





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu when notifying the ECB;
- notifications@eba.europa.eu when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying national authority					
1.1 Name of the notifying authori- ty	Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht - BaFin)				
ty					
2. Descriptior	of the measure				
	On which institution(s) is the measure applied	I (name and LEI code)?1			
	O-SII Institution	LEI-Code			
	1. Deutsche Bank AG	7LTWFZYICNSX8D621K86			
	2. Commerzbank AG	851WYGNLUQLFZBSYGB56			
	3. Unicredit Bank AG	2ZCNRR8UK83OBTEK2170			
	4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank	529900HNOAA1KXQJUQ27			
	5. Landesbank Baden-Württemberg	B81CK4ESI35472RHJ606			
2.1 Concerned in-	6. Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale	DIZES5CFO5K3I5R58746			
stitution or group	7. Bayerische Landesbank	VDYMYTQGZZ6DU0912C88			
of institutions	8. Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale	DSNHHQ2B9X5N6OUJ1236			
or modifutions	9. Volkswagen Financial Services AG	529900USFSZYPS075O24			
	10. DekaBank Deutsche Girozentrale	0W2PZJM8XOY22M4GG883			
	11. NRW.Bank	52990002O5KK6XOGJ020			
	12. HSH Nordbank AG				
	13. Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank 14. ING DiBa AG	529900Z3J0N6S0F7CT25 3KXUNHVVQFIJN6RHL076			
	The buffer is set on the highest level of consc What is the level of the buffer (in %) applied t	lidation.			
	O-SII Institution	O-SII buffer			
	1. Deutsche Bank AG	2.00%			
	2. Commerzbank AG	1.50%			
2.2 Level of the	3. Unicredit Bank AG	1.00%			
buffer applied	4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank	1.00%			
	5. Landesbank Baden-Württemberg	1.00%			
	6. Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale	1.00%			
	7. Bayerische Landesbank	1.00%			
	8. Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale	1.00%			
	9. Volkswagen Financial Services AG	0.00 /0			

¹ The identification of the listed institutions as O-SIIs is still pending German administrative procedures.







