) which is below the buffer ascribed		
	to Banco BPI (see point 2.2). In this case, the 1% limit is applied. How does the buffer requirement interact with other measures addressing the same		
	risk (e.g. with other supervisory measures)?		
6.5 Interaction with other	The introduction of the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD), which		
measures	establishes new rules to resolve and manage crisis of financial institutions addresses in		
	some extent the same risks, given that this new framework aims to mitigate the		

	assumption of (implicit) government guarantees mainly associated to systemically important institutions.
7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Contact person(s) for further inquiries (name, phone number and e-mail address)
	Ana Cristina Leal (Head of the Financial Stability Department)
	aleal@bportugal.pt
	+351 211 597 083
	Fátima Silva (Head of the Macroprudential Policy Unit)
	mfsilva@bportugal.pt
	+351 211597024
7.2 Any other relevant information	N/A