	 DekaBank Deutsche Gird 	ozentrale	0.50%			
	11. NRW.Bank	ozentrale	0.50%			
	12. HSH Nordbank AG		0.50%			
	13. Landwirtschaftliche Rent	enhank	0.50%			
	14. ING DiBa AG	CIDAIR	0.50%			
	Please provide the name and the LEI code					
				rent institution is not the concerned institution it-		
2.3 Name of the			numate pai			
EU ultimate par-	self.					
ent institution	O-SII Institution	Parent Compar	ıy	LEI-Code (parent company)		
	UniCredit Bank AG	Unicredit S.p.A.	-	549300TRUWO2CD2G5692		
	ING-Diba AG	ING Groep N.V		549300NYKK9MWM7GGW15		
	If any of the concerned ins	titutions is a pare	ent institutio	on and the buffer is applied on a		
	(sub)consolidated level, ple	ease name the s	ubsidiaries	of the institution that are notified as O-SIIs		
2.4 Names of	(please give name and LEI					
subsidiaries	ů č	,				
	\rightarrow please see the list in the	Annex 1 to the	notification	i template		
	_					
3. Timing of t	the measure					
3.1 Timing of the Decision	What is the date of the offic when the decision referred 26 September 2016			Intries when notifying the ECB: provide the date shall be taken.		
3.2 Timing of the	What is the date of publica	What is the date of publication of the notified measure?				
Publication	1 December 2016					
3.3 Disclosure 3.4 Timing of Ap-	 Information about the communication strategy of the notified measure to the market. → The designated institutions and their respective O-SII capital buffer requirements will be published on the internet webpage of the BaFin after the administrative procedure will have been completed. What is the intended date of activation (i.e. as of which date shall the measure be applicable)? 					
plication		of activation (i.e.	as of whic	h date shall the measure be applicable)?		
	What is the intended date of 1 January 2017	of activation (i.e.	as of whic	h date shall the measure be applicable)?		
		·				
	1 January 2017	ne for the phase	-in of the m	neasure?		
	1 January 2017	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re	-in of the m	neasure?		
	1 January 2017	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti	-in of the m quirement tution	neasure? ts per		
	1 January 2017	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during <i>ph</i> a	-in of the m equirement tution ase-in perio	neasure? ts per		
	1 January 2017	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F	-in of the m equirement tution use-in perio	neasure? ts per od		
	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during <i>pha</i> From F 1 Jan 1	-in of the m equirement tution <u>ise-in perio</u> From Jan	neasure? ts per od From		
	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during <i>pha</i> From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2	-in of the m quirement tution se <i>e-in</i> perio From Jan 2018	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan		
	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1	-in of the m quirement tution ese-in perio From Jan 2018 .32%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1	-in of the m quirement tution pse-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution <u>ise-in perio</u> from Jan 2018 .32% .00%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution <u>se-in perio</u> from Jan 2018 .32% .00%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50%		
	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden-	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution ise-in perio From Jan 2018 	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution ise-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00% 0.66% 0.66%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg 6. Landesbank Hessen-	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution ise-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00% 0.66% 0.66%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m quirement tution se-in perio From Jan 2018 	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg 6. Landesbank Hessen- Thüringen Girozentrale 7. Bayerische Landesbank 8. Norddeutsche Landes-	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution pse-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00% 0.66% 0.66% 0.66%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg 6. Landesbank Hessen- Thüringen Girozentrale 7. Bayerische Landesbank 8. Norddeutsche Landes- bank Girozentrale	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m equirement tution pse-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00% 0.66% 0.66% 0.66%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg 6. Landesbank Hessen- Thüringen Girozentrale 7. Bayerische Landesbank 8. Norddeutsche Landes- bank Girozentrale 9. Volkswagen Financial	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0 0.33% 0	-in of the m quirement tution pse-in perio From Jan 2018 .32% .00% 0.66% 0.66% 0.66% 0.66%	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00%		
plication	1 January 2017 What is the intended timeli Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden- Württemberg 6. Landesbank Hessen- Thüringen Girozentrale 7. Bayerische Landesbank 8. Norddeutsche Landes- bank Girozentrale	ne for the phase O-SII buffer re insti during pha From F 1 Jan 1 2017 2 0.66% 1 0.50% 1 0.33% 0 0.33%	-in of the m equirement tution ise-in perio From Jan 2018 	neasure? ts per od From 1 Jan 2019 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00% 1.00%		







	rozentrale						
	11. NRW.Bank	0.16%	0.32%	0.50%	-		
	12. HSH Nordbank AG 13. Landwirtschaftliche	0.16%	0.32%	0.50%	-		
	Rentenbank	0.16%	0.32%	0.50%	_		
	14. ING DiBa AG	0.16%	0.32%	0.50%			
3.6 Review of the measure	 When will the measure be reviewed (Article 131(6) and 131(12) specify that the buffer, the identification of O-SIIs and the allocation into subcategories must be reviewed at least annually)? → The necessity and level of O-SII buffers will be reviewed annually. (Section 10g (3) of the German Banking Act; Article 131(6) of the CRD IV). 						
4. Reason for	O-SII identification and a	activation of	the O-SII b	ouffer			
	Please list here the name SIIs related to	e, overall sco	res, catego	ry scores, ar	nd indicator v	alues of the	identified O-
	a. size;						
	b. importance for t bility/financial in	-		ant Member	State or the	Union, capti	uring substituta-
	c. complexity, inclu	uding the add	litional com	plexities fror	n cross-bord	er activity;	
	d. interconnected	ess of the ins	stitution or (sub-)group	with the finar	ncial system.	
	→ In step 1 of the identification process (EBA/GL/2014/10, Title II) automatically identified O-SIIs (score ≥ 350 bps):						
	Institution	Overall	Size		- Complexit	y Substitu	ıtability
		score		tedness			
	1. Deutsche Bank AG	2774.18	2102.88	2200.1	9 5288	.92	1504.75
4.1 Scores of	1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG		2102.88 687.87				1504.75 910.59
concerned institu-		2774.18 851.04	687.87	2200.1	0 1055	.59	910.59
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as	2. Commerzbank AG	2774.18		2200.1	0 1055 3 651	.59 .27	
concerned institu- tion or group of	2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20	2200.11 750.11 453.73 679.33	0 1055 3 651 5 321	.59 .27 .27	910.59 324.70
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O-	2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL	2200.11 750.11 453.73 679.33	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Superv	.59 .27 .27 visory	910.59 324.70
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identifi 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Superv	.59 .27 .27 visory t):	910.59 324.70
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identific Assessment) identified 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 Ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.34 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Superv pert judgmen nterconnec-	.59 .27 .27 visory t):	910.59 324.70 219.78
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identifi Assessment) identifier Institution	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 Ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score	2200.11 750.11 453.73 679.34 /2014/10, Ti is and/or exp Size I t 2217.78	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Super- bert judgmen nterconnec- edness	.59 .27 .27 visory t): Complexity	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identifier Assessment) identifier Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Super- bert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82	.59 .27 .27 visory t): Complexity 5145.18	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral- Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identified Assessment) identified Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42 934.51	2200.11 750.11 453.73 679.34 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22 455.17	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Super bert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82 703.28	.59 .27 .27 visory t): Complexity 5145.18 1022.81	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89 1206.72
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identified Assessment) identified Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral- 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15 ication proces d O-SIIs (sco	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42 934.51 474.57 434.87	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22 455.17 433.46	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Supervolution tert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82 703.28 491.12 596.24	.59 .27 .27 .27 Visory t): Complexity 5145.18 1022.81 578.01 385.32	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89 1206.72 373.97 324.45
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identified Assessment) identified Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15 ication proces d O-SIIs (sco	687.87 382.58 424.20 ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42 934.51 474.57	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22 455.17 433.46 320.60	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Super- bert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82 703.28 491.12	.59 .27 .27 .27 visory t): Complexity 5145.18 1022.81 578.01	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89 1206.72 373.97
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identific Assessment) identified Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden-Wür 6. Landesbank Hessen-Thi 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15 ication process d O-SIIs (sco	687.87 382.58 424.20 Ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42 934.51 474.57 434.87 321.32	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22 455.17 433.46 320.60 230.63	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Superv bert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82 703.28 491.12 596.24 441.06	.59 .27 .27 .27 Visory t): Complexity 5145.18 1022.81 578.01 385.32 306.03	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89 1206.72 373.97 324.45 217.60
concerned institu- tion or group of institutions, as per EBA guide- lines on the as- sessment of O- SIIs	 2. Commerzbank AG 3. UniCredit Bank AG 4. DZ BANK AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank → In step 2 of the identific Assessment) identifier Institution 1. Deutsche Bank AG 2. Commerzbank AG 3. Unicredit Bank AG 4. DZ Bank AG, Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank 5. Landesbank Baden-Wür 6. Landesbank Hessen-Thizentrale 	2774.18 851.04 453.07 411.15 ication proces d O-SIIs (sco	687.87 382.58 424.20 Ss (EBA/GL re ≥ 100 bp Overall score 2853.42 934.51 474.57 434.87 321.32 294.27 266.02	2200.11 750.10 453.73 679.33 /2014/10, Ti s and/or exp Size I t 2217.78 805.22 455.17 433.46 320.60 230.63 309.36	0 1055 3 651 5 321 tle III: Superv pert judgmen nterconnec- edness 1955.82 703.28 491.12 596.24 441.06 458.88	.59 .27 .27 .27 Visory t): Complexity 5145.18 1022.81 578.01 385.32 306.03 161.88	910.59 324.70 219.78 Substitutability 2094.89 1206.72 373.97 324.45 217.60 325.68







	10. DekaBank Deutsche Girozentrale	153.88	134.87	316.47	152.85	11.33	
	11. NRW.Bank	120.92	184.87	272.61	5.93	20.29	
	12. HSH Nordbank AG	115.13	128.90	173.49	94.96	63.17	
	13. Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank	112.57	108.31	279.25	62.60	0.13	
	14. ING DiBa AG	110.67	172.02	50.19	42.72	177.73	
	In comparision with last years's assess nated as O-SII due to a material reduct Due to the merger of DZ Bank AG and removed from the O-SII list. The scores AG still exists. This is because the calc the merger has not been completed ye calculating the scores. Consequently, t count the merger with the WGZ Bank A When notifying the ECB or EBA, pleas formulas, data sources, information set	tion of its sc WGZ Bank s above are culation is m t, thus data he above sc AG. e provide re	ore (especia AG by 1 Au nevertheles ainly based of the merg cores of the	ally affected by gust 2016, W ss calculated a on data as of ed institution DZ Bank AG nation (metho	y reduction of GZ Bank AG h assuming that 31 December was not availa are without tak odology, calcul	size). has been WGZ Bank 2015, when ble when king into ac-	
	→ An outline of the applied method for fication template.	the identific	ation of O-S	SIIs can be fou	und in Annex 2	to the noti-	
	Please provide information on:						
	a. whether you followed the EBA	-			SIIs		
	→ The identification of the O-	SIIS IS Dase	d on EBA/G	L/2014/10.			
4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designa-	 b. which threshold score has been → Scores in step 1 of the ider ogy for the assessment of the EBA/GL/2014/10 Title II were Scores in step 2 of the identific sessment of O-SIIs"): All instituting model within the assessment identified as an O-SII by super expert judgment. 	ntification pro O-SIIs"): Al automatical cation proce tutions which ent accordir	ocess (EBA I institutions Iy identified ess (EBA/GI n received a ng to EBA/G	s with a score as O-SIIs. _/2014/10 Title score of ≥ 10 L/2014/10 Titl	of ≥ 350bps ap e III "Superviso 00bps in the na le III were add	oplying ory As- ational scor- itionally	
tion of the O-SII			.				
(Article 131.3)	 c. which overall score is attributed to the O-SIIs → see section 4.1 						
	 d. which of the optional indicators have been used to justify supervisory assessment decisions, if any, and what are the scores → for an overview of the indicators used please see Annex 2 to the notification template 						
	e. why these optional indicators	are relevant	for the Mer	nber State			
	\rightarrow for an overview of the indic						
	f. why the bank is systemically i → for an overview of the indic	mportant in	terms of tho	ose particular	optional indica	tors	







	 g. whether relevant entities with relative total assets not in excess of 0.02% have been excluded from the identification process → The assessment covers the population of all institutions in Germany. h. names and scores of all relevant entities not excluded from the identification process (could be sent in a separate excel file, see 4.1) → see Annex 3 to the notification template i. whether non-bank institutions have been included in the calculations → The assessment contains only credit institutions and holdings of groups with credit institu-
4.3 Supervisory judgement	tions. Has any of the institutions listed in 2.1 been identified through supervisory judgement as laid down in EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs? If yes, please list the respective institutions. →10 institutions where identified through supervisory judgement. See section 4.1
4.4 Calibrating the O-SII buffer	 Please provide information on the criteria and indicators used to calibrate the level of the O-SII buffer requirement and the mapping to institution-specific buffer requirements. → The identified institutions are allocated to one of the four capital buffer categories: 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, and 2.0% [CET1 per total risk exposure] using a cluster analysis. For more information please see Annex 2 to the notification template.
4.5 Effectiveness and proportionali- ty of measure	Please provide a justification for why the O-SII buffer is considered likely to be effective and proportionate to mitigate the risk. \rightarrow As a rule, capital add-ons increase the institutions' total loss-absorbing capacity and so constitute an appropriate measure to strengthen the resilience of the institutions and the financial system as a whole. In addition, capital add-ons rectify inappropriate incentives by introducing negative external effects to the decision-making process of systemically important institutions (e.g. profit maximising while neglecting the costs for the economy in the case of a default) and by withdrawing the implicit state guarantee (reduction of moral hazard).
5. Cross-bord	ler and cross-sector impact of the measure
5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the internal mar- ket (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	 Assessment of the cross-border effects of the implementation of the draft measure. a. Assessment of the spillover channels operating via risk adjustment and regulatory arbitrage. The relevant indicators provided in Chapter 11 of the ESRB Handbook on Operationalising Macroprudential Policy in the Banking Sector² can be used. b. Assessment of: cross-border effects (leakages and regulatory arbitrage) of the implementation of the measure in your own jurisdiction (inward spillovers); and cross-border effects on other Member States and on the Single Market of the measure (outward spillovers).
	→ Not applicable.

² Available on the ESRB's website at www.esrb.europa.eu.







5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbi- trage within the notifying Member State 6. Combinatic	Referring to your country's specific characteristics, what is the scope for "leakages and regulatory arbitrage" in your own jurisdiction (i.e., circumvention of the measure/leakages to other parts of the financial sector)? → Leakages or regulatory arbitrage are not expected.				
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and O-SII buffers (Ar- ticle 131.14)	the two buffers is the → Only the Deutsche O-SII capital buffer ar tions: G-SII and O-SII Deu	highest? Bank AG has to hol be presented in the for buffer requirement tsche Bank AG g phase-in period From From 1 Jan 1 Jan 2017 2018 0.66% 1.32%	d a G-SII capital buffe bllowing table accordir	ion at the consolidated r. For a comparison, th ng to the respective pha	e G-SII and the
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers (Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	G-Sit building 0.50% 1.50% 2.00% Are any of the institutions subject to a systemic risk buffer? → No. If yes, please provide the following information: a. What is the level of the systemic risk buffer (in %) applied to the concerned institution b. Is the systemic risk buffer applied to all exposures located in your Member State only? c. Is the systemic risk buffer applied at the same consolidation level as the O-SII buffer? → not applicable				
6.3 O-SII require- ment for a subsid- iary (Article 131.8)	on a consolidated bas institution? Institution UniCredit Bank AG ING-DiBa AG	Sis, what is the G-SII Parent Unicredit Group ING Bank N.V.	or O-SII buffer rate of G-SII buffer par- ent 1.0%	ch is subject to a G-SII on n a consolidated basis of O-SII buffer par- ent 0.0% 2.0% (phase-in from 1 Jan 2016 until 1 Jan 2019)	of the parent
6.4 Interaction with other measures	How does the buffer requirement interact with other measures addressing the same risk (e.g. with other supervisory measures)? → According to section 20 of the German Act on the Recovery and Resolution of Institutions and Financial Groups (Sanierungs- und Abwicklungsgesetz – SAG), O-SIIs are institutions posing a potential systemic risk (PSI). In order to ensure the stability of the financial system and to protect the wider economy, institutions identified as PSIs have to fulfil additional and/or stricter supervisory require-				







ments in the following areas:
 Recovery planning (sections 19 and 20 of the SAG) Restrictions relating to other positions held by management board members (section 25c (2) sentence 2 of the KWG) Restrictions relating to other positions held by members of the administrative and supervisory bodies (section 25d (3) of the KWG) Specific requirements for remuneration systems, in particular relating to classification as a "major institution" (section 17 of the German Ordinance on the Supervisory Requirements for Institutions' Remuneration Systems (Institutsvergütungsverordnung – InstitutsVergV)) Increased reporting frequency with respect to risk-bearing capacity information (section 12 of the German Ordinance on the Submission of Financial and Risk-Bearing Capacity Information under the German Banking Act (Verordnung zur Einreichung von Finanz- und Risikotragfähigkeitsinformationen nach dem Kreditwesengesetz – FinaRisikoV)) Obligatory establishment of an internal audit committee (section 25d (3) sentence 8 KWG, section 25d (9) KWG)
In addition, further requirements apply to PSIs that are also O-SIIs:
Requirements on data management, data quality and data aggregation capabilities (AT 4.3.4 MaRisk- E.), which is the national transposition of "Risk data aggregation capabilities and risk reporting prac- tices of O-SIIs - Principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting" (BCBS 239).

7. Miscellaneous	
7.1 Contact person(s) at noti- fying authority	GSII-OSII@bafin.de
7.2 Any other relevant infor- mation